

## Enabling role of hybrid simulation across NEES in advancing earthquake engineering

Daniel Gomez<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Shirley J. Dyke<sup>1a</sup> and Amin Maghareh<sup>1b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lyles School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47906, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Civil Engineering and Geomatics, Universidad del Valle, Cali, Colombia

(Received November 17, 2014, Revised February 15, 2015, Accepted February 17, 2015)

**Abstract.** Hybrid simulation is increasingly being recognized as a powerful technique for laboratory testing. It offers the opportunity for global system evaluation of civil infrastructure systems subject to extreme dynamic loading, often with a significant reduction in time and cost. In this approach, a reference structure/system is partitioned into two or more substructures. The portion of the structural system designated as ‘physical’ or ‘experimental’ is tested in the laboratory, while other portions are replaced with a computational model. Many researchers have quite effectively used hybrid simulation (HS) and real-time hybrid simulation (RTHS) methods for examination and verification of existing and new design concepts and proposed structural systems or devices. This paper provides a detailed perspective of the enabling role that HS and RTHS methods have played in advancing the practice of earthquake engineering. Herein, our focus is on investigations related to earthquake engineering, those with CURATED data available in their entirety in the NEES Data Repository.

**Keywords:** earthquake engineering; seismic experimentation; hybrid simulation; real-time hybrid simulation; design guidelines; building code

### 1. Introduction

Earthquakes are a major source of catastrophic natural disasters, often leading to loss of human life, civil structures and infrastructures. Excessive disturbances produced by base excitation in civil structures can damage structural and non-structural elements and cause discomfort to occupants. To advance our understanding of seismic resilience to such impacts, establish performance-based seismic design methods, develop new mitigation technologies, and enhance lifeline systems, several classes of experimental methods are used to simulate and evaluate structural behavior under extreme dynamic loading. These include quasi-static testing, shake table testing, effective force testing, and hybrid simulation (HS) methods, and each has pros and cons. In quasi-static tests, displacements (or loads) are applied at a slow rate. Quasi-static testing can readily be implemented on large civil structures, although it has two drawbacks. A predefined displacement history is required, and the effects of acceleration-dependent inertial forces and

---

\*Corresponding author, Associate Professor, Universidad del Valle, E-mail: [dgomez@purdue.edu](mailto:dgomez@purdue.edu)

<sup>a</sup>Professor, E-mail: [sdyke@purdue.edu](mailto:sdyke@purdue.edu)

<sup>b</sup>Doctoral Candidate, E-mail: [amaghareh@purdue.edu](mailto:amaghareh@purdue.edu)

velocity-dependent damping forces are neglected. To by pass these issues, shake tables, or earthquake simulators, are widely available to evaluate the dynamic behavior of structures. Shake table testing is conducted in real time, typically enabling researchers to achieve quite realistic conditions. Researchers have used shake tables to evaluate critical issues such as collapse mechanisms, component failures, acceleration amplifications, residual displacements and post-earthquake capacities (Schellenberg and Mahin 2006). However, very few shake tables in the world are capable of full-scale testing of civil structures, and due to the scale of the specimen such experiments, may be prohibitively expensive. Thus, evaluating the dynamic behavior of structures using shake table is usually limited to proto types and often conducted for critical parts of a structure at the component level (Shing *et al.* 1996).

Advances in our ability to perform more complex computational simulations have also generated a need to validate the results, calibrating analytical models and developing new design guidelines. This need, and the desire to increase the size of our specimens for more realistic evaluations, increase the cost of testing, and sometimes exceed the capacity of our facilities. These objectives have driven the need to consider new methods of testing that combine physical experimentation with computational simulation, a class of experimentation known as hybrid simulation (HS). In HS, the experimental (or physical) portions of the structural system are tested in the laboratory, typically including the more complex components that are a focus of the investigation, while other portions of the structure are replaced with computational (or analytical) models which typically include the well-understood behaviors(see Fig. 1).

The concept of partitioning a reference system into numerical and experimental substructures originated in the field of aerospace and control engineering. Halbert *et al.* (1963) coupled digital and analog computers through a two-way data transfer system. In this study, adaptive path control of a two-dimensional maneuver under lunar attraction was simulated using HS. At each step, the digital computer performed a high-precision simulation of the rocket motion and sent its position and velocity to an analog computer. Then, the analog computer solved the corresponding boundary value problem and fed back the results to the digital computer (Halbert *et al.* 1963). Similarly, a HS of space vehicle guidance in a lunar landing was developed using a small digital computer tied to two fully-expanded analog computers (Heartz and Jones 1964). In another noteworthy study using HS, Witsenhausen (1964) solved the equation of a chemical tubular reactor under various input conditions when a controller was installed.




Fig. 1 Concept of hybrid simulation

Hybrid simulation found its way into structural engineering with Hakuno *et al.* (1969) who used HS to conduct a dynamic destructive test of a cantilever beam using an online system consisting of an analog computer and an electro-magnetic actuator. In this study, they developed an online computer-actuator system in an attempt to simulate earthquake responses of linear and nonlinear steel and concrete structures. To conduct HS, the floor displacement was computed using the numerical substructure (a nonlinear differential equation) and an actuator was used to apply the displacement to a one-story one-bay building frame (Takanashi *et al.* 1975).

Structural engineers evolved this approach into a new cost-effective experimental technique to evaluate the dynamic performance of large civil structures. In the late 80s, researchers had shown that results of HS and shake table tests are comparable if experimental errors are effectively mitigated (Takanashi and Nakashima 1987, Mahin *et al.* 1989). When the structure under investigation (i.e., the reference structure) is divided into experimental and numerical substructures, coupling between the substructures is achieved by enforcing boundary conditions and equilibrium at the interface (Chen *et al.* 2012). One necessary assumption in HS is that the effect of loading rate on the interaction force of the numerical substructure is insignificant. Under certain conditions, this assumption has been validated for some structural materials, such as reinforced concrete and steel (Nakashima *et al.* 1992). The need to examine dynamic behavior and performance in rate-dependent structural components (e.g., rubber bearings, viscous dampers) combined with advances in embedded systems with hard real-time computing capabilities, have led researchers to conduct fast and real-time hybrid simulations (RTHS).

In recent years, HS and RTHS have played a noteworthy role in enabling new civil engineering concepts to be developed and validated under more realistic conditions, contributing to advance the practice of earthquake engineering around the world (Shao and Griffith 2013). A large number of the projects employing HS and RTHS are published in their entirety in the George E. Brown, Jr. Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (NEES) Data Repository (at nees.org), where these data are open and accessible for use by other researchers (Pejša *et al.* 2014). Over the last decade, more than 400 research projects (<https://nees.org/retrospective>) have benefitted from an initiative funded by the US National Science Foundation (NSF) to build, maintain, operate and use the equipment facilities, interconnected via cyber infrastructure, that comprise the George E. Brown, Jr. Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (NEES). This unique infrastructure was managed first by the NEES Consortium Inc. (CMMI-0402490) for the period 2004-2009. Subsequently, the NEEScomm center at Purdue University managed the network for the period of 2009-2014 (CMMI-0927178). The NEES network, a “Laboratory without Walls,” includes fourteen geographically-distributed, experimental earthquake engineering facilities, linked together with a robust, user-driven cyber infrastructure which houses a curated, central data repository (Hacker, *et al.* 2013). The NEES laboratories are equipped with unique large-scale equipment, such as geotechnical centrifuge centrifuges, tsunami simulation facilities, field testing equipment, shake tables, hydraulic actuators and strong walls (Ramirez 2012). The cyber infrastructure integrates an open repository for experimental/simulation data with simulation tools, national high performance computing resources, documents and educational resources (known as NEES hub).

The arrival of the NEES hub has ushered in a new collaborative capability with vastly improved information technology resources for research and education in earthquake engineering (Hacker *et al.* 2013). Researchers have taken advantage of this shared-use network of facilities connected with a unique cyber infrastructure to accelerate progress in HS (Nakata *et al.* 2014, Christenson *et al.* 2014) and enable a new generation of testing to be performed. Capabilities, open-source software and algorithmic advances in HS and RTHS have developed in parallel with

the NEES facilities and research projects (Deierlein *et al.* 2011). To demonstrate the progress to date, and to explore the future potential, of HS and RTHS in developing new knowledge related to resilient infrastructure systems, relevant projects published in the NEES Data Repository are discussed herein. The public data repository (at nees.org) provides a wealth of information and open data from several HS and RTHS projects, and through these data and metadata the process for the contributions of these projects to civil engineering practice is reconstructed herein (Gomez *et al.* 2014).

## 2. Hybrid simulation in earthquake engineering

The power of HS and RTHS lies in its promise to accelerate the rate at which we can conduct research in earthquake engineering (Shao and Griffith 2013). In the last decade, an increasing number of researchers have used HS methods as an alternative to quasi-static or shake table testing. Its capability to induce local failure mode analysis under realistic loading and global response evaluation leads this type of test to be more flexible (various conditions, structures, loadings, are possible), without the limitations in size or shape that usually govern shake table tests. Within the NEES network, at least 29 projects have used HS/RTHS to investigate a variety of topics related to seismic engineering.

Recently, researchers have begun to rely on HS or RTHS to assess local and global responses and compare various aspects related with design guidelines, and specifically with design codes. For purposes of this discussion on the enabling roles, the NEES projects that have used HS or RTHS are categorized in two principal directions: (i) to review, support, oppose, or improve design guidelines in building codes requirements, and (ii) to develop and validate new structural systems or new devices to modify the structural response. A diagram summarizing the primary purpose of using HS for the projects is provided in Fig.2. In many cases HS was more economical than full scale shake table experiments, and perhaps even the only way to achieve the goals of the projects. Note that all images were provided through the NEES hub at nees.org in the respective projects.




Fig. 2 Selected HS/RTHS projects in earthquake engineering

### 2.1 Hybrid simulation for establishing and investigating guidelines and codes

In this section, we summarize the progress made using HS in the establishment of guidelines and codes toward the design of infrastructure systems to resist such hazards.

*Framework for Development of Hybrid Simulation in an Earthquake Impact Assessment Context* (Project 685). This project demonstrated that HS is an economical and efficient technique with many capabilities and applications. In this project, HS provided an innovative way to utilize field measurement data (free-field and structural sensor measurement), combined with system identification, model updating, probabilistic fragility analysis, and earthquake impact assessment packages to evaluate the impact of earthquakes on civil infrastructure in a robust framework. In the proposed framework, free-field measurements were used to define and characterize strong motion records. Structural sensors were used to update the bridge-foundation-soil model. Eight HS and one cyclic test were conducted using 1/25-scale reinforced concrete (RC) pier specimens (see Fig. 3). For the HS test, three tests with different hazard levels were conducted by using three synthetic ground motions with peak ground acceleration (PGA) values between 0.2 and 0.9 g. Simulation results indicated that the model calibrated with cyclic tests accurately predicts the response in the cases with lower PGA. However, that model underestimates the peak lateral drift response under large PGA, and HS is shown to provide an updated model that yields a more realistic failure probability in fragility functions in the range of high ground motion intensity (Lin *et al.* 2012). An important deliverable for this project was the development of a tool, NEES Integrated Risk Assessment Framework (NISRAF), that integrates the components of earthquake impact assessment such as structural damage, loss assessment, estimation of nonstructural damage, economic cost, retrofit cost, etc. (Lin *et al.* 2012). The clear advantage here in using HS is that it provided the capability to perform several inexpensive tests to reach the target structural response, thus creating a family of fragility curves.




Fig. 3 Small-scale RC pier experimental substructure  
(NEES project 685, <https://nees.org/warehouse/experiment/3322/project/685>)



Fig. 4 Experimental substructure conformed by RC frame and URM infill in the middle (NEES project 135, <https://nees.org/warehouse/experiment/205/project/135>)

*Hybrid Simulation and Shake-Table Tests on RC Buildings with Masonry Infill Walls* (Project 135). One of the objectives of this project was to refine the modeling techniques of hysteretic response and stiffness degradation in elements of RC moment frames interacting with unreinforced masonry (URM) infill walls. The numerical substructure consisted of a 3/4 scale, five-story prototype moment-resisting frame structure designed with its exterior columns as the primary lateral load resisting system. The experimental substructure was the middle bays of the first story (see Fig. 4).

Hashemi and Mosalam (2006) concluded that URM infill walls should be included for the design and associated analysis of a structure. The experimental results show that the interaction between the RC frame and the infill wall made the test structure 3.8 times stiffer, reduced the initial natural period by 50%, and affected the structural behavior. Additionally, an increase in the structural damping depends upon the level of displacement. Finally, experimental results showed that the URM infill walls resulted in a 30% increase in the demand on the diaphragm, and directly affected the RC columns at the top and bottom of the infill wall (Hashemi and Mosalam 2006). Additionally, a novelty in this project was the comparison between HS and shake table (ST) testing results, which were conducted on a similar test structure with the same sequence of applied ground motions. This comparison revealed that both tests developed a similar cracking pattern and progressive stiffness degradation throughout the two experiments using HS and ST. However, differences between HS and ST experiments for test structure were obtained due to the variation in the damping with amplitude, and the lack of a numerical model able to capture that behavior (Elkhoraibi and Mosalam 2007).

*Performance-based Design of Squat Concrete Walls of Conventional and Composite Construction* (Project 676). Here researchers performed HS at the Berkeley facility to examine the behavior of squat reinforced concrete structural walls commonly used in nuclear energy plants as a seismic lateral force resisting system. Squat shear walls are those designed with an aspect ratio smaller than 0.5, and are quite thick to provide protection against radiation and fire (Whyte and Stojadinovic 2012). The experimental substructures were 0.2 m thick, 3 m long and 1.65 m tall shear walls (aspect ratio 0.54, see Fig. 5). To simulate the excessive weight of a nuclear power

plant, the extra mass was modeled in the numerical substructure and it was adjusted to achieve a 0.14 sec fundamental natural period, which is a realistic value. Various design code procedures were employed to predict the observed responses. In some cases, the recommended methods over predict the peak shear strength of squalls walls by almost a factor of 1.8. However more results would be needed to draw conclusions about the displacement capacities for thick walls. This project demonstrated an efficient use of HS in emulating the huge mass of a nuclear power plant, eliminating the need to use a high capacity shake table.

*Collapse Simulation of Multi-Story Buildings Through Hybrid Testing* (Project 912). In this project, a number of specific test were conducted to predict and evaluate structural collapse responses. A progressive collapse program was conducted to study structural failure using HS as an alternative to earthquake simulators due to the limited capacity of most facilities. Also, the adoption of HS eliminated or alleviated a number of safety concerns associated with a collapsing structure on a shake table. Particularly, a large-scale shake table test was conducted to study collapse in a 2D four-story steel structure (Lignos 2008). Using a similar frame, several HS were performed to compare the results with the shake table results where only critical components of the structure were tested experimentally with a small number of actuators at the interface of the experimental subassemblies (Hashemi and Mosqueda 2014a), demonstrating flexibility, cost-effectiveness and safety (see Fig. 6).

*Seismic Simulation and Design of Bridge Columns under Combined Actions, and Implications on System Response* (Project 71). To evaluate the impact of spatially-complex earthquake ground motions in bridge piers, an extensive test program was executed to understand the effects of combined demands (vertical and horizontal) that may result in large deformation, excessive structural damage, and structural performance degradation. Two hybrid simulations were performed at the Multi-Axial Full-Scale Sub-Structured Testing and Simulation (MUST-SIM) facility at the University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign. In these hybrid simulations, a pier was constructed as the experimental substructure, and the remainder of the bridge was modeled as the numerical substructure (see Fig. 7). In the first HS experiment, the bridge was subject to a horizontal ground motion. In the second HS experiment, the bridge was subject to combination of horizontal and vertical components ground motion (Kim *et al.* 2011). Because hybrid simulation allowed the research team to reproduce vertical and horizontal components of a ground motion at the same time in a single test, using a component as the specimen, it was unnecessary to perform more resource-intensive tests involving complete structural specimens and a shake table with multiple degrees of freedom.

The shear strength of the piers were evaluated and compared with ACI 318-08 (2008) and AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications (1995). In a first HS experiment, shear capacities calculated using the approximate and refined methods of ACI 318-08 (2008) were found to be 7% and 4% higher than the shear demand, respectively. In contrast, the shear strength predicted by the AASHTO (1995) was 31% less than the shear demand (Kim *et al.* 2011). Furthermore, the measured shear demand of the specimen in the second HS experiment was 8% lower than the shear capacity estimated by ACI approach. Researchers concluded that guidelines predicted the shear capacity of the pier in the first experiment conservatively, but in the second experiment, the pier suffered significant damage producing a broadband range for shear capacities calculated with different methods. Combined, horizontal and vertical ground motion in the piers may yield a decrease in shear capacity. Furthermore, neglecting the vertical component of the ground motion in the design procedure can underestimate the consequences of an earthquake in the design of RC bridges.





Fig. 5 RC experimental substructure composed by a thick wall specimen (NEES project 676, Whyte *et al.* 2013)



Fig. 6 Scheme for hybrid simulation used in collapse test (NEES project 912, Hashemi and Mosqueda2014b)



Fig. 7 Specimen in HS tests (NEES project 71, <https://nees.org/warehouse/hybrid/4176/project/71>)



*International Hybrid Simulation of Tomorrow's Braced Frame Systems* (Project 605). The objective of this project was to evaluate different bracing configurations and different design strategies intended to improve structural earthquake-resistant systems by increasing the ductility. A series of HS and cyclic tests were conducted using a three-story single-bay concentrically brace steel frames as the experimental substructure to obtain the response of the different buckling restrained brace frames (BRBF) and to investigate the brace-to-gusset connections. The numerical substructure consisted of two five-bay steel moment resisting frames and two one-bay concentrically brace frames in the longitudinal and transversal directions, respectively. As a result of these tests, the researchers recommend using a clearance of three times the thickness of the plate ( $3t_p$ ), unlike the AISC (2010) suggestion of  $2t_p$  clearance, to provide an adequate space for welding and allowed enough rotations in the knife plate (Tsai *et al.* 2013). Also, Lin *et al.* (2012) proposed a design procedure for BRBF to avoid local failure produced for bulging of steel casing in the buckling restrained brace elements. In this project, HS and RTHS have been also used extensively to evaluate the capabilities of new materials, damping devices, and novel structural systems to improve the seismic response of building and bridges. The use of HS in this project was especially helpful to concentrate on realistic local behavior in the braces examined in a way that is consistent with the global response of the whole structure. Furthermore, flexibility and rapid deployment facilitated a wider variety of tests and configurations.

## 2.2 Hybrid simulation for developing novel structural systems and response modification devices

Next we discuss the achievements of several projects that adopted HS or RTHS to demonstrate and evaluate new structural systems and response modification devices at large scale.

*Behavior of Braced Steel Frames with Innovative Bracing Schemes* (Project 24). The system consisted of a bracing scheme using a suspended “zipper” frame. Conventional concentrically braced steel frames have the potential to lose stiffness and strength when buckling occurs in the brace, producing undesired vertical forces. In response, a new braced steel frame configuration was developed to meet the objective of providing efficient seismic response. Due to high nonlinearity of brace buckling, HS was conducted to capture the complex chevron brace buckling behavior. Although the zipper frame was not a new idea, the modification proposed here was intended to avoid undesirable deterioration of lateral strength in the frame and resist the potentially significant post-buckling force redistribution, resulting in very strong beams (Leon *et al.* 2005). In the new concept, the top story bracing members were designed to remain elastic when all the other compression braces buckled and the tension braces and zipper elements yielded.

In conducting HS, the experimental substructure, which is scaled to 1/3, represented the first-story braces and consisted of two braces along with the gusset plates connecting the braces to the beam at the top (see Fig. 8). The numerical substructure was a FEM model built in Open Sees (2006). This model used a flexibility-formulation nonlinear beam-column elements with fiber sections for the beams, columns, and zipper columns, and zero-length elements for the connections. A second-order displacement formulation was used to include the nonlinear buckling behavior (Yang *et al.* 2009). The results of the testing at the Colorado facility indicate that a suspended zipper column can successfully achieve the goal of redistributing the force along the frame height, although large inter-story drifts produced permanent deformation at the first floor. Here, HS was particularly useful in safely capturing the complex responses of the system subject to large deformation and buckling.




Fig. 8 Experimental substructure suspended-zipper braced frame  
(NEES project 24, <https://nees.org/warehouse/experiment/110/project/24>)

*Self-Centering Damage-Free Seismic-Resistant Steel Frame Systems* (Project 77). In this project, an innovative structural system was developed to ensure that a moment-resisting frame would be able to survive the design basis earthquake (DBE) without any structural damage. In the design, post-tensioning strands would pre-compress the beams to the columns yielding a passive device with self-centering moment resisting frame (SC-MRF). The system was designed to return to its initial position while dissipating a significant amount energy under large seismic loads. Hybrid simulation was implemented to evaluate a 7-bay, 4-story SC-MRF building designed for a location in the Los Angeles area. The experimental substructure was a 2-bay, 4-story structure scaled to 60% and the remainder of the reference structure was the numerical substructure (Fig. 9).



Fig. 9 SC-MRF 4-story test frame as experimental substructure  
(NEES project 77, <https://nees.org/warehouse/experiment/1151/project/77>)



(a) Dual frame configuration specimen



(b) Steel shear plates removable fuse

Fig. 10 Self-centering rocking system (NEES project 75, <https://nees.org/warehouse/experiments/75>)

Using HS, the structure was subjected to four DBE level ground motions, and each lateral floor displacement returned to zero (thus, there were no residual drifts). These experiments demonstrated the system has sufficient performance for Immediate Occupancy (IO) of SC-MRF. Besides, the holes in the beam's web dissipate considerable energy under earthquake producing a structure 10% lighter than a traditional welded seismic moment resisting frame W-SMRF (Lin *et al.* 2013). The HS capabilities enabled a large number of evaluation tests to be performed rapidly and cost-effectively, and with fewer safety concerns.

*Controlled Rocking of Steel-Framed Buildings* (Project 75). A novel passive device was developed and designed to concentrate structural damage in a fuse element intended to be replaced after yielding. The structural system combines three components. The structural steel frames are designed to remain in the elastic range and are allowed to rock at the column base. Vertical post-tensioning strands provide self-centering forces. Fuse elements are used to dissipate energy while yielding. Nine large-scale quasi-static and HS tests were conducted at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign to demonstrate the performance of the controlled rocking system (see Fig. 10(a)). Particularly, HS was used to demonstrate the robustness of the system to remain elastic when were subjected to ground motions, even when drift ratio was approximately 4% without any damage in the braced frame (Deierlein *et al.* 2005). Since the damage was located in the removable fuses (see Fig. 10(b)), a considerable amount of energy was dissipated (Eatherton *et al.* 2010). Here HS played an important role in capturing more realistic global responses of the structure, as well as incorporating its interaction with the fuse elements.

*Tools to Facilitate Widespread Use of Isolation and Protective Systems (TIPS)* (Project 571). This collaborative effort between researchers in the U.S and Japan (at E-Defense) focused on creating and promoting tools to facilitate adoption of isolation and protective systems. The existence of such tools was intended to simplify design procedures, disseminate knowledge regarding the use of seismic isolation technology, establish the linkage to building codes, and

confirm the impact of such isolators on seismic response of the buildings (Arendt *et al.* 2010, Ryan *et al.* 2013). A series of HS were performed using shake tables. A 2-story, 2-bay steel moment frame was the experimental substructure, representing the top two stories of a high rise building. The numerical substructure consisted of the lower portion of the building. The response of the numerical substructure was calculated and used as input to the upper stories (the experimental substructure) mounted on the shake table. The benefits of seismic isolation in such buildings were demonstrated. However, researchers concluded that changes in building codes and guidelines to simplify the use of seismic isolators are necessary. Moreover, these tests would not have been possible at this scale were it not for the HS method and its capacity to obtain specific responses from the experimental substructure to be used as feedback in the numerical analysis, which in this particular case, avoid the necessity of build a high-rise building for the test.

*Innovative Applications of Damage Tolerant Fiber-Reinforced Cementitious Materials for New Earthquake-Resistant Structural Systems and Retrofit of Existing Structures* (Project 47). In this project, to enhance the seismic performance of existing steel buildings, a retrofit system was developed and evaluated experimentally. A 1980's steel building design in California was considered for the proposed retrofit. The proposed system consists of high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete (HPFRC) infill panels acting as energy dissipation elements that can be easily replaced after a major earthquake. The numerical substructure consisted of a 2-bay, 2-story SMRF building, and the experimental substructure consisted of a 2/3-scale model of 1-bay and 2-stories with 5 double infill panels per story. Hybrid simulation enabled realistic global assessment of the system, and showed that during a DBE the retrofit system reduces seismic demands by approximately 40% in terms of story and residual drift ratios compared with the un-retrofitted frame (Lignos *et al.* 2014).

*Performance-Based Design for Cost-Effective Seismic Hazard Mitigation in New Buildings Using Supplemental Passive Damper Systems* (Project 1018) and *Advanced Servo-Hydraulic Control and Real-Time Testing of Damped Structures* (Project 711). More than 170 RTHS were conducted at the Lehigh facility on 3-story steel buildings and 2-story moment resisting frame (MRF) buildings equipped with supplemental passive dampers. Both viscous fluid and elastomeric dampers were considered to assess their impact on the performance of the buildings, and to evaluate and validate the proposed design procedures (Dong *et al.* 2014). The experimental substructure was scaled to 60% with dampers. The numerical substructure was the remainder of the building. The results showed that when the elastomeric dampers were included in the MRF frame, the base shear was less than the design shear base specified by current specifications producing a structure lighter than a conventional SMRF (Mahvashmohammadi *et al.* 2013). The researchers concluded that advanced damping systems have strong potential for mitigating the impact of earthquakes on structures and meeting the objectives of performance-based design. However, additional realistic evaluations are a necessary step to increase awareness and encourage their adoption. Even so, the velocity dependent nature of the device and the need for including interactions between the device and frame necessitated the development of advances in RTHS as the test would not have been complete using only quasi-static testing.

*Semiactive Control of Nonlinear Structures* (Project 21), *Performance-Based Design and Real-Time Large-Scale Testing to Enable Implementation of Advanced Damping Systems* (Project 648), *Development of a Real-Time Multi-Site Hybrid Testing Tool for NEES* (Project 972), *Development and Validation of a Robust Framework for Real-time Hybrid Testing* (Project 1135), and *Real-Time Hybrid Simulation Test-Bed for Structural Systems with Smart Dampers* (Project 973). Each project produced an important contribution in different subjects. For instance, Project

21 demonstrated the ability of semi-active control devices to improve the structural response subject to earthquake ground motion. Project 648 conducted the first large-scale RTHS on a complex frame system using multiple actuators. Project 972 developed and demonstrated the capacity of NEES labs to conduct more complex RTHS by involving multiple laboratories and transferring information needed to conduct the test between those locations, which is known as geographically-distributed RTHS. Project 1135 concentrated on the evaluation of new hydraulic actuator control strategies to enable more representative RTHS. Project 973 worked to improve the performance of RTHS for evaluating structures controlled by semi-active devices.

This group of NEES projects were among the very first to successfully develop and validate RTHS methods to assess global structural response (Friedman *et al.* 2013). Initially, RTHS was conducted with a damper alone as the experimental substructure. Additional successes were achieved toward the development of geographically-distributed tests. After advances were made in the actuator controllers, more complex testing was performed using a damped steel MRF as the experimental substructure and RTHS was shown to be successful on a frame structure.

Once RTHS methods were developed and demonstrated, they were used to evaluate the global performance of the structures. Shared facilities capable of implementing large-scale RTHS were utilized to develop performance-based design methodologies for advanced damping systems and to develop high fidelity models for devices and improved control algorithms for model-based simulation study. New MR damper control strategies were developed and validated (Friedman *et al.* 2014). The results indicated that large scale MR dampers could provide significant seismic response reduction even with the maximum credible earthquake (MCE). RTHS was essential to perform these tests as it provides an efficient and cost-effective tool for global evaluation of novel devices, such as MR damper controllers, that exhibit rate dependent behavior making real time execution necessary for accurate results (Phillips *et al.* 2010).

### 3. Conclusions

Developing resilient and sustainable communities will require an evolution in the ways that we conduct experiments and perform simulations. Infrastructure system design procedures must be supported by experiments that represent realistic conditions when those structures are in service. The availability of HS and RTHS have clearly expanded the types of testing that is possible to improve resilience and reduce earthquake risk in the built environment. The role of HS in enabling these tests has been exploited to evaluate the performance of new design concepts and structural systems and novel devices, as well as enabling code provisions to be examined with the most realistic loading conditions.

The projects revisited and reconstructed through the discussion herein encompass only those projects within the NEES network, providing a broad view albeit still a subset of what is possible using HS/RTHS. Among the projects considered are masonry, reinforced concrete, steel, dampers, bracing systems, and other novel concepts. Together these projects have demonstrated that HS and RTHS provide additional versatility, effectiveness, economy, safety and reliability for reproducing more realistic responses of complex structural and geotechnical systems. Because the numerical substructure can readily be replaced/modified, an unlimited number of structures and configurations can be examined with a single physical specimen. Furthermore, HS and RTHS enable testing of structural configurations that are too tall or too long to be adequately considered in a laboratory, such as long span bridges and high rise buildings. Several of these projects

concluded that such advantages were achieved with HS over traditional methods (quasi-static and shake table test). And when a test may be particularly costly or introduce certain safety concerns, HS and RTHS provides alternative approaches in enabling some new earthquake engineering concepts and research to be studied and performed.

Note that although HS has promising future, researchers such as those recognized herein are still working toward bringing this technology to the mainstream, and thus making them accessible to a broader set of researchers. A great deal is being learned about employing these methods in new situations to consider system behaviors. Each success leads HS and thus earthquake engineering toward achieving resilience through the examination and validation of novel systems under realistic situations. The possibility of conducting geographically distributed tests, as some of these projects have done, opens new doors to testing complex systems.

The capabilities of hybrid simulation continue to be explored in several more projects that are in progress. For updates and details, see: <https://nees.org/wiki/RTHSwiki>

## Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by the Colombia-Purdue Institute for Advanced Scientific Research (CPI), Universidad del Valle and Purdue University. The NEES hub (<https://nees.org/>) was established with funding from the US National Science Foundation under Award Number CMMI-0927178. The authors also acknowledge the dedication of NEES researchers who published their data via the Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation Data Repository. Project data and images contained herein are available through the NEES hub, supported by the NSF Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation Research (NEESR) Program under Award Numbers: CMMI-724172, CMMI-0116005, CMMI-0829978, CMMI-0936633, CMMI-0530737, CMMI-0324277, CMMI-0420974, CMMI- 0530756.

## References

- AASHTO (1995), *Standard specifications for highway bridges, division I-A: seismic design american association of state highway and transportation officials, Inc.*, 15th Ed., as amended by the Interim Specification - Bridges, Washington, DC.
- ACI Committee, American Concrete Institute and International Organization for Standardization (2008), *Building code requirements for structural concrete and commentary (ACI 318-08)*, Farmington Hills, MI.
- American Institute of Steel Construction AISC(2010), *Seismic provisions for structural steel buildings*, Chicago, IL.
- Arendt, L.A., Earle, S. and Meyers, R. (2010), "Results of a cross-disciplinary survey on isolation systems decision making", *Proceedings of the 9th U.S. Natl. and 10th Canadian Conf. on Eq. Eng.*, Toronto, Canada, July.
- Chen, C., Ricles, J.M., Karavasilis, T.L., Chae, Y. and Sause, R. (2012), "Evaluation of a real-time hybrid simulation system for performance evaluation of structures with rate dependent devices subjected to seismic loading", *Eng. Struct.*, **35**, 71-82.
- Christenson, R., Dyke, S.J., Zhang, J., Mosqueda, G., Chen, C., Nakata, N., Laplace, P., Song, W., Chae, Y., Marshall, G., Ou, G., Song, C. and Riascos, C.A. (2014), *Hybrid simulation: a discussion of current assessment measures*, <https://nees.org/resources/12876>.
- Deierlein, G.G., Billington, S. and Hajjar, J.F. (2005), *Controlled rocking of steel-framed buildings*, April 24, 2014. <https://nees.org/warehouse/project/75>



- Deierlein, G., Arduino, P., Assimaki, D., Caicedo, J.M., Dyke, S.J., Hachem, M.M., Irfanoglu, A., McKenna, F., Lynett P., Lowes, L.N., Mejia, L. Mazzoni, S., Mosqueda, G., Nakata, N., Zhang, J. and Rodgers, G.P. (2011), *NEES Vision Report on Computational and Hybrid Simulation*, NEEScomm Simulation Steering Committee, April 24, 2014. <http://nees.org/resources/3834>.
- Dong, B., Sause, R., Ricles, J., Ahn, R., Chae, Y., Marullo, T. and Novak, G. (2014), "Real-time Hybrid Simulation of a large-scale steel structure with Viscous Dampers-Phase 2", Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (distributor), Dataset, DOI:10.4231/D3GH9B894
- Elkhoraibi, T. and Mosalam, K.M. (2007), *Generalized hybrid simulation framework for structural systems subjected to seismic loading*, PEER report 101, University of California, Berkeley.
- Eatherton, M., Hajjar, J.F., Deierlein, G.G., Ma, X. and Krawinkler, H. (2010), "Hybrid simulation testing of a controlled rocking steel braced frame system", *Proceedings of the 9th U.S. National and 10th Canadian Conf. on Earthquake Eng*, Toronto, Canada, July.
- Friedman, A., Phillips, B., Ahn, R., Chae, Y., Zhang, J., Cha, Y., Dyke, S.J., Ricles, J., Spencer, B., Christenson, R. and Sause, R. (2013), "RTHS (Frame + Damper) - 3StoryPS - Single MR Damper (Floor 1)", Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (distributor), Dataset, DOI:10.4231/D3G15TB42
- Friedman, A., Dyke, S.J., Phillips, B., Ahn, R., Dong, B., Chae, Y., Castaneda, N., Jiang, Z., Zhang, J., Cha, Y., Ozdagli, A.I., Spencer, B.F., Ricles, J., Christenson, R., Agrawal, A. and Sause, R. (2014), "Large-scale real-time hybrid simulation for evaluation of advanced damping system performance", *J. Struct. Eng.* - ASCE, 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0001093, 04014150.
- Gomez, D., Dyke, S.J. and Maghareh, A. (2014), *Enabling role of hybrid simulation within the NEES network in advancing earthquake engineering practice and research*, Intelligent Infrastructure Systems Lab Technical Report IISL-008. Purdue University, August. <https://nees.org/resources/13496>
- Hacker, T.J., Eignenmann, R., and Rathje, E. (2013), "Advancing earthquake engineering research through cyberinfrastructure", *J. Struct. Eng.* - ASCE, **139**(7), 1099-1111.
- Hakuno, M., Shidawara, M. and Hara, T. (1969), "Dynamic destructive test of a cantilever beam controlled by an analog-computer", *T. Japan Soc. Civil Eng.*, **171**, 1-9. (in Japanese).
- Halbert, P.W., Landauer, J.P. and Witsenhausen, H.S. (1963), "Hybrid simulation of adapt path control", *Proceedings of the AIAA Simulation for Aerospace Flight Conference*, Columbus, Ohio, USA, August.
- Hashemi, A. and Mosalam, K.M. (2006), "Shake-table experiment on reinforced concrete structure containing masonry infill wall", *Earthq. Eng. Struct. D.*, **35**(14), 1827-1852.
- Hashemi, M.J. and Mosqueda, G. (2014a), "Innovative substructuring technique for hybrid simulation of multistory buildings through collapse", *Earthq. Eng. Struct. D.*, **43**(14), 2059-2074.
- Hashemi, M.J. and Mosqueda, G. (2014b), "Hybrid simulation of 4 story frame to collapse using 1.5 story substructure", Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (distributor), Dataset, DOI:10.4231/D3F18SG1T
- Heartz, R.A. and Jones, T.H. (1964), "Hybrid simulation of space vehicle guidance system", *Proceedings of the International Space Electronics Symposium*, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, October.
- Kim, S.J., Holub, C.J. and Elnashai, A.S. (2011), "Experimental investigation of the behavior of RC bridge piers subjected to horizontal and vertical earthquake motion", *Eng. Struct.*, **33**(7), 2221-2235.
- Li, J., Spencer, B.F. and Elnashai, A.S. (2013), "Bayesian updating of fragility functions using hybrid simulation", *J. Struct. Eng.* - ASCE, **139**(7), 1160-1171.
- Lignos, D. (2008), *Sidesway collapse of deteriorating structural systems under seismic excitations*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford University, California.
- Lignos, D., Moreno, D. and Billington, S. (2014), "Seismic retrofit of steel moment-resisting frames with high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete infill panels: large-scale hybrid simulation experiments", *J. Struct. Eng.* - ASCE, **140**(3), 04013072.
- Lin, P.C., Tsail, K.C., Wang, K.J., Yu, Y.J., Wei, C.Y., Wu, A.C., Tsai, C.Y., Lin, C.H., Chen, J.C., Schellenberg, A.H., Mahin, S.A. and Roeder, C.W. (2012), "Seismic design and hybrid tests of a full-scale three-story buckling-restrained braced frame using welded end connections and thin profile", *Earthq. Eng. Struct. D.*, **41**(5), 1001-1020.
- Lin, S.L., Li, J., Elnashai, A.S. and Spencer, B.F. (2012), "NEES integrated seismic risk assessment

- framework (NISRAF)", *Soil Dyn. Earthq. Eng.*, **42**, 219-228.
- Lin, Y., Sause, R. and Ricles, J. (2013), "Seismic performance of steel self-centering, moment-resisting frame: hybrid simulations under design basis earthquake", *J. Struct. Eng. - ASCE*, **139**(11), 1823-1832.
- Leon, R., Yang, C., DesRochers, R., Reinhorn, A., Schacter, M., Stojadinovic, B., Yang, T., Shing, B. and Wei, Z. (2005), "Results of early collaborative research on behavior of braced steel frames with innovative bracing schemes (Zipper Frames)", *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Advances in Experimental Structural Engineering*, AESE, Nagoya, Japan.
- Mahin, S.A., Shing, P.B., Thewalt, C.R. and Hanson, R.D. (1989), "Pseudodynamic test method current status and future directions", *J. Struct. Eng. - ASCE*, **115**(8), 2113-2128.
- Mahvashmohammadi, A., Sause, R., Ricles, J. and Marullo, T. (2013), *Real-time hybrid simulations on a large-scale steel MRF building with elastomeric dampers*, ATLSS Center, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. (Report)
- Nakashima, M., Kato, H. and Takaoka, E. (1992), "Development of real-time pseudo dynamic testing", *Earthq. Eng. Struct. D.*, **21**(1), 79-92.
- Nakata, N., Dyke, S.J., Zhang, J., Mosqueda, G., Shao, X., Mahmoud, H., Head, M.H., Bletzinger, M. Marshall, G.A., Ou, G. and Song, C. (2014), "Hybrid Simulation Primer and Dictionary", <https://nees.org/resources/7702>.
- Open Sees (2006), "Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation", <http://opensees.berkeley.edu>
- Pejša, S., Dyke, S.J. and Hacker, T. (2014), "Building infrastructure for preservation and publication of earthquake engineering research data", *Int. J. Data Curation*, **9**(2), 83-97.
- Phillips, B.M., Chae, Y., Jiang, Z., Spencer, B.F., Ricles, J.M., Christenson, R.E., Dyke, S.J. and Agrawal, A. (2010), "Real-time hybrid simulation benchmark study with a large-scale MR damper", *Proceedings of the 5th World Conference on Structural Control and Monitoring*, Shinjuku, Tokyo, July.
- Ramirez, J. (2012). "The George E. Brown Jr., Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (NEES): Reducing the Impact of EQs and Tsunamis", *Proceedings of the 15 World Conf. in Earthquake Engineering*, Lisbon, Portugal, September.
- Ryan, K., Sato, E., Sasaki, T., Okazaki, T., Guzman, J., Dao, N., Soroushian, S. and Coria, C. (2013), "Full Scale 5-story Building with LRB/CLB Isolation System at E-Defense", Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (distributor), Dataset, DOI:10.4231/D3SB3WZ43
- Schellenberg, A. and Mahin, S. (2006), "Integration of hybrid simulation within the general-purpose computational framework Open Sees", *Proceedings of the 100th Anniversary Earthquake Conference*, San Francisco, California, April.
- Shao, X. and Griffith, C. (2013), "An overview of hybrid simulation implementations in NEES projects", *Eng. Struct.*, **56**, 1439-1451.
- Shing, P.B., Nakashima, M. and Bursi, O.S. (1996), "Application of pseudo dynamic test method to structural research", *Earthq. Spectra*, **12**(1), 29-56.
- Takanashi, K. and Nakashima, M. (1987), "Japanese activities on on-line Testing", *J. Eng. Mech. - ASCE*, **113**(7), 1014-1032.
- Takanashi, K., Udagawa, K., Seki, M., Okada, T. and Tanaka, H. (1975), "Non-linear earthquake response analysis of structures by a computer-actuator on-line system", *Transactions of the Architectural Institute of Japan*, May.
- Tsai, C.Y., Tsai, K.C., Lin, P.C., Ao, W.H., Roeder, C.W., Mahin, S.A., Lin, C.H., Yu, Y.J., Wang, K.J., Wu, A.C., Chen, J.C. and Lin, T.H. (2013), "Seismic design and hybrid tests of a full-scale three-story concentrically braced frame using in-plane buckling braces", *Earthq. Spectra*, **29**(3), 1043-1067.
- Whyte, C.A., Barthes, C., Gunay, S., Park, S., Patterson, D., Takhirov, S. and Stojadinovic B. (2013), "Hybrid simulation of the seismic response of squat reinforced concrete walls - Wall 1 Test", Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (distributor), Dataset, DOI:10.4231/D34T6F32M
- Whyte C.A. and Stojadinovic, B. (2012), "Hybrid simulation of the seismic response of squat reinforced concrete shear walls", *Proceedings of the 15 World Conf. in Earthquake Engineering*, Lisbon, Portugal, September.
- Witsenhausen, H.S. (1964), "Development of a program for the hybrid simulation of a tubular reactor",

*Annales de l'Association internationale pour le calculana logique*, **2**, 112-117.

Yang, T.Y., Stojadinovic, B. and Moehle, J. (2009), "Hybrid simulation of a zipper-braced steel frame under earthquake excitation", *Earthq. Eng. Struct. D.*, **38**, 95-113.