Shock wave instability in a bent channel with subsonic/supersonic exit
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Abstract. Two- and three-dimensional turbulent airflows in a 9-degrees-bent channel are studied numerically. The inner surfaces of upper and lower walls are parallel to each other upstream and downstream of the bend section. The free stream is supersonic, whereas the flow at the channel exit is either supersonic or subsonic depending on the given backpressure. Solutions of the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations are obtained with a finite-volume solver ANSYS CFX. The solutions reveal instability of formed shock waves and a flow hysteresis in considerable bands of the free-stream Mach number at zero and negative angles of attack. The instability is caused by an interaction of shocks with the expansion flow formed over the convex bend of lower wall.
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1. Introduction

Supersonic airflow in convergent and convergent-divergent channels is known to be unstable (Sforza 2012). The instability triggers the swallowing and expulsion of shocks with variations of free-stream Mach number $M_\infty$ or the angle of attack $\alpha$ if the channel contraction ratio is moderate enough. A classical interpretation of the instability in convergent channels is based on quasi-one-dimensional equations governing mass flow rate and stagnation temperature across the normal shock (Torenbeek and Wittenberg 2009).

Recently, shock wave instability was revealed in monotonously divergent bent channels (Kuzmin 2015, 2016). In this case, a shock wave arises due to a concave bend/corner of a wall, whereas its instability is caused by an interaction with the convex bend of the opposite wall. Numerical simulations demonstrated a flow hysteresis and jumps of shock positions with gradual variations of $M_\infty$ or $\alpha$.

A similar problem was explored by Guo et al. (2014) where numerical modeling of supersonic flow in a curved channel of nearly constant cross-section showed the existence of a flow hysteresis with variations of the given outlet pressure.

In practice, curved channels are utilized, e.g., in Y-shaped intakes of battle-plane and fighter aircraft engines. Kotteda and Mittal (2016) studied the effect of sideslip angle on the performance of a slightly divergent Y-intake at various back pressure ratios. Different flow regimes as a result of these variations were identified, and an effect of the initial condition was investigated as well.
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Supersonic flow in curved channels is also of interest for the design of rampressors, which are compressors operating at high peripheral speeds necessary to achieve supersonic effect in a stationary environment. Han et al. (2009) and Kang et al. (2014) investigated the performance of rampressors and flow excitation characteristics under different frequencies and amplitudes of rampressor rotor whirling.

Feng et al. (2017a, b) performed a numerical and experimental study of supersonic flow in a bent channel which models a variable geometry dual mode combustor. The study indicated that the static pressure distribution on the wall had an obvious hysteresis phenomenon with continuous variations of the wedge position governing the outlet cross section area.

Kuzmin (2017) examined the flow instability in a channel with a short upper wall (cowl) which was set at various inclination angles to the lower wall. Positive, negative and zero inclination angles were considered, and interactions of the arising shocks were examined. At the channel exit we used a condition of the supersonic flow regime.

In the present paper, we deal with a channel whose walls are parallel before and after the bend section. Therefore, the channel at hand is neither divergent, nor convergent. Considerable attention is paid to a subsonic flow condition at the exit, which admits smaller supersonic regions in the channel than those in (Kuzmin 2017). In Sections 2 and 3 we formulate the problem and outline a numerical method. Then in Sections 4 and 5 we study a dependence of 2D shock positions on $\dot{M}_e$ at zero and negative angles of attack. Finally, in Section 6 we discuss effects of the Reynolds number and 3D phenomena on the flow.

2. Formulation of the problem for 2D flow

Let us consider a channel whose lower wall is an expansion corner

$$y=0 \text{ at } -60 \leq x \leq 0, \quad y=-x \tan 9^\circ \text{ at } 0 \leq x \leq 50,$$

whereas the upper wall is a compression corner

$$y=30 \text{ at } -28 \leq x \leq 0, \quad y=30-x \tan 9^\circ \text{ at } 0 \leq x \leq 50$$

see Fig. 1. Here and further in the paper, the Cartesian coordinates $(x,y,z)$ are dimensional and given in millimeters. The thickness of leading edges of the upper and lower walls is 0.05. The outer surfaces of the lower and upper walls are a segment and corner, respectively.

The inflow boundary $\Gamma_{in}$ of the computational domain is formed by two segments with the beginnings at the point $y=0$, $x=-65$ and ends at $x=15$, $y=\pm 170$. We prescribe the $x$-, $y$- and $z$- components of the velocity on $\Gamma_{in}$ as follows:

$$U_o=M_e a_e \cos \alpha, \quad V_o=M_e a_e \sin \alpha, \quad W_o=0$$

In addition, we impose on $\Gamma_{in}$ the static pressure $p_{in}$, the turbulence level of 1%, and static temperature $T_{in}=200$ K which determines the sound speed $a_e=283.58$ m/s.

The outflow boundary $\Gamma_{out}$ of the computational domain is constituted by two segments with the beginnings on the outer surfaces of walls at $x=30$ and ends $x=15$, $y=\pm 170$. We prescribe the condition $M>1$ on $\Gamma_{out}$. At the channel exit $x=50$ we impose either the supersonic condition $M>1$ or subsonic one with a given pressure $p_{out}$. The vanishing heat flux and no-slip condition are imposed on the walls. The air is treated as a perfect gas whose specific heat at constant pressure is $1004.4 \text{ J/(kg K)}$ and the ratio of specific heats is 1.4. We use the Sutherland formula for the molecular dynamic viscosity and adopt the value of 28.96 kg/kmol for the molar mass. The
pressure \( p_\infty \) is set to \( 4 \times 10^4 \) N/m\(^2\) throughout the paper, except for Fig. 11 in which we compare results obtained for smaller and larger pressures. The Reynolds number based on \( p_\infty = 4 \times 10^4 \) N/m\(^2\), \( M_\infty = 1.3 \), and height of the channel is \( 5.75 \times 10^5 \). Initial data are either parameters of the free stream or a flow field calculated for a different \( M_\infty \).

3. Numerical method

Solutions of the unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations were obtained with an ANSYS-18.2 CFX finite-volume solver of second-order accuracy. An implicit backward Euler scheme was employed for the time-accurate computations. We used a curvature corrected Shear Stress Transport \( k-\omega \) turbulence model, which is known to reasonably predict aerodynamic flows with boundary layer separations (Spalart and Shur 1997, Menter 2009).

Simulations of the 2D flow were performed on hybrid unstructured meshes constituted by quadrangles in 38 layers on the walls and by triangles in the remaining region, see Fig. 2. The non-dimensional thickness \( y^* \) of the first mesh layer on the walls was less than 1. The sizes of triangles essentially decreased in the channel for an accurate resolution of shock waves. Test solutions obtained on uniformly refined meshes of approximately \( 2 \times 10^5 \), \( 4 \times 10^5 \), and \( 8 \times 10^5 \) cells showed that a discrepancy between shock wave coordinates obtained on the second and third meshes did not exceed 1%. Global time steps of \( 5 \times 10^{-7} \) s and \( 10^{-6} \) s yielded indistinguishable solutions. For this reason, the time step of \( 10^{-6} \) s and mesh of \( 4 \times 10^5 \) cells were employed for the study of 2D flow structure and stability at various \( M_\infty \) and \( \alpha \). The root-mean-square CFL number (over mesh cells) was about 2.

3D flow simulations were performed for a channel created by an extrusion of the profile depicted in Fig. 1 from the plane \( z = 0 \) to \( z = \pm 30 \). Details of the mesh and boundary conditions are given in Section 6.
The solver was validated by computation of several benchmark 2D and 3D problems. For instance, we simulated transonic flow past a Busemann biplane of length $l=1$, height $h=0.5$, and wedge thickness of 0.05 (Kuzmin 2017). The calculated shock positions and drag coefficient were undistinguishable from numerical data obtained by Maruyama et al. (2009) and Hu et al. (2011).

4. Shock position as a function of $M_\infty$ at zero angle of attack and subsonic exit

First, we solved the problem at $M_\infty=1.28$ using the subsonic exit condition with $p_{\text{exit}}=65,000$ N/m$^2$. The free stream (3) was employed for initialization of the solution. Computations showed a convergence of the mean parameters of turbulent flow to a steady state in less than 0.1 s of physical time. The obtained flow field exhibits a small local supersonic region over the bend of lower wall, see Fig. 3. A nearly normal shock is formed in front of the entrance $x=-28$, $0<y<30$. Such a flow pattern is called hereafter the flow regime with an expelled shock. To analyze streamwise positions of the shock, we will trace its $x$-coordinate $x_{sh}$ at the height $y=15$.

After calculation of the flow at $M_\infty=1.28$, we solved the problem for $M_\infty=1.29$, 1.295, and 1.296. At each step, for initial conditions we used the flow field obtained at the previous $M_\infty$. The lower branch of curve 1 in Fig. 4 shows that, in this band of $M_\infty$, the shock coordinate $x_{sh}$ changes insignificantly. Further increase of $M_\infty$ to 1.30 triggers a swallowing of the shock wave, i.e., a jump
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Fig. 4 Coordinate $x_{sh}$ of the shock versus $M_\infty$ at $\alpha=0$ and subsonic exit: 1 – $p_{exit}=65,000$ N/m², 2 – $p_{exit}=55,000$ N/m². The upper (lower) branches of the curves correspond to flow regimes with the swallowed (expelled) shock.

Fig. 5 Mach number contours at $\alpha=0$, $M_\infty=1.3$, $M_{exit}<1$, $p_{exit}=65,000$ N/m²

of the shock downstream from the entrance to the bend of channel (Fig. 5). This means a transition from the lower branch of curve 1 in Fig. 4 to the upper one. After that an increase of $M_\infty$ from 1.30 to 1.32 yields a shift of the shock downstream and expansion of the supersonic region over the bend of lower wall. The obtained flow is nearly uniform at $x<-13$, exhibiting only weak perturbations generated by the leading edges of both walls.

On the contrary, a decrease of $M_\infty$ from 1.30 to 1.296 triggers a rupture of the supersonic region and expulsion of the shock from the channel. This means a transition from the upper branch of curve 1 in Fig. 4 to the lower one.

In the same way we calculated a dependence $x_{sh}(M_\infty)$ for $p_{exit}=55,000$ N/m². In the band $1.30<M_\infty<1.32$, the smaller $p_{exit}$ yields an essential displacement of the swallowed shock downstream to the channel exit. Therefore one needs smaller $M_\infty$ to return the shock foot to a position near the lower wall bend, which admits a rupture of the supersonic region and shock expulsion due to a small perturbation. That is why curve 2 in Fig. 4 is shifted to smaller $M_\infty$ with
Mach number contours at \( \alpha=0, M_\infty=1.24, M_{\text{exit}}<1, p_{\text{exit}}=55,000 \text{ N/m}^2 \). Flow regime corresponds to the upper branch of curve 2 in Fig. 4

Mach number contours at \( \alpha=-2.5^\circ, M_\infty=1.34 \) and condition \( M_{\text{exit}}>1 \). Flow regime corresponds to the upper branch of curve 1 in Fig. 8

respect to curve 1. As seen, there is a hysteresis of curve 2, i.e., a dependence of \( x_{\text{sh}} \) values on the direction of change of \( M_\infty \). The existence of hysteresis is attributed to an enlargement of the supersonic region over the lower wall bend (Fig. 6) and, hence, stronger instability of the expansion flow/shock interaction as compared to the case \( p_{\text{exit}}=65,000 \text{ N/m}^2 \).

Apart from the upper and lower branches, curve 2 exposes a short middle branch due to an interference of the perturbation generated by the leading edge of upper wall, since the perturbation delays the downstream shift of shock with increasing \( M_\infty \).

5. Shock position versus \( M_\infty \) at negative angles of attack

At negative angles \( \alpha \), the lower wall generates a bow oblique shock in addition to the shock formed due to the bend of channel, and the shock system configuration looks like to the one in a conventional intake with a forebody. Flow computations at \( \alpha=-2.5^\circ, 1.31<M_\infty<1.36, p_{\text{exit}}=55,000 \text{ N/m}^2 \).
Shock wave instability in a bent channel with subsonic/supersonic exit

Fig. 8 Coordinate $x_{sh}$ of the shock formed due to the bend of channel versus $M_\infty$ at negative angles of attack: 1 – $\alpha=-2.5^\circ$ and condition $M_{exit}>1$; 2 – $\alpha=-5^\circ$ and $M_{exit}>1$; 3 – $\alpha=-5^\circ$, $M_{exit}<1$ and $p_{exit}=75,000$ N/m$^2$

Fig. 9 Mach number contours at $\alpha=-5^\circ$, $M_s=1.425$ and condition $M_{exit}>1$. Flow regime corresponds to the lower branch of curve 2 in Fig. 8

N/m$^2$ produced supersonic velocities at the channel exit; that is why we switched over to the boundary condition $M_{exit}>1$. Figure 7 shows that, between the bow shock and the entrance $x=-28$, 0$<y<30$, the flow is virtually uniform and parallel to the $x$-axis.

The position $x_{sh}$ of shock formed due to the bend of channel is illustrated by curve 1 in Fig. 8. The drop of $x_{sh}$ (indicating the shock expulsion from the channel) occurs when $M_s$ becomes less than 1.332. We notice that at $\alpha=-2.5^\circ$ the Mach number decreases across the bow oblique shock from $M_\infty=1.332$ to $M^*=1.239$, and the latter remains constant in the region between the bow shock and entrance. This is in agreement with results obtained in Section 4. Indeed, the shock expulsion at $\alpha=0$ and $p_{exit}=55,000$ N/m$^2$ occurs if $M_s$ becomes less than 1.24, see curve 1 in Fig. 4. Instead of the bow shock, there is a weak perturbation generated by the leading edge of lower wall at $\alpha=0$. The perturbation reduces the Mach number from 1.24 to the value of 1.233, which indeed agrees with $M^*$; the minor discrepancy is associated with different supersonic regions developed
Fig. 10 Static pressure $p$ on the walls in conditions of Fig. 3 ($\alpha=0$, $M_\infty=1.28$, $M_{exit}<1$, $p_{exit}=65,000$ N/m$^2$):
1 – upper wall, 2 – lower wall

downstream of the lower wall bend (see Fig. 6) and their influence the shock expulsion.

A decrease of $\alpha$ from $-2.5^\circ$ to $-5^\circ$ at $1.31<M_\infty<1.36$ results in strengthening the bow oblique shock, decreasing the Mach number behind it, and either shock expulsion from the channel or an upstream displacement of the expelled shock. Therefore, one needs a larger $M_\infty$ to move the shock into the channel to a position admitting its interaction with the expansion flow over the lower wall bend. The calculated dependence $x_{sh}(M_\infty)$ at $\alpha=-5^\circ$ is illustrated by curve 2 in Fig. 8. As seen from the upper branch of curve 2, the shock expulsion occurs when $M_\infty$ becomes less than 1.42. We notice that the Mach number drops across the bow shock from 1.42 to 1.238, and this again agrees with the value 1.233 obtained in Section 4. Figure 9 illustrates Mach number contours at $\alpha=-5^\circ$, $M_\infty=1.425$ in the regime with the expelled shock.

Another flow field in the channel at $\alpha=-5^\circ$ was obtained by imposing the subsonic exit condition $M_{exit}<1$ along with the pressure $p_{exit}=75,000$ N/m$^2$. The calculated dependence of $x_{sh}$ on $M_\infty$ in this case is illustrated by curve 3. The narrower hysteresis band is explained by the smaller supersonic region formed over the lower wall bend.

### 6. Miscellanea

In all the cases examined, the flow field exhibits a boundary layer separation and subsequent reattachment near the upper wall bend where the flow is subsonic and, as a consequence, the pressure attains a local maximum, see curve 1 in Fig. 10. On the lower wall, a boundary layer separation occurs either upstream of the bend due to an adverse pressure gradient produced by the shock, or downstream of the bend due to a pressure rise incurred by the given $p_{exit}$ at subsonic exit, see curve 2 in Fig. 10.

To study an effect of the Reynolds number $Re$, we performed computations at halved and doubled values of $p_\infty$ which imply the halved and doubled $Re$, respectively. A comparison of curves 1 and 2 in Fig. 11 shows that the hysteresis band expands at the higher $Re$ and, hence, thinner boundary layer. Inviscid flow computations showed further expansion of the hysteresis and disappearance of the middle branch in the dependence $x_{sh}(M_\infty)$, see curve 3.
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Fig. 11 Coordinate $x_{sh}$ of the shock versus $M_\infty$ at $\alpha=-5^\circ$ and condition $M_{exit}>1$: 1 – $p_\infty=2\times10^4$ N/m², $Re=3.1\times10^5$; 2 – $p_\infty=8\times10^4$ N/m² N/m², $Re=12.5\times10^5$; 3 – inviscid flow

Fig. 12 Inviscid flow computations: (a) Mach number contours and a swallowed shock at $M_\infty=1.28$, $\alpha=0$, $p_{exit}=65,000$ N/m²; (b) pressure on the walls in the regime with an expelled shock at $M_\infty=1.265$, $\alpha=0$, $p_{exit}=65,000$ N/m² (1 – upper wall, 2 – lower wall)
In the inviscid flow model, transitions between the upper and lower branches of the plots $x_{sh}(M_{\infty})$ occur at smaller Mach numbers $M_{\infty}$ than in turbulent one. For instance, at $\alpha=0$ and $M_{\infty}=1.28$, instead of an expelled shock (Fig. 3) computations demonstrated a flow field with a swallowed shock (see Fig. 12(a)) which looks similar to Fig. 4 obtained for turbulent flow at a larger $M_{\infty}=1.3$.

Inviscid flow with an expelled shock at $\alpha=0$ can only exist when $M_{\infty}\leq 1.27$. In this regime, pressure distributions on the walls are similar to those in turbulent flow, cf. Figs. 10 and 12(b). The pressure minimum in Fig. 12(b) corresponds to the velocity maximum located at $x=0.4$. Downstream of this point, at $0.4<x<4.25$, the velocity decreases in the local supersonic zone and pressure gradually rises. After that the pressure jumps to $p=7\times 10^4$ N/m$^2$ due to a terminating shock wave.

A similar behavior of shocks was obtained in a channel whose upper wall is slightly shifted upstream with respect to the lower one. The shift produces a displacement of the hysteresis band to higher free-stream Mach numbers.

We notice that a larger thickness of the leading edges of the upper and lower walls results in the arising of extra local supersonic regions terminated by shocks, which may crucially change the shock system configuration (Kuzmin 2017).

A three-dimensional channel was created by an extrusion of the profile (1), (2) from the plane $z=0$ to $z=\pm 30$. For CPU savings, we assumed the flow to be symmetric about the plane $z=0$ and solved the problem in the semi-channel at $z<0$. The sidewall of thickness 0.1 was located at $-30.1 \leq z \leq 30$. The windward face of sidewall was a thin oblique rectangle extending from the upper wall to lower one. On the side boundary of the computational domain $z=90$ we imposed the free-slip condition. A three-dimensional mesh was constituted by $1.7\times 10^6$ prisms in 38 layers on the walls, with a sufficiently thin first layer to ensure $y^+<1$, and by about $16\times 10^6$ tetrahedrons in the remaining region.

Figure 13 displays the expelled shock in front of the channel entrance and a weak shock in front of the sidewall at $M_{\infty}=1.28$, $\alpha=0$, i.e., in the same conditions as in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the distance between the shock and entrance in 3D flow is noticeably larger than the distance in 2D flow. Mach number contours in the plane $y=5$ demonstrate a sidewall perturbation that propagates towards the plane of symmetry and is reflected from it ahead of the entrance, decreasing the flow velocity in this region. The behavior of streamlines near the sidewall hints at a boundary layer
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Fig. 14 Isosurfaces $M(x,y,z)=1$ in the 3D flow and Mach number contours in the plane $y=5$ at $M_\infty=1.5$, $\alpha=-5^\circ$, $M_{exit}>1$.

separation from the sidewall in addition to separations from the upper and lower walls.

At a larger Mach number $M_\infty=1.5$ and negative $\alpha$, the dependence of flow structure on the spanwise coordinate $z$ becomes more essential. Near the symmetry plane $z=0$, the swallowed shock extends from the upper to lower wall, see Fig. 14, meanwhile at $z<-10$ it shrinks significantly. The bow shock generated by the lower wall is hidden in Fig. 14 to demonstrate Mach numbers in the plane $y=5$. The flow structure looks like a fin type flow (Panaras 1996, Knight et al. 2003, Nguyen et al. 2011) which implies a bow shock interaction with the sidewall shock that turns, compresses, and decelerates the near-wall flow.

3D effects produce an upstream displacement of the swallowed shock with respect to its position in the 2D flow. As a consequence, the rupture of supersonic region and shock expulsion in the 3D flow occur when $M_\infty$ becomes less than 1.495 instead of 1.42 in 2D flow. That is why, for the channel under consideration, the shock position hysteresis is observed in the band $1.495 \leq M_\infty \leq 1.56$ as compared to $1.42 \leq M_\infty \leq 1.435$ in the 2D flow (see curve 2 in Fig. 8).

Thus, the 3D flow computations confirm the occurrence of flow hysteresis in the bent channel at angles of attack $-5^\circ \leq \alpha \leq 0$, though the presence of sidewall influences considerably the flow field, except for a vicinity of the symmetry plane. With increasing Reynolds number or span of channel, the influence of sidewall is expected to decrease. A detailed analysis of flow features in a bent 3D channel will be done in a subsequent paper.

7. Conclusions

Numerical simulations of 2D transonic flow in the bent channel demonstrated abrupt changes of shock wave positions under gradual variations of the free-stream Mach number at zero or negative angles of attack. The instability is attributed to the expansion flow/shock-wave interaction near the convex bend of lower wall. The shock position as a function of the free-stream Mach number usually exhibits hysteresis, whose width depends on the given boundary conditions. The width is larger in flow regimes with the supersonic exit comparing to subsonic one. Simulations of the 3D flow in a channel of semi-span equal to the height produced reasonable results which agree with the findings obtained for the 2D flow.
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