Identification of nonlinear systems through statistical analysis of the dynamic response
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Abstract. In this paper an extension to the method for the identification of mechanical parameters of nonlinear systems proposed in Breccolotti and Materazzi (2007) for MDoF systems is presented. It can be used for damage identification purposes when damage modifies the linear characteristics of the investigated structure. It is based on the following two main features: the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation that describes the response probabilistic properties of the system when it is excited by external Gaussian loads; and a model updating technique that minimizes the differences between the response of the actual system and that of a parametric system used to identify the unknown parameters. Numerical analysis, that simulate virtual experimental tests, are used in the paper to show the capabilities of the method and to analyse the conditions required for its application.
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1. Introduction

Most of the nowadays identification techniques, as reported for instance in the works by Doebling et al. (1996), Hoon et al. (2003) and in the special section of the IASC-ASCE Structural Health monitoring benchmark (Bernal and Beck 2004), are based on the determination of natural frequencies, modal shapes and damping even if other theories and methods are nowadays available (Li et al. 2014). These methods are suitable to study the damage represented by local cracks when it can be reasonably accepted the hypothesis that cracks are always open during the motion of the system (Patil and Maiti 2003, Lin et al. 2002, Kim and Stubbs 2003).

On the contrary, these methods are unreliable when the cracks, crossed by steel reinforcement, alternatively open and close during vibration, as it happens for instance in prestressed and reinforced concrete structures. This behaviour gives rise to changes in the dynamic response of the damaged structural element (Breccolotti et al. 2008).

The non-linearity of damaged reinforced concrete elements was recently studied jointly in the time-frequency domain by Owen et al. (2001) and by Neild et al. (2001). A finite element model for damaged reinforced concrete elements based on the theory of Fracture Mechanics was proposed by
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Saavedra and Cuitino (2001). Also, Petryna and Krätzig (2005) proposed a procedure for the damage evaluation based on the strip modelling of prestressed and reinforced concrete structures. Other methods based on wavelet transformation and entropy measure have been recently proposed (Wimarshana et al. 2017).

Kalman filters have been also used for structural identification in the field of civil engineering. Wu and Smyth (2007) were among the first researcher to use unscented Kalman filter in this field highlighting its higher accuracy and robustness when dealing with structural identification of highly nonlinear systems compared to the extended Kalman filter. The improved performance of the unscented Kalman filter has been also observed by Chatzi and Smyth (2009) who exploited the potential of using heterogeneous (accelerations and displacements), non-collocated measurements for structural identification of a 3 DoF nonlinear system with a Bouc Wen hysteretic element. Efforts have been spent to overcome some limitations of this method, such as the complete knowledge of the input excitation (Lei et al. 2019), and to test its applicability to special cases such as structures equipped with Negative Stiffness Device (Erazo and Nagarajaiah 2018).

Recently, other techniques based on Markov chain Monte Carlo method (Green 2015) and on sparse regularization from input-output data (Lai and Nagarajaiah 2019a, b) have been proposed for system identification of structural systems with either nonlinear elastic or inelastic/hysteretic behavior.

To overcome some of the disadvantages of the existing dynamic methods, recent researches proposed the use of innovative techniques based on probabilistic analysis of the dynamic response of nonlinear structures subjected to Gaussian excitation. These methods rely on the property that the response of a nonlinear system to a Gaussian excitation is non-Gaussian. The upper statistical moments of the response are used as a measure of the non-gaussianity of the response and, therefore, as damage indicator.

The theoretical basis of this approach was studied by Cacciola and Muscolino (2002) and Cacciola et al. (2003). Subsequently, Hadjileontiadis et al. (2005) applied a similar methodology to a Plexiglas reduced-scale element, obtaining good results in the identification of structural parameters.

Numerical methods for the determination of approximate response probability function have been proposed in the past (Von Wagner and Wedig 2000, Iourtchenko et al. 2006, Mosbah and Fogli 2003). Identification of the parameters governing the dynamics of stochastically forced 1 DoF oscillators has been studied also by Boujo and Noiray (2017) and by Belenky et al. (2019). A MDoF system has been analyzed by Chen and Rui (2018).

In this paper the method based on the study by Breccolotti and Materazzi (2007) is extended and applied to several nonlinear MDoF systems for which a closed-form solution of the Fokker-Planck equation is available. Numerical analyses, that simulate virtual experimental tests, are used to show the capabilities of the method and emphasize the conditions required for its application.

2. Stochastic dynamics of MDoF nonlinear elastic systems

2.1 Theoretical background

Let us consider the following set of n equations for an n-DoF system, characterized by elastic nonlinear properties (Piszczec and Niziol 1986)
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\[ \dot{x}_i + \beta_i \dot{x}_i + \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} = f_i(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( f_i(t) \) are stochastic processes with spectral density \( S_i \) and \( \beta_i \) are positive constants.

Through the substitutions \( x_i = y_i \) and \( x_j = y_{i+n} \) this set can be re-written in the state space as a set of \( 2n \) first order differential equations

\[ \dot{y}_i = y_{i+n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ \dot{y}_{i+n} = -\beta_i y_{i+n} - \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_i} + f_i(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The term \( U(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \) represents the potential energy of the system. It is further assumed that \( f_i(t) \) are independent and uncorrelated white noises with null mean. From Eqs. (2) and (3) we can recognize that the coefficients of the Fokker-Planck’s (FP) equation are given by

\[ a_i = y_{i+n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ a_{i+n} = -\beta_i y_{i+n} - \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ b_i = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ b_{i+n, j+n} = S_i \delta_{ij} \hspace{0.5cm} i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The diffusion equation becomes

\[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y_i^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left( y_{i+n} w \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i+n}} \left( \beta_i y_{i+n} + \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_i} \right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_i} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where the probability density function \( w(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2n}) \) depends on the \( 2n \) variables. Eq. (8) can then be re-written as

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i+n}} \left( y_{i+n} w + \frac{S_i}{2\beta_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_i} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left( \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_i} w + \frac{S_i}{2\beta_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_i} \right) \right] = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

This equation can be solved assuming the validity of the following conditions

\[ \frac{S_i m_i}{2\beta_i} = k \hspace{0.5cm} i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

being \( k \) a positive constant. In this case the solution of the FP equation is
This form of the probability density function is known as the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, being the expression inside the parentheses the total mechanical energy of the system

\[ U_c = E + U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \dot{x}_i^2 + U(x_1, ..., x_n) \]  

(12)

Then, the response probability density function can be written as

\[ w(x_1, ..., x_n, \dot{x}_1, ..., \dot{x}_n) = C \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{k} U_c \right] \]  

(13)

From Eqs. (12) and (13) it can be seen that the velocity probability density function is Gaussian. The joint probability density function \( w \) can also be expressed as the product of two terms, separating the random quantities displacement \( x_i \) and velocity \( \dot{x}_i \). Thus, the displacement probability density function is given by

\[ w(x_1, ..., x_n) = C_1 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{k} U \right] \]  

(14)

where \( C_1 \) is a normalizing constant

3. Stochastic identification

3.1 General procedure

The identification of the mechanical parameters (stiffness and damping) of real structures can be performed by solving an inverse problem of stochastic dynamics. In this section an identification procedure, which relies on the theoretical basis recalled in the previous section, is presented. The method is composed of several phases that can be briefly described as follows:

(i) Excitation of the system. The physical system is excited by \( n \) band-limited white noises \( f_1(t), ..., f_n(t) \), statistically independent and characterized by constant power spectra \( S_{f_1}, ..., S_{f_n} \), which meet the condition expressed by Eq. (10). Excitations of this type can be generated, for instance, through electrodynamic shakers with a closed loop control system.

(ii) Measure of the system response. The proposed procedure requires that the response of the system in terms of displacements \( x_1(t), ..., x_n(t) \) is known through measurements.

(iii) Identification of the parameters that describe the nonlinear elastic stiffnesses. Having assumed for the nonlinear stiffnesses a prescribed law, the identification of the elastic behavior of the system corresponds to the determination of a set of unknown parameters that minimizes the functional
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\[
J = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ w_{\text{test}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - w_{\text{fpe}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \right]^2 \, dx_1 \ldots dx_n
\]  

(15)

where \( w_{\text{test}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \) indicates the probability density function of the measured data, and \( w_{\text{fpe}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \) indicates the probability density function obtained by placing tentative values of the unknown variables in the parametric solution of the FP equation. Since the system response is known by measurements at discrete times, the functional \( J \) used in the identification algorithm (Eq. (15)) has to be calculated through finite values, summing the squared differences between the measured and the theoretical relative frequencies

\[
J = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{p} \left[ \frac{N_{\text{test},l,i}}{N_{\text{tot}}} - \frac{N_{x_i\ldots x_l} (x_1\ldots x_n)}{N_{\text{tot}}} \right]^2
\]  

(16)

where \( p \) is the number of intervals used in the determination of the relative frequencies;

\( N_{\text{tot}} \) is the total number of the sampled measurements, each of which is represented by the values \( x_i(t),\ldots,x_n(t) \) at the generic time \( t \);

\( \frac{N_{\text{test},l,i}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \) indicates the relative frequency of experimental data;

\( \frac{N_{x_i\ldots x_l} (x_1\ldots x_n)}{N_{\text{tot}}} \) indicates the theoretical relative frequencies of the system response obtained solving the FP equation.

3.2 Genetic algorithms

Genetic algorithms have been chosen to solve the optimization problem for their capabilities in finding global minimum rather than local minimum and for the possibility of taking into account nonlinear constraints. Given the general objective functional

\[
J(x), \quad x = [x_1,\ldots,x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n
\]  

(17)

defined within the area of search \( \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), the optimal solution is the one to which corresponds the minimum (or maximum) of the objective functional \( J \). The genetic algorithm, after its initialization, randomly generates populations of the unknown parameters that represent potential solutions of the optimization problem. The functional \( J \) is evaluated for each individual of each population. The individuals that obtained the best values of the functional \( J \) are selected for the next generation. Other individuals are selected on the basis of their performances as parent individual. They are used in crossover and mutation operations to generate further individuals. In addition, further individuals are randomly generated and included in the next generation. The algorithm ends when the performance of one individual is close enough to the target performance.

 Usually the searching space \( \mathcal{S} \) is represented through a \( n \)-dimensional hyperspace defined by the upper and lower limits of each variable.
\[
x_{i_{\text{min}}} < x_i < x_{i_{\text{max}}} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n
\]  

Eventually, in a region \( F \subseteq S \) the following \( m \) equality or inequality constraints have to be fulfilled

\[
g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, q \quad \text{and} \quad h_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = q + 1, \ldots, m
\]

In this context local optimization methods, such as the method of gradient, ensure convergence only in a local minimum and are much more sensitive to the point where the algorithm is started. Conversely, evolutionary algorithms, widely used since the publication of the book by Goldberg (1989), are particularly suitable for solving constrained non-convex optimization problems.

4. Numerical examples

4.1 General remarks

The validity of the identification procedure described in the previous paragraph has been tested by carrying out several numerical simulations on 2-DoF and 5-DoF systems. Different behaviors have been assumed for the nonlinear spring as shown in Fig. 1.
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4.2 Example 1: 2-DoF nonlinear elastic system with increasing stiffness

A 2-DoF system characterized by masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), damping coefficients \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) and stiffnesses parameters \( k_1(x) \) and \( k_2(x) \) is considered (Fig. 2). The spring #1 is nonlinear elastic and the relationship between force \( F_{el1} \) and displacement \( x_1 \) is expressed by

\[
F_{el1}(x_1) = k_1 \left[ x_1 + G_1(x_1) \right]
\]

being

\[
G_1(x_1) = b_1 x_1^2 + a_1 x_1^3
\]

and where the coefficients \( a_1 \) and \( b_1 \) are constant. The spring #2 is linear elastic and the relationship between the force \( F_{el2} \) and relative displacement \( x_2 - x_1 \) is expressed by

\[
F_{el2}(x_2 - x_1) = k_2 (x_2 - x_1)
\]

The external forces \( F_1(t) \) and \( F_2(t) \), acting on the masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), are band limited white noises.

The FP equation can be obtained from the following dynamic equilibrium equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{m}_1 \ddot{x}_1 + c_1 \dot{x}_1 + c_2 \left( \ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2 \right) + k_1 \left( x_1 + b_1 x_1^2 + a_1 x_1^3 \right) + k_2 \left( x_1 - x_2 \right) &= F_1(t) \\
\dot{m}_2 \ddot{x}_2 + c_2 \left( \ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2 \right) + k_2 \left( x_2 - x_1 \right) &= F_2(t)
\end{align*}
\]

which can also be written, in matrix form, as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
m_1 & 0 \\
0 & m_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x}_1 \\
\ddot{x}_2
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
(c_1 + c_2) & -c_2 \\
-c_2 & c_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
(k_1 + k_2) & -k_2 \\
-k_2 & k_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
k_2 \left( b_1 x_1^2 + a_1 x_1^3 \right) \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
F_1(t) \\
F_2(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

It is assumed that the damping matrix can be expressed, in accordance with the Rayleigh’s...
hypothesis, as
\[
[C] = \beta [M] + \alpha [K]
\] (25)
where, for the sake of simplicity, it has been assumed \( \alpha = 0 \). After some algebra, Eq. (23) becomes
\[
\ddot{x}_1 + \beta \dot{x}_1 + \frac{1}{m_1} \left( (k_1 + k_2) x_1 - k_2 x_2 + k_1 \left( x_1 + b_1 x_1^3 + a_1 x_1^4 \right) \right) = f_1(t)
\]
\[
\ddot{x}_2 + \beta \dot{x}_2 + \frac{1}{m_2} \left( -k_2 x_1 + k_2 x_2 \right) = f_2(t)
\] (26)

Recalling Eq. (1), the potential energy of the system can be found
\[
U(x_1, x_2) = -k_2 x_1 x_2 + k_2 \frac{x_1^2}{2} + k_1 \frac{x_1^3}{2} + k_2 \frac{x_2^3}{2} + k_1 \frac{b_1 x_1^3}{3} + k_1 \frac{a_1 x_1^4}{4} + c
\] (27)

The constant \( c \) has to be determined taking into account the initial condition of the system. Since the stochastic process \( F_i(t) \) is characterized by a constant spectral density from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\) equal to \( S_{ii} \), the corresponding scaled stochastic process \( f_i(t) = \frac{F_i(t)}{m_i} \), will have a constant spectral density equal to
\[
S_{ii,m} = \frac{S_{ii}}{m_i^2}
\] (28)

The diffusion equation becomes
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ x_{i,m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{S_{ii,m}}{2\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right] \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ U + \frac{S_{ii,m}}{2\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right] \right) = 0
\] (29)

but the problem can be solved only under the following conditions (see Eq. (10))
\[
\frac{S_{ii,m} m_i}{2\beta} = k \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2
\] (30)

The solution of the FP equation in terms of the displacements PDF can be written as
\[
\psi_i(x_1, x_2) = C_i \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{k} \left( -k_2 x_1 x_2 + k_2 \frac{x_1^2}{2} + k_1 \frac{x_1^3}{2} + k_2 \frac{x_2^3}{2} + k_1 \frac{b_1 x_1^3}{3} + k_1 \frac{a_1 x_1^4}{4} \right) \right]
\] (31)

where \( C_i \) is a constant. To satisfy the condition expressed by Eq. 30 the value of the forcing PSD has to be appropriately tuned imposing that
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Fig. 3 Samples of the time histories with relative frequencies and power spectrum densities of the band limited white noises used in Examples 1 and 2. The left-hand column is related to node n. 1, while the second column refers to node n. 2

\[
\frac{S_{01\_w} \cdot m_1}{2\beta} = \frac{S_{02\_w} \cdot m_2}{2\beta} = k
\]

(32)

The coefficient \(\beta\), which multiplied by the mass matrix provides the damping matrix of the system, has been chosen so that the damping rate for the second vibration mode is equal to 5% of critical.

The first numerical model considered in this investigation is made up of two masses, \(m_1\) and \(m_2\), respectively equal to 400 kg and 320 kg. The masses are subject to the action of external Gaussian forces having power spectral densities \(S_{01}\) and \(S_{02}\) equal to 16.0 kN²m and 12.8 kN²m, respectively. The forces time histories have been generated in the frequency range 0.1 - 50.1 Hz using the well-known algorithm proposed by Shinozuka and Jan (1972). From the analysis of Fig.
where the time histories, PDF and PSD of the forces are shown, it is possible to see that effectively the generated time histories well represent the two desired band limited white noise processes. The behavior of the nonlinear spring #1 is described by Eqs. (20) and (21) with $k_1 = 30 \text{kN/m}$, $a_1 = 0.15 \text{m}^2$ and $b_1 = 0.5 \text{m}^{-1}$. The hardening elastic behavior is represented in Fig. 1. The spring #2 is characterized by constant stiffness equal to $k_2 = 40 \text{kN/m}$.

The duration of the process is 300 sec, with a sampling rate of 200 Hz. The displacement, the velocity and the acceleration time histories have been numerically evaluated by direct integration of the equations of motion using the HHT algorithm (Hughes 1987). The histograms of the calculated displacements for the 2 nodes are shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 Example 1: Comparison between experimental (bars) and identified (lines) displacements PDFs for node n. 1 (left) and node n. 2 (right)

Fig. 5 Example 1: Comparison between experimental (bars) and identified (surface) displacements joint PDF
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Applying the procedure proposed in Section 3 the following unknown parameters have been identified: 

\[ k_1^{id} = 28.25 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1^{id} = 0.155 \text{m}^2, \quad b_1^{id} = 0.502 \text{m}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2^{id} = 41.5 \text{kN/m}, \]

with relative errors equal to 5.8%, 3.3%, 0.4% and 3.7%. The theoretical PDFs corresponding to the identified parameters are also shown in Fig. 4. The comparison between experimental and identified displacements joint PDF is shown in Fig. 5.

4.3 Example 2: 2-DoF nonlinear elastic system with decreasing stiffness

The second numerical model considered is similar to the first one with masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), respectively equal to 400 kg and 320 kg, subjected to the same external forces used in the previous example. The behavior of the nonlinear spring \#1, described by Eqs. 20-21 with \( k_1 = 50 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1 = 0.5 \text{m}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = -1.2 \text{m}^{-1} \), is of softening type (Fig. 1). The spring \#2 is characterized by a constant stiffness \( k_2 \) equal to 40 kN/m. The histograms of the calculated displacements for the 2 nodes are shown in Fig. 6. With the proposed procedure the following unknown parameters have been identified:

\[ k_1^{id} = 49.875 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1^{id} = 0.525 \text{m}^2, \quad b_1^{id} = -1.300 \text{m}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2^{id} = 41.0 \text{kN/m}. \]

Also in this case the relative errors turned out quite small being equal to -0.2%, 5.0%, 3.7% and 2.5%, respectively. The theoretical PDFs corresponding to the identified parameters are shown in Fig. 6 while the comparison between experimental and identified displacements joint PDF is shown in Fig. 7.
4.4 Example 3: 2-DoF nonlinear elastic system with asymmetric increasing stiffness

The third numerical model analyzed is still a 2-DoF system with masses $m_1$ and $m_2$, respectively equal to 312.5 kg and 250 kg. The two masses are subjected to the action of external Gaussian forces having power spectral densities $S_{01}$ and $S_{02}$ equal to 9.0 kN$^2$m and 7.2 kN$^2$m, respectively, in the frequency range 0.1 - 50.1 Hz. The time histories, PDF and PSD of the applied forces are shown in Fig. 8. The behavior of the nonlinear spring #1, described by Eqs. (20) and (21) with $k_1 = 12$ kN/m, $a_1 = 0.398$ m$^{-2}$ and $b_1 = 1.32$ m$^{-1}$, is of asymmetric hardening type (Fig. 1). The spring #2 is characterized by constant stiffness $k_2$ equal to 50 kN/m. The results of the numerical integration are shown with histogram bars in Figs. 9. The unknown parameters have been identified with the optimization technique based on genetic algorithm described in sec. 4.4. The optimal solution has been searched carrying out 3000 generations each having a population of 100 vectors of the four unknowns $k_1$, $a_1$, $b_1$, $k_2$. For each parameter the solution has been searched in a range corresponding to ±50% the true value. The convergence of the fitness function to the optimal solution as the number of generation increases is shown in Fig. 10. The proposed method allowed the identification of the following unknown parameters:

$$k_1^{id} = 11.798 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1^{id} = 0.405 \text{m}^{-2}, \quad b_1^{id} = 1.330 \text{m}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2^{id} = 52.395 \text{kN/m}.$$ 

The theoretical probability density functions corresponding to these identified values are shown in Fig. 9 with solid curves. The experimental and the identified displacements joint PDFs are shown in Fig. 11.

In order to assess the robustness of the method to real life experimental conditions, each response has been contaminated by fictitious noises. Uniformly distributed disturbances with zero mean and noise-to-signal ratio up to ±1% and ±5% have been added to the pseudo experimental displacement data.
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Fig. 8 Example 3: Samples of the time histories with relative frequencies and power spectrum densities of the band limited white noises. The left-hand column is related to node n. 1, while the second column refers to node n. 2.

Fig. 9 Example 3: Comparison between experimental (bars) and identified (lines) displacements PDFs for node n. 1 (left) and node n. 2 (right)
Fig. 10 Example 3: Convergence of the genetic algorithm solution to the optimal one

Fig. 11 Example 3: Comparison between experimental (bars) and identified (surface) displacements joint PDF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Without noise</th>
<th>1% noise</th>
<th>5% noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$ (m$^2$)</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_t$ (m$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>52.39</td>
<td>+4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Example 3: Results of identification with and without noise
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In the case of noises with 1% noise-to-signal ratio the following unknown parameters have been identified:

\[ k_1^{id} = 11.806 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1^{id} = 0.405 \text{m}^2, \quad b_1^{id} = 1.330 \text{m}^{-1} \text{ and } k_2^{id} = 52.355 \text{kN/m}. \]

Similarly, for 5% noises the identified parameters turned out to be:

\[ k_1^{id} = 11.784 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1^{id} = 0.405 \text{m}^2, \quad b_1^{id} = 1.327 \text{m}^{-1} \text{ and } k_2^{id} = 51.014 \text{kN/m}. \]

The complete results are also reported in Table 1 for comparison purposes.

4.5 Example 4: 5-DoF nonlinear elastic system

The proposed procedure has been finally applied to a 5 DoF system (Fig. 12) with masses \( m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \) and \( m_5 \) respectively equal to 400 kg, 320 kg, 250 kg, 200 kg and 150 kg. External zero mean Gaussian forces having power spectral densities \( S_{i1}, S_{i2}, S_{i3}, S_{i4} \) and \( S_{i5} \) equal to 16.0 kN²/m, 14.3 kN²/m, 12.65 kN²/m, 11.31 kN²/m and 9.80 kN²/m have been applied to these masses. The behavior of nonlinear spring #1, described by Eqs. (20) and (21) with \( k_1 = 30 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1 = 0.15 \text{m}^2 \text{ and } b_1 = 0.50 \text{ m}^{-1} \), is of asymmetric hardening type (Fig. 1). The remaining springs are characterized by constant stiffness equal to \( k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = 40 \text{kN/m} \).

The displacements distributions obtained by integration for the 5 DoF are shown in Fig. 13. For this system the potential energy \( U \) is equal to

\[
U(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \frac{x_i^2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} k_{i,i+1} \frac{x_i^2}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} k_{i,i+1} x_i x_{i+1} + k_i \frac{b_i x_i^3}{3} + k_i \frac{a_i x_i^4}{4} \tag{33}
\]

The mathematical development accomplished in Section 4.2 is also valid for a 5 DoF system. Consequently, an identification procedure similar to the one used for 2 DoF systems can be adopted to identify the unknown parameters of the mechanical system. By doing so, the following values of the mechanical parameters have been identified:

\[ k_1^{id} = 31.121 \text{kN/m}, \quad a_1^{id} = 0.142 \text{m}^2 \text{ and } b_1^{id} = 0.503 \text{m}^{-1} \text{ for the nonlinear spring and } k_2^{id} = 37.744 \text{kN/m}, \quad k_3^{id} = 38.769 \text{kN/m}, \quad k_4^{id} = 36.641 \text{kN/m} \text{ and } k_5^{id} = 36.360 \text{kN/m} \text{ for the linear springs.} \]

The relative errors committed in this identification are shown in Table 2. The comparison between the displacement’s distributions calculated by means of numerical simulation and the distributions corresponding to the identified parameters is shown in Fig. 13.
Also in this case, artificially generated noises have been added to the pseudo-experimental data to verify the robustness of the method. For the case of 1% noise-to-signal ratio, the following unknown parameters have been identified: $k_{1}^{id} = 31.384 \text{kN/m}$, $a_{1}^{id} = 0.138 \text{m}^{-2}$, $b_{1}^{id} = 0.496 \text{m}^{-1}$, $k_{2}^{id} = 37.722 \text{kN/m}$, $k_{3}^{id} = 38.684 \text{kN/m}$, $k_{4}^{id} = 36.610 \text{kN/m}$ and $k_{5}^{id} = 36.381 \text{kN/m}$.

Afterwards, adding noise up to 5% the following unknown parameters have been identified: $k_{1}^{id} = 31.421 \text{kN/m}$, $a_{1}^{id} = 0.138 \text{m}^{-2}$ and $b_{1}^{id} = 0.495 \text{m}^{-1}$ for the nonlinear spring and $k_{2}^{id} = 37.820 \text{kN/m}$, and $k_{3}^{id} = 38.519 \text{kN/m}$, $k_{4}^{id} = 36.383 \text{kN/m}$ and $k_{5}^{id} = 35.820 \text{kN/m}$ for the other springs. The accuracy of these estimates is shown in Table 2.
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Table 2 Example 4: Results of identification with and without noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>True</th>
<th>Identified Without noise</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Identified 1% noise</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Identified 5% noise</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>+3.7</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td>+4.6</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>+4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$ (m²)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1$ (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>37.74</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>37.72</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>37.82</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_3$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>38.68</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>38.52</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_4$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>36.64</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_5$ (kN/m)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>-9.1</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>35.82</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 Comments on the obtained results

The results of the numerical simulations presented in the previous paragraphs provide evidence of the ability of the proposed method in identifying different types of non-linearity. Several numerical investigations also proved the robustness of the method against experimental noises with noise-to-signal ratio up to 5%. In particular, this was all the more true for the parameters that describe the non-linearity of the system, which represent the most interesting data of the investigation. It should, however, be recalled that the applicability of the method requires the occurrence of specific conditions. First of all, the theory underlying the proposed method foresees the elasticity of the system also in the nonlinear field. Thus, the method cannot be used to identify hysteretic behaviors for which other methods must be referred to. Secondly, the method requires compliance with Eq. 10 which can certainly lead to organizational problems. In fact, it requires that all degrees of freedom be loaded simultaneously with forces having predetermined spectral density values. This condition can be achieved, for example, by using different electrodynamic shakers or can occur in the case of structural system with equal masses subjected to uniform excitations.

5. Conclusions

In this paper a new methodology for the structural identification of nonlinear system has been introduced. It is based upon the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation. One of its main advantage is that it only requires the knowledge of the statistical properties of the external forces applied to the system without the need of knowing their exact time histories. The method is composed of three different phases: system excitation with band-limited white noise; solution, in a parametric form, of the Fokker-Planck equation that describes the response of the structure; identification of the system unknown parameters by minimizing an appropriate functional. The identification method has been validated through numerical simulations carried out on two- and five-degrees of freedom systems for which special force-displacement laws, representing a wide range of possible structural behavior, have been assumed. The good agreement between the unknown parameters and the identified ones proved the capabilities of the proposed method. The robustness of the method has been also verified by contamination of the input data with artificial noises.
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