Photo-thermo-elastic interaction in a semiconductor material with two relaxation times by a focused laser beam

A. Jahangir¹, F. Tanvir¹ and A. M. Zenkour^{*2,3}

¹Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Wah Campus 47040, Pakistan ²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia ³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh 33516, Egypt

(Received February 7, 2019, Revised May 28, 2019, Accepted May 30, 2019)

Abstract. The effect of relaxation times is studied on plane waves propagating through semiconductor half-space medium by using the eigen value approach. The bounding surface of the half-space is subjected to a heat flux with an exponentially decaying pulse and taken to be traction free. Solution of the field variables are obtained in the form of series for a general semiconductor medium. For numerical values, Silicon is considered as a semiconducting material. The results are represented graphically to assess the influences of the thermal relaxations times on the plasma, thermal, and elastic waves.

Keywords: semiconductor material; eigen value approach; elastic waves; focused laser beam; generalized thermoelastic theories

1. Introduction

Semiconductors with a band gap energy are considered by means of a laser beam with an energy E greater than that of E_g , then an excitation process occurs. The electrons from the valence range are transferred to the energy level and their energy $(E - E_g)$ is greater than the edge of the conductivity band. These free carriers will relax to an unfilled level near the lower of the conduction band. After the relaxation process, the electron and hole plasma are found, followed by pairs of electron holes formed by the recombination process. Local deformation can cause local tensions in the sample, which provides a plasma wave like the thermal wave resulting from local periodic elastic deformation. The semiconductors materials are useful in radar and microwave similarly semiconductors are also used in very-high-speed SiGe devices.

In the last 40 years, the thermal theory has been very interested in the finite speed of thermal signals. These theories are called general thermoelastic theory. Lord and Shulman (1967) both proposed the first general theory of thermoelasticity which involved one relaxation time, while Green and Lindsay (1972) had a second general theory of thermal heat with two relaxation times. On the contrary, the coupled thermoelastic theory is associated with the parabolic heat equation.

Experimental and theoretical analysis of plasma, thermal and micromechanical in one dimension was made by Todorović et al. (2003a, b) to deal with the properties of carrier

Copyright © 2020 Techno-Press, Ltd.

http://www.techno-press.org/?journal=aas&subpage=7

^{*}Corresponding author, Ph.D., Professor, E-mail: zenkour@kau.edu.sa, zenkour@sci.kfs.edu.eg

recombination and transport in the semiconducting material. In addition, such study includes the propagation of changes in heat and plasma waves due to the linear coupling between heat and mass transfer. Opsal and Rosencwaig (1985) and Rosencwaig *et al.* (1983) studied the depth of thermal and plasma waves in silicon. As an important branch of the mechanical properties of solids, the literature addresses various problems through numerical and analytical methods. On the other side, Song *et al.* (2010) studied the thermoelastic vibration produced by the optically excited semiconducting microcantilevers. They concluded that, the wave reflection in a semiconducting plane under photo thermal and theories of generalized thermoelasticity. Kumar and Vohra (2017) studied the vibration analysis of thermoelastic double porous microbeam subjected to laser pulse. They used the Laplace and Fourier's transformation to find the solution of the problem. Kumar et. al. (2016a, b), Sharma and Sharma (2014) are containing some important results related to wave propagation phenomena.

The photothermal waves in a one-dimensional semiconductor medium is studied by Abbas *et al.* (2017) and Hobiny and Abbas (2017). In Laplace, the eigen value method gives an analytical solution without any supposed restriction on the actual physical quantities. Lotfy (2017) presented photothermal waves for two-temperature model with a semiconductor medium due to a dual-phase-lag theory and hydrostatic initial stress. In other recent articles, Zenkour (2018a, b, 2019a, b) presented a multi dual-phase-lag theory to treat the thermomechanical response of microbeams, the micro-temperatures for plane wave propagation in thermoelastic medium, and the photothermal waves of a gravitated semiconducting half-space.

In this article, the heat waves propagating through the body are analyzed by using heat conduction equation with two relaxation times by Green and Lindsay (1972) (see also, Sharma *et al.* 2008). An analytical technique of eigen value approach is used to study their effects on the waves.

2. Mathematical formulation

The efforts are made to study the plasma, thermal and elastic waves generated by a focused laser beam in an elastic medium. For simplicity, the surface of the medium \Im is supposed to be half space and y-axis is pointing vertically into the medium $\Im = \{(x, y, z): -\infty \le x \le \infty, y \ge 0, -\infty \le z \le \infty\}$, with z-axis taken along the symmetry such that effects and changing do not appear along this axis. System of governing equations for the two-dimensional semiconductor under the influence of laser beam with radius r (Todorović 2005, Mandelis *et al.* 1997) is represented as

$$(\lambda + \mu)u_{j,ij} + \mu u_{i,jj} - \gamma_n N_{,i} - \gamma_t \left(1 + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \theta_{,i} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial t^2},\tag{1}$$

$$D_e N_{,jj} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \frac{N}{\tau} - \vartheta \frac{\theta}{\tau} + Q, \qquad (2)$$

$$K\theta_{,jj} = -\frac{E_g}{\tau}N + \rho c_e \left(1 + \tau_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \gamma_t T_0 \left(1 + m\tau_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial u_{j,j}}{\partial t} + \delta_E Q,\tag{3}$$

and the stress-strain relations are presented as

$$\sigma_{ij} = \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) + \left[\lambda u_{k,k} - \gamma_n N - \gamma_t \left(1 + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \theta \right] \delta_{ij}, \tag{4}$$

where ρ denotes the density of medium, σ_{ij} are the stress components, u_i are the displacement components, τ_0 , τ_1 are the thermal relaxation times (for semiconductor $10^{-12} \leq \tau_0 \leq 10^{-10}$ s, $10^{-12} \leq \tau_1 \leq 10^{-10}$ s), $\theta = T - T_0$, T_0 is the reference temperature, $N = n - n_0$, n_0 is the equilibrium carrier concentration, K denotes the thermal conductivity, $\delta_E = E - E_g$, E represents the excitation energy, E_g denotes the energy gap of the semiconductor, λ , μ represent Lame's constants, c_e denotes the specific heat at constant strain, D_e denotes the carrier diffusion coefficient, $\gamma_n = (3\lambda + 2\mu)d_n$, d_n represents the coefficient of electronic deformation, $\gamma_t = (3\lambda + 2\mu)\alpha_t$, α_t represents the linear thermal expansion coefficient, τ denotes the photogenerated carrier lifetime, $\vartheta = \frac{\partial n_0}{\partial \theta}$ denotes the coupling parameter of thermal activation, and t is the time.

The plate surface is illuminated by a laser pulse (Othman *et al.* 2015, Zenkour and Abouelregal 2015, Abouelregal and Zenkour 2019) given as

$$Q(x, y, t) = \frac{l_0 \gamma^* t}{2\pi r^2 t_0^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{r^2} - \frac{t}{t_0}\right) \exp(-\gamma^* y),$$
(5)

43

where I_0 denotes the energy absorbed, t_0 represents the pulse rise time, r represents the beam radius and γ^* denotes the absorption depth of heating energy.

Let us consider the state of plane strain in the present 2D problem of a semiconductor halfspace. The variable components are defined by $u_i \equiv (u, v, 0)$, $u \equiv u(x, y, t)$, $v \equiv v(x, y, t)$, $\theta \equiv \theta(x, y, t)$ and $N \equiv N(x, y, t)$. Therefore, Eqs. (1)-(4) can be written by

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + (\lambda + \mu)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} + \mu\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \gamma_n\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} - \gamma_t\left(1 + \tau_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \rho\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},\tag{6}$$

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + (\lambda + \mu)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \gamma_n \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} - \gamma_t \left(1 + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2},\tag{7}$$

$$D_e\left(\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2}\right) = \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \frac{N}{\tau} - \vartheta \frac{\theta}{\tau} + Q,$$
(8)

$$K\left(\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial y^2}\right) = \frac{-E_g}{\tau}N + \rho c_e \left(1 + \tau_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} + \gamma_t T_0 \left(1 + m\tau_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial t}\right) + \delta_E Q. \tag{9}$$

At y = 0, the boundary conditions (Hobiny and Abbas, 2018) are considered by

$$\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{yx} = 0, \qquad D_e \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} - s_0 N = 0, \qquad -K \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{q_0 t^2 e^{-t/t_p}}{16t_p^2},$$
(10)

where s_0 represents the speed of surface recombination, q_0 denotes a constant and t_p denotes the characteristic time of the pulse heat flux.

It is convenient to transform the above equations into dimensionless forms. To do this, the dimensionless quantities can be introduced as

$$(t^{*}, \tau^{*}, \tau_{0}^{*}, \tau_{1}^{*}) = \eta c^{2}(t, \tau, \tau_{0}, \tau_{1}), \qquad (x^{*}, y^{*}, u^{*}, v^{*}) = \eta c(x, y, u, v),$$

$$(\sigma_{xx^{*}}, \sigma_{yy^{*}}, \sigma_{xy^{*}}) = \frac{1}{\mu} (\sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{xy}), \qquad N^{*} = \frac{N}{n_{0}}, \qquad \theta^{*} = \frac{\theta}{T_{0}}, \qquad Q^{*} = \frac{Q}{n_{0}\eta^{2}c^{2}D_{e}},$$

$$(11)$$

$$= \frac{\rho c_{e}}{\rho c_{e}} \text{ and } c = \sqrt{\lambda + 2\mu}$$

where $\eta = \frac{\rho c_e}{\kappa}$ and $c = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho}}$.

By neglecting the asterisk and rewriting Eqs. (5)-(9), we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \alpha_1 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \beta_n \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} - \beta_t \left(1 + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},$$
(12)

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \alpha_1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \beta_n \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} - \beta_t \left(1 + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2},$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} = \varpi \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \varpi \frac{N}{\tau} - \beta \frac{\theta}{\tau} + Q_0 \frac{t}{t_0^2} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{r^2} - \frac{t}{t_0}\right) \exp(-\gamma^* y), \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2}\theta}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}\theta}{\partial y^{2}} = \frac{-\epsilon_{2}}{\tau}N + \left(1 + \tau_{0}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} + \epsilon_{1}\left(1 + m\tau_{0}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x\partial t} + \frac{\partial^{2}v}{\partial y\partial t}\right) + Q_{1}\frac{t}{t_{0}^{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-x^{2}}{r^{2}} - \frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)\exp(-\gamma^{*}y),$$
(15)

$$\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} = \varpi \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \varpi \frac{N}{\tau} - \beta \frac{\theta}{\tau} + Q_0 \frac{t}{t_0^2} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{r^2} - \frac{t}{t_0}\right) \exp(-\gamma^* y), \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \qquad \alpha_2 = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \qquad \alpha_3 = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \qquad \beta_n = \frac{n_0 \gamma_n}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \qquad \beta_t = \frac{T_0 \gamma_t}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \\ \varpi &= \frac{1}{\eta D_e}, \qquad \beta = \frac{\vartheta T_0}{n_0 \eta D_e}, \qquad \epsilon_1 = \frac{\gamma_t}{\rho c_e}, \qquad \epsilon_2 = \frac{I_0 \gamma^*}{2\pi r}, \qquad Q_0 = \frac{E_g n_0}{\eta K T_0}, \qquad Q_1 = \frac{\delta_E n_0 D_e}{K T_0} \Big(\frac{I_0 \gamma^*}{2\pi r} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

3. Harmonic solution

The solution of the considered physical quantities can be decomposed in terms of normal mode analysis as

$$[u, v, N, \theta, \sigma_{ij}] = [u^*, v^*, N^*, \theta^*, \sigma_{ij}^*](y)e^{i(ax-\omega t)},$$
(17)

where u^* , v^* , N^* , θ^* and σ_{ij}^* are the amplitudes of the physical quantities u, v, N, θ and σ_{ij} . 'a' is the wave number in the x-direction, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and ' ω ' is the frequency, respectively.

$$(\alpha_2 D^2 + d_1)u^*(y) + d_2 Dv^*(y) - d_3 N^*(y) - d_4 \theta^*(y) = 0,$$
(18)

$$d_2 Du^*(y) + (D^2 - d_5)v^*(y) - d_7 DN^*(y) - d_8 D\theta^*(y) = 0,$$
(19)

$$(D^{2} + d_{9})N^{*}(y) + d_{14}\theta^{*}(y) = f_{1}(x,t)e^{-\gamma^{*}(y)},$$
(20)

$$(D^{2} + d_{10})\theta^{*}(y) + d_{11}N^{*}(y) - d_{12}u^{*}(y) + d_{13}v^{*}(y) = f_{2}(x,t)e^{-\gamma^{*}(y)},$$
(21)

where

$$\begin{split} D &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}, \qquad d_1 = \omega^2 - a^2, \qquad d_2 = \alpha_1 i a, \qquad d_3 = \beta_n i a, \qquad d_4 = \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) i a, \\ d_5 &= \alpha_2 a^2 + \omega^2, \qquad d_7 = \beta_n, \qquad d_8 = \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega), \qquad d_9 = \overline{\omega} i \omega - a^2 - \frac{\overline{\omega}}{\tau}, \qquad d_{11} = \frac{\epsilon_2}{\tau}, \\ d_{10} &= -a^2 + i \omega (1 - \tau_0 i \omega), \qquad d_{12} = a \omega \epsilon_1 (1 - m \tau_0 i \omega), \qquad d_{13} = i \omega \epsilon_1 (1 - m \tau_0 i \omega), \\ d_{14} &= \frac{\beta}{\tau}, \qquad f_1(x, t) = Q_0 \frac{t}{t_0^2} \left(\frac{-x^2}{r^2} - \frac{t}{t_0} \right), \qquad f_2(x, t) = Q_1 \frac{t}{t_0^2} \left(\frac{-x^2}{r^2} - \frac{t}{t_0} \right). \end{split}$$

Now, let us proceed to solve the nonhomogeneous coupled differential equations by an eigenvalue approach. Eqs. (18)-(21) can be written in a vector-matrix differential equation as follows

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}y} = \lambda\phi - g \ \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma^* y},\tag{22}$$

where

with

$$b_{11} = \frac{-d_1}{\alpha_2}, \quad b_{12} = \frac{d_3}{\alpha_2}, \quad b_{13} = \frac{d_4}{\alpha_2}, \quad b_{14} = \frac{d_2}{\alpha_2}, \quad b_{15} = d_5, \quad b_{16} = d_8, \quad b_{17} = d_2, \quad b_{18} = d_7, \\ b_{19} = -d_9, \quad b_{20} = d_{12}, \quad b_{21} = -d_{11}, \quad b_{22} = -d_{10}, \quad b_{23} = -d_{13}, \quad b_{24} = -d_{14}.$$

Then, the characteristic equation of the matrix λ is expressed as

$$\xi^8 + A\xi^6 + B\xi^4 + C\xi^2 + D = 0, \tag{23}$$

where

$$A = -b_{11} - b_{15} - b_{14}b_{17} - b_{19} - b_{22} - b_{16}b_{23},$$

 $B = b_{11}b_{15} + b_{11}b_{19} + b_{15}b_{10} + b_{14}b_{17}b_{19} - b_{13}b_{20} - b_{14}b_{16}b_{20} + b_{11}b_{22} + b_{15}b_{22} + b_{14}b_{17}b_{22} + b_{19}b_{22} + b_{11}b_{16}b_{23} + b_{13}b_{17}b_{23} + b_{16}b_{19}b_{23} - b_{21}b_{24} - b_{18}b_{23}b_{24},$

 $C = -b_{11}b_{15}b_{19} + b_{13}b_{15}b_{20} + b_{13}b_{19}b_{20} + b_{14}b_{16}b_{19}b_{20} - b_{11}b_{15}b_{22} - b_{11}b_{19}b_{22} - b_{15}b_{19}b_{22} - b_{14}b_{17}b_{19}b_{23} - b_{12}b_{20}b_{24} - b_{14}b_{18}b_{20}b_{24} + b_{11}b_{21}b_{24} + b_{14}b_{17}b_{21}b_{24} - b_{12}b_{17}b_{23}b_{24} + b_{11}b_{18}b_{23}b_{24},$

$$D = -b_{13}b_{15}b_{19}b_{20} + b_{11}b_{15}b_{19}b_{22} + b_{12}b_{15}b_{20}b_{24} - b_{11}b_{15}b_{21}b_{24}$$

The roots of the characteristic Eq. (21) which are also the eigen values of matrix λ are of the form $\pm \xi_1$, $\pm \xi_2$, $\pm \xi_3$ and $\pm \xi_4$. The eigenvector $Y = [Y_1 \quad Y_2 \quad Y_3 \quad Y_4 \quad Y_5 \quad Y_6 \quad Y_7 \quad Y_8]$ corresponding to eigen value ξ can be calculated as

$$\begin{split} Y_1 &= - \big(\xi b_{12} b_{17} b_{24} - \xi b_{18} b_{24} (b_{11} - \xi^2) \big) + \big(\xi b_{13} - \xi b_{16} (b_{11} - \xi^2) \big) (b_{19} - \xi^2), \\ Y_2 &= - (b_{19} - \xi^2) (\xi^2 b_{14} b_{17} - \xi b_{18} b_{11} + \xi^3 b_{18} - b_{11} b_{15} - \xi^2 b_{15} - \xi^2 b_{11} + \xi^4), \\ Y_3 &= b_{24} \big(\xi^2 b_{17} b_{14} - (b_{11} - \xi^2) (b_{15} - \xi^2) \big), \end{split}$$

$$Y_{4} = \frac{b_{14}\xi}{(b_{11}-\xi^{2})} (\xi b_{12}b_{17}b_{24} - \xi b_{11}b_{18}b_{24} + \xi^{3}b_{18}b_{24} + \xi b_{13}b_{19} - \xi^{3}b_{13} - \xi b_{11}b_{16}b_{19} + \xi^{3}b_{16}b_{11} + \xi^{3}b_{16}b_{19} - \xi^{5}b_{16}) - \frac{b_{12}b_{24}}{(b_{11}-\xi^{2})} (\xi^{2}b_{17}b_{14} - (b_{11}-\xi^{2})(b_{15}-\xi^{2})) + b_{13}(\xi^{2}b_{14}b_{17} - \xi b_{18}b_{11} + \xi^{3}b_{18} - b_{11}b_{15} - \xi^{2}b_{15} - \xi^{2}b_{11} + \xi^{4}),$$

$$Y_{5} = \xi Y_{1}, \qquad Y_{6} = \xi Y_{2}, \qquad Y_{7} = \xi Y_{3}, \qquad Y_{8} = \xi Y_{4}.$$

The solution of Eq. (22) has the following form

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i Y_i e^{-\xi_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} Y_{i+4} e^{\xi_i y} + g_2 e^{-\gamma^* y}, \qquad (24)$$

where B_i , (i = 1, 2, ..., 8) are constants to be determined by the boundary conditions of the problem and

$$\begin{split} g^* &= [g_1 \quad g_2 \quad g_3 \quad g_4 \quad g_5 \quad g_6 \quad g_7 \quad g_8]^{I}, \\ g &= -(b_{20}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15}) + \gamma^{*^2}b_{23}b_{17}) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^*b_{17}(b_{12}b_{24} + b_{13}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19})) + \\ (\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})(\gamma^*b_{18}b_{24} + \gamma^*b_{16}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19})) \end{pmatrix} - (\gamma^*b_{17}b_{14} - (\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15})(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})) \begin{pmatrix} (-\gamma^*b_{17}b_{21}b_{24} + \gamma^*b_{17}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{22})(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19})) + (\gamma^*b_{18}b_{20} + \gamma^*b_{16}b_{20}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19})) \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_3 &= \frac{1}{g}(b_{20}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15}) + \gamma^{*^2}b_{23}b_{17})(\gamma^*b_{13}b_{17} + \gamma^*b_{16}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})) - f_1(x,t)(\gamma^*b_{17}b_{14} - (\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15})(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})) \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_3 &= \frac{1}{g}(b_{20}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15}) + \gamma^{*^2}b_{23}b_{17})(\gamma^*b_{13}b_{17} + \gamma^*b_{16}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})) - f_1(x,t)(\gamma^*b_{17}b_{14} - (\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15})(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11}))), \\ g_4 &= \frac{(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19})}{b_{24}}g_3 + f_1(x,t)\frac{1}{b_{24}}, \\ g_2 &= \frac{\gamma^*b_{17}(b_{12}b_{24} + b_{13}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11}))(\gamma^*b_{18}b_{24} + \gamma^*b_{16}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19}))}{b_{24}(\gamma^*b_{17}b_{14} - (\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15})(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11}))}g_3 + \frac{\gamma^*b_{17}b_{13} + \gamma^*b_{16}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})}{b_{24}(\gamma^*b_{17}b_{14} - (\gamma^{*^2} - b_{15})(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11}))}f_1(x,t), \\ g_1 &= -\frac{\gamma^*b_{14}}{(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})}g_2 + \frac{b_{12}b_{24} + b_{13}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{19})}{b_{24}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})}g_3 + \frac{b_{13}}{b_{24}(\gamma^{*^2} - b_{11})}f_1(x,t), \\ g_5 &= -\gamma^*g_1, \qquad g_6 &= -\gamma^*g_2, \qquad g_7 &= -\gamma^*g_3, \qquad g_8 &= -\gamma^*g_4. \end{split}$$

The general solution of the field variables can be written as

$$\bar{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i U_i e^{-\xi_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} U_{i+4} e^{\xi_i y} + g_1 e^{-\gamma^* y},$$
(25)

$$\bar{\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i V_i \mathrm{e}^{-\xi_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} V_{i+4} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_i y} + g_2 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma^* y}, \tag{26}$$

$$\overline{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i N_i e^{-\xi_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} N_{i+4} e^{\xi_i y} + g_3 e^{-\gamma^* y},$$
(27)

$$\bar{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i \theta_i e^{-\xi_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} \theta_{i+4} e^{\xi_i y} + g_4 e^{-\gamma^* y},$$
(28)

and

$$\bar{\sigma}_{yy} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i (-\xi_i V_i + \alpha_3 i a U_i - \beta_n N_i - \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) \theta_i) e^{-\xi_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} (-\xi_{i+4} V_{i+4} + \alpha_3 i a U_{i+4} - \beta_n N_{i+4} - \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) \theta_{i+4}) e^{\xi_i y} - (\gamma^* g_2 - \alpha_3 i a g_1 + \beta_n g_3 + \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) g_4) e^{-\gamma^* y},$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i (-\alpha_2 \xi_i U_i + \alpha_2 i a V_i) e^{-\zeta_i y} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{i+4} (-\alpha_2 \xi_i U_{i+4} + \alpha_2 i a V_{i+4}) e^{\zeta_i y} + (-\alpha_2 \gamma^* g_1 + \alpha_2 i a g_2) e^{-\gamma_i y}.$$

After applying the boundary conditions of the problem as mentioned above, we have the following set of equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i(-\xi_i V_i + \alpha_3 i a U_i - \beta_n N_i - \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) \theta_i) = (\gamma^* g_2 - \alpha_3 i a g_1 + \beta_n g_3 + \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) g_4),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i(-\alpha_2 \xi_i U_i + \alpha_2 i a V_i) = \alpha_2 (\gamma^* g_1 - i a g_2), \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i(-D_e \xi_i N_i - s_0 N_i) = \gamma^* D_e g_3 + s_0 g_3, \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_i(-\xi_i \theta_i) = \gamma^* g_4 + \frac{q_0 t^2 e^{-t/t_p}}{16 c t_p^2},$$

46

where B_i , (i = 1,2,3,4) are constants based on the boundary conditions of the problem, which can be determined by:

$$[B]^T = [M]^{-1}[H]^T. (29)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_1\\ B_2\\ B_3\\ B_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & M_{14}\\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & M_{24}\\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} & M_{34}\\ M_{41} & M_{42} & M_{43} & M_{44} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^* g_2 - \alpha_3 i a g_1 + \beta_n + \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) g_4 \\ \alpha_2 \gamma^* g_1 - \alpha_2 i a g_2 \\ \gamma^* D_e g_3 + s_0 g_3 \\ \gamma^* g_4 + \frac{q_0 t^2 e^{-\binom{t}{t_p}}}{16ct_n^2} \end{bmatrix},$$

in which

$$\begin{split} M_{1p} &= \sum_{p=1}^{4} \Big(-\xi_p V_p + \alpha_3 i a U_p - \beta_n N_p - \beta_t (1 - \tau_1 i \omega) \theta_p \Big), \ M_{2p} &= \sum_{p=1}^{4} \Big(-\alpha_2 \xi_p U_p + \alpha_2 i a V_p \Big) \\ M_{3p} &= \sum_{p=1}^{4} \Big(-D_e \xi_p N_p - S_0 N_p \Big), \ M_{4p} &= \sum_{p=1}^{4} \Big(-\xi_p \theta_p \Big). \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain the solution of each variable.

4. Numerical results and discussions

To evaluate with the numerical examples, we consider for the computational purpose the silicon (Si) material. The thermoelastic properties of such material are (Alzahrani and Abbas 2018)

$$\begin{split} E &= 2.33 \text{ (eV)}, \qquad E_g = 1.11 \text{ (eV)}, \qquad s_0 = 2 \text{ (ms}^{-1}), \qquad D_e = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}), \\ \rho &= 2330 \text{ (kg m}^{-3}), \qquad \lambda = 3.64 \times 10^{10} (\text{Nm}^{-2}), \qquad \mu = 5.64 \times 10^{10} \text{ (Nm}^{-2}), \\ n_0 &= 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}, \qquad d_n = -9 \times 10^{-31} \text{ (m}^3), \qquad \tau = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (s)}, \qquad \alpha_t = 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (K}^{-1}), \\ c_e &= 695 \text{ (Jkg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}), \qquad T_0 = 300 \text{ (K)}, \qquad K = 1.7 \times 10^2 \text{ (Wm}^{-1} \text{K)}, \qquad \omega = -0.1 + 0.1i, \\ a &= 0.5, \qquad q_0 = 0.1. \end{split}$$

Based on the data set, the following graphs represent the numerically computed physical quantities at different values of the distance y. Numerical computations are carried out for the displacement, distribution of the temperature, the density of carriers along the y-axis for the two-dimensional isotropic and homogenous medium in context of the coupled photo-thermo-elastic conditions.



Fig. 1 Variation of temperature against y for different values of t_p



Fig. 2 Variation of concentration against y for different values of t_p



Fig. 3 Variation of vertical displacement against y for different values of t_p



Fig. 4 Variation of horizontal displacement against y for different values of t_p

As shown graphically in Figures 1-4, the distributions with different values of characteristic time of heat pulse t_p i.e., $t_p = 0.1$, 0.2 and 0.4. The solid line refers to $t_p = 0.1$, while the dashed line shows to the time $t_p = 0.2$ and the dotted line refers to the time $t_p = 0.4$. Fig. 1 shows the variation in temperature distribution and it starts with its maximum value and gradually decreases with the distance y. Variation in carrier density is shown in the Fig. 2 and it also starts



Fig. 5 Variation of temperature against y for different values of t



Fig. 6 Variation of concentration against y for different values of t



Fig. 7 Variation of vertical displacement against y for different values of t

with its peak value and the graph comes down with the increasing distance y with a range of $0 \le y \le 10$. In Fig. 3, the variation in vertical displacement is shown with a wide range of $0 \le y \le 15$, initially graph goes up to its maximum value and after reaching its peak point it decreases to its minimum value of zero. Fig. 4 displays the distribution of the horizontal component with the same range of $0 \le y \le 15$; it starts with its maximum value and decreases with the increasing distance.



Fig. 8 Variation of horizontal displacement against y for different values of t

Graphs 5-8 show the variation with different values of thermal source for time t = 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2. The solid line refers to the time t = 0.05, while the dashed line refers to the time t = 0.01 and the dotted line refers to the time t = 0.2. Fig. 5 displays the distribution of the values of the temperature T with respect to y-axis for different values of time t with respect to wide range $0 \le y \le 10$. It is noted that the temperature starts by its maximum value at the first end of the strip y = 0 and gradually decreases with increasing distance up to zero. Figure 6 shows the distribution of the values of the carrier density with respect to y-axis for different values of time t with the same range. It also starts with its maximum value and decreases with increasing distance y. Figure 7 shows the distribution of the values of the vertical displacement component v with respect to y-axis for different times t with wide range of $0 \le y \le 15$. It is noted that the vertical displacement first increases and goes to its peak and then decreases with the increasing distance up to zero. Figure 8 shows the distribution of the values of the displacement component u with respect to y-axis for different times t, it starts with the maximum value and the decreases with a range of $0 \le y \le 15$.

5. Conclusions

According to the proceeding results, the time parameters t_p and t are having significant effects on distribution function of each variable. Based upon Eigen value approach, an analytical solution is analyzed for thermoelastic problem in semiconductor. Based on the graphical representation, it can be concluded that the characteristic time of pulse is having a decreasing effect on each variable, while the temporal variable is directly proportional to the amplitudes of each variable. Values of all physical quantities converge to zero with the increase in the distance y.

References

Abbas, I.A., Aly, K. and Alzahrani, F.S. (2017), "A two-temperature photothermal interaction in a semiconducting material", J. Adv. Phys., 6(3), 402-407. https://doi.org/10.1166/jap.2017.1350.

Abouelregal, A.E. and Zenkour, A.M. (2019), "Fractional viscoelastic Voigt's model for initially stressed microbeams induced by ultrashort laser heat source", *Waves Random Complex Media*, 1-17.

https://doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2018.1554927.

- Alzahrani, F.S. and Abbas, I.A. (2018), "Generalized photo-thermo-elastic interaction in a semiconductor plate with two relaxation times", *Thin-Walled Struct.*, **129**(1), 342-348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2018.04.011.
- Green, A. and Lindsay, K. (1972), "Thermoelasticity", J. Elast., 2(1), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00045689.
- Hobiny, A.D. and Abbas, I.A. (2017), "A study on photothermal waves in an unbounded semi-conductor medium with cylindrical cavity", *Mech. Time-Depend. Mater.*, 21(1), 61-72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11043-016-9318-8.
- Hobiny, A.D. and Ibrahim, I.A. (2018), "Analytical solution of fractional order photo-thermoelasticity in a non-homogenous semiconductor medium", *Multidisciplin. Model. Mater. Struct.*, 14(5), 1017-1030. https://doi.org/10.1108/MMMS-11-2017-0137.
- Kumar, R., Singh, K. and Pathania, D. (2016), "Interactions due to hall current and rotation in a magnetomicropolar fractional order thermoelastic half-space subjected to ramp-type heating", *Multidisciplin*. *Model. Mater. Struct.*, **12**(1), 133-150. https://doi.org/10.1108/MMMS-03-2015-0016.
- Kumar, R., Singh, K. and Pathania, D.S. (2017), "Axisymmetric deformation in a micropolar thermoelastic medium under fractional order theory of thermoelasticity", *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1860, 020068. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4990367.
- Kumar, K. and Vohra, R. (2017), "Vibration analysis of thermoelastic double porous microbeam subjected to laser pulse", *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.*, 1-9.
- Lord, H.W. and Shulman, Y. (1967), "A generalized dynamical theory of thermoelasticity", J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 15(5), 299-309. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(67)90024-5.
- Lotfy, Kh. (2017), "Photothermal waves for two temperature with a semiconducting medium under using a dual-phase-lag model and hydrostatic initial stress", *Waves Random Complex Media*, **27**(3), 482-501. https://doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2016.1267416.
- Mandelis, A., Nestoros, M. and Christofides, C. (1997), "Thermoelectronic-wave coupling in laser photothermal theory of semiconductors at elevated temperatures", *Opt. Eng.*, **36**(2), 459-468.
- Othman, I.A. and Abd-Elaziz, E.M. (2015), "The effect of thermal loading due to laser pulse in generalized thermoelastic medium with voids in dual phase lag model", J. Therm. Stresses, 38(9), 1068-1082. https://doi.org/10.1080/01495739.2015.1073492.
- Opsal, J. and Rosencwaig, A. (1985), "Thermal and plasma wave depth profiling in silicon", *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **47**(5), 498-500. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.96105.
- Rosencwaig, A. Opsal, J. and Willenborg, D.L. (1983), "Thin-film thickness measurements with thermal waves", Appl. Phys. Lett., 43(2), 166-168. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.94267.
- Sharma, S. and Sharma, K., (2014), "Influence of heat sources and relaxation time on temperature distribution in tissues", Int. J. Appl. Mech. Eng., 19(2), 427-433. https://doi.org/10.2478/ijame-2014-0029.
- Sharma, N., Kumar, R. and Ram, P. (2008), "Dynamical behavior of generalized thermoelastic diffusion with two relaxation times in frequency domain", *Struct. Eng. Mech.*, 28(1), 19-38. http://dx.doi.org/10.12989/sem.2008.28.1.019.
- Song, Y., Todorovic, D.M., Cretin, B. and Vairac, P. (2010), "Study on the generalized thermoelastic vibration of the optically excited semiconducting microcantilevers", *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 47(14), 1871-1875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2010.03.020.
- Todorović, D. (2003a), "Photothermal and electronic elastic effects in micro-electromechanical structures", *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 74(1), 578-581. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1520324.
- Todorović, D. (2003b), "Plasma, thermal, and elastic waves in semiconductors", *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 74(1), 582-585.
- Todorović, D. (2005), "Plasmaelastic and thermoelastic waves in semiconductors", J. Physique IV, 125. https://doi.org/10.1051/jp4:2005125127.
- Zenkour, A.M. and Abouelregal, A.E. (2015), "The fractional effects of a two-temperature generalized thermoelastic semi-infinite solid induced by pulsed laser heating", *Archiv. Mech.*, **67**(1), 53-73.
- Zenkour, A.M. (2018a), "Refined two-temperature multi-phase-lags theory for thermomechanical response

of microbeams using the modified couple stress analysis", *Acta Mech.*, **229**(9), 3671-3692. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-018-2172-9.

Zenkour, A.M. (2018b), "Refined microtemperatures multi-phase-lags theory for plane wave propagation in thermoelastic medium", *Results Phys.*, **11**, 929-937. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2018.10.030.

Zenkour, A.M. (2019a), "Effect of thermal activation and diffusion on a photothermal semiconducting half-space", J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 132, 56-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2019.04.011.

Zenkour, A.M. (2019b), "Refined multi-phase-lags theory for photothermal waves of a gravitated semiconducting half-space", *Compos. Struct.*, **212**, 346-364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.01.015.

EC