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#### Abstract

The present paper is concerned at investigating the effect of hydrostatic initial stress, gravity and magnetic field in fiberreinforced thermoelastic solid, with variable thermal conductivity. The formulation of the problem applied in the context of the three-phase-lag model, Green-Naghdi theory with energy dissipation, as well as coupled theory. The exact expressions of the considered variables by using state-space approaches are obtained. Comparisons are performed in the absence and presence of the magnetic field as well as gravity. Also, a comparison was made in the three theories in the absence and presence of variable thermal conductivity as well as hydrostatic initial stress. The study finds applications in composite engineering, geology, seismology, control system and acoustics, exploration of valuable materials beneath the earth's surface.
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## 1. Introduction

The process of fiber-reinforcing has been developing continuously with advanced technology and the products are in use in various fields. Carbon fiber is ideal as a strengthening member in pipes for deepwater installations. Most concrete construction includes steel reinforcing, at least nominally. Fiber-reinforced materials are used for structures vulnerable to more or less violent vibrations during an earthquake and for similar disturbances. The study of wave propagation in a fiber-reinforced medium can justify the effectiveness of fiber-reinforcing in civil engineering and geophysics. Fiber-reinforced composites are widely used in engineering structures because of their superiority over the structural materials in applications requiring high strength and stiffness in lightweight components. A continuum model is used to explain the mechanical properties of such materials. A reinforced concrete member should be designed for all conditions of stresses that may occur and in accordance with principles of mechanics. The characteristic property of a reinforced concrete member is that its components, namely concrete and steel, act together as a single unit as long as they remain in the elastic condition (i.e., the two components are bonded together so that there can be no relative displacement between them). In the case of an elastic solid reinforced by a series of parallel fibers, it is usual to assume transverse isotropy. In the linear case, the associated constitutive relations, relating infinitesimal stress and strain components have five material constants. In the last three decades, the

[^0]analysis of stress and deformation of fiber-reinforced composite materials has been an important research area of solid mechanics. Belfield et al. (1983) have introduced the idea of continuous self-reinforcement at every point of an elastic solid. Othman and Abbas (2011), Abbas et al. (2011), Abbas and Othman (2012) have done pioneer works on this subject. Fibers are assumed as an inherent material property rather than some form of inclusion in such models. One can find some work on transversely isotropic elasticity in the literature (Pipkin 1973, Othman and Said 2012).

During the last three decades, generalized theories involving a finite speed of heat transportation (hyperbolic heat transport equation) in elastic solids have been developed to remove this paradox. The first generalization is proposed by Lord and Shulman (1967) and is known as the extended thermoelasticity theory which involves one thermal relaxation time parameter (single-phase-lag model). The second generalization of the coupled thermoelasticity theory is developed by Green and Lindsay (1972), which involving two-thermal relaxation time is known as temperature rate-dependent thermoelasticity. The third generalization is known as low-temperature thermoelasticity introduced by Hetnarski and Ignaczak (1999) called H-I theory. The fourth generalization is concerned with the thermoelasticity without energy dissipation and thermoelasticity with energy dissipation introduced by Green and Naghdi (1991, 1992, 1993) and provide sufficient basic modifications in the constitutive equations that permit treatment of a much wider class of heat flow problems, labeled as types I, II, III.

Another interesting field of recent study is in the field of magneto-thermoelasticity in which interacting effects of applied magnetic field on elastic and thermal deformations of a solid are studied. Such studies have applications in several areas, particularly in nuclear devices, biomedical engineering and geomagnetic investigations. Some of the
works related to the interaction of the electromagnetic field, the thermal field, and the electric field may be available in kinds of literature by Marin and Craciun (2017), Marin and Nicaise (2016), Abbas (2014a,b), Abbas and Youssef (2013), Zenkour and Abbas (2014), Othman et al. (2019), Othman and Said (2014). A number of discussions relating wave propagation in rotating isotropic or transversely isotropic media were reported in literature by Roy Choudhuri (1984), Marin and Florea (2014), Marin, et al. (2017), Othman and Atwa (2014), Ahmad and Khan (2001), England and Rogers (1973), Othman and Said (2015), Othman and Abbas (2012), Said and Othman (2016).

In the present work, we shall formulate a magneto-fiberreinforced thermoelastic medium with variable thermal conductivity under the effect of hydrostatic initial stress and gravity. State-space approach used to obtain the exact expressions for displacement components, force stresses and temperature. Distributions of the considered variables are given and represented graphically. Comparisons conducted between the considered variables as calculated from the 3PHL model, Green-Naghdi theory with dissipation (G-N III), and coupled theory in the presence and absence of magnetic field as well as variable thermal conductivity. A comparison is also made in the three theories in the presence and absence of gravity as well as hydrostatic initial stress.

## 2. Formulation of the problem and basic equations

We consider the problem of a fiber-reinforced thermoelastic half-space ( $x \geq 0$ ). The medium is permeated into a uniform magnetic field with a constant intensity $H=\left(0, H_{0}, 0\right)$ which is parallel to the ${ }^{y}$-axis. We interested in a plane strain in the $x z$ - plane with displacement vector $\boldsymbol{u}=(u, 0, w)$. The field equations and constitutive relations can be written as Belfield et al. (1983), Roy Choudhuri (1984), Montanaro (1999) and Othman et al. (2013) in the context of generalized thermoelasticity as follows:

The constitutive law of the theory of generalized thermo-elasticity is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{i j}=\lambda e_{k k} \delta_{i j}+2 \mu_{T} e_{i j}+\alpha\left(a_{k} a_{m} e_{k m} \delta_{i j}+a_{i} a_{j} e_{k k}\right) \\
+2\left(\mu_{L}-\mu_{T}\right)\left(a_{i} a_{k} e_{k j}+a_{j} a_{k} e_{k i}\right)  \tag{1}\\
+\beta a_{k} a_{m} e_{k m} a_{i} a_{j}-\gamma \hat{T} \delta_{i j}-P\left(\omega_{i j}+\delta_{i j}\right), \\
\omega_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{j, i}-u_{i, j}\right), \quad e_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right), \\
e_{k k}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, i, j=x, z, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, $\sigma_{i j}$ are the components of stress, $e_{i j}$ are the components of strain, $e_{k k}$ is the dilatation, $\lambda, \mu$ are the elastic constants, $\gamma=(3 \lambda+2 \mu) \alpha_{t} \alpha_{t}$ is the thermal expansion coefficient, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma,\left(\mu_{L}-\mu_{T}\right)$ are the reinforcement parameters, $P$ is the initial pressure, $\hat{T}=T-T_{0}$, where $T$ is the temperature above the reference temperature $T_{0}, \delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta and $\boldsymbol{a} \equiv\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right), a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}=1$. We
choose the fiber-direction as $\boldsymbol{a} \equiv(1,0,0)$. The heat conduction equation as Othman and Said (2014):

$$
\begin{gather*}
K^{*} \nabla^{2} T+\tau_{v}^{*} \nabla^{2} \dot{T}+K \tau_{T} \nabla^{2} \ddot{T}=\left[1+\tau_{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{q}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]\left[\rho C_{E} n_{0} \ddot{T}\right.  \tag{3}\\
\left.\quad+\rho C_{E} n_{1} \dot{T}+\gamma T_{0}\left(n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+n_{3} \tau_{0} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right) e+\gamma T_{0} n_{4} \ddot{e}\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

where, $K^{*}$ is the coefficient of thermal conductivity, $K$ is the additional material constant, $\rho$ is the mass density, $C_{E}$ is the specific heat at constant strain, $n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}$ are integers, $\tau_{0}$ thermal relaxation time $\tau_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\tau_{q}$ are the phaselag of temperature gradient and the phase-lag of heat flux respectively. Also $\tau_{v}^{*}=K+\tau_{v} K^{*}$, where $\tau_{v}$ is the phase-lag of thermal displacement gradient.

Using Eqs. (1), then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{x x}=A_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{12} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}-\gamma \hat{T}-P,  \tag{4}\\
\sigma_{z z}=A_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+A_{22} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}-\gamma \hat{T}-P,  \tag{5}\\
\sigma_{x z}=S_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+S_{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x},  \tag{6}\\
\sigma_{z x}=S_{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+S_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{11}=\lambda+2\left(\mu_{T}+\alpha\right)+4\left(\mu_{L-} \mu_{T}\right)+\beta \\
A_{12}=\lambda+\alpha, A_{22}=\lambda+2 \mu_{T} \\
S_{1}=\mu_{L}+\frac{P}{2}, \quad S_{2}=\mu_{L}-\frac{P}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The equation of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \ddot{u}_{i}=\sigma_{j i, j}+\mu_{0}(\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{H})_{\mathrm{i}}+F_{i} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
F_{1}=\rho g \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad F_{3}=-\rho g \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} .
$$

The variation of the magnetic and electric fields are perfectly conducting slowly moving medium and are given by Maxwell's equation as Othman and Said (2015)
$\boldsymbol{J}=\nabla \times \boldsymbol{h}-\varepsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}, \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}=-\mu_{0} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{E}=-\mu_{0}(\boldsymbol{i} \times \boldsymbol{H}), \nabla . \boldsymbol{h}=0$.
Where $\mu_{0}$ is the magnetic permeability, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is electric permeability, $\boldsymbol{J}$ is the current density vector and $\boldsymbol{u}$ is the particle velocity of the medium and the small effect of temperature gradient on $\boldsymbol{J}$ is also ignored. Expressing the components of the vector $\boldsymbol{J}=\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right)$ in terms of displacement by eliminating the quantities $\boldsymbol{h}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}$ from Eq. (9), thus yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{1}=-\mu_{0} H_{0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}-\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}, \quad G_{2}=0, \\
G_{3}=-\mu_{0} H_{0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}-\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where

$$
\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mu_{0}(\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{H})_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

Introducing Eqs. (4) - (7) and (10) in Eqs. (8), thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}= & A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+B_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}+S_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\gamma \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial x}+\rho g \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\
& -\mu_{0} H_{0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}-\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} & =S_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+B_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial z}+A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}-\gamma \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial z}-\rho g \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
& -\mu_{0} H_{0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}-\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
B_{1}=A_{12}+S_{1} .
$$

## 3. Variable thermal conductivity

Generally, the assumption that the solid body is thermosensitivity (the thermal properties of the material vary with temperature) leads to a nonlinear heat conduction problem. The exact solution of such a problem can be found by assuming the material to be (simply nonlinear) meaning that the thermal conductivity $K^{*}$ and $C_{E}$ specific heat depend on the temperature. The thermal conductivity $K^{*}$ is assumed to vary linearly with temperature according to (Noda 1986)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*}=K^{*}(\theta)=K_{0}\left(1+K_{1} \theta\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $K_{0}$ is the thermal conductivity at ambient temperature $T_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ is the slope of the thermal conductivity-temperature curve divided by the intercept $K_{0}$. Now, we will consider the Kirchhoff transformation (Noda 1986)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{1}{K_{0}} \int_{0}^{\theta} K^{*}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is a new function expressing the heat conduction. The above equation with the aid of Eq. (13) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\theta\left(1+\frac{K_{1}}{2} \theta\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (13) and (15), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} & =\frac{1}{\left(1+K_{1} \theta\right)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}}\left[1-K_{1} \theta+\left(K_{1} \theta\right)^{2}-\left(K_{1} \theta\right)^{3}+\ldots\right]  \tag{16}\\
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} & =\frac{1}{\left(1+K_{1} \theta\right)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\left[1-K_{1} \theta+\left(K_{1} \theta\right)^{2}-\left(K_{1} \theta\right)^{3}+\ldots\right] . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For linearity, since $\theta=T-T_{0}$, such that $\left|\theta / T_{0}\right| \leq 1$, then the above equation will be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (15) and (18) in Eqs. (11), (12) and (3), thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+B_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}+S_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\gamma \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}+\rho g \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\mu_{0} H_{0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}-\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}, \\
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=S_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+B_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial z}+A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}-\gamma \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}-\rho g \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
-\mu_{0} H_{0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}-\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}},  \tag{20}\\
K_{0} \nabla^{2} \psi+\left(K+\tau_{\nu} K_{0}\right) \nabla^{2} \dot{\psi}+K \tau_{T} \nabla^{2} \ddot{\psi}=\left[1+\tau_{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{q}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]\left[\rho C_{E} n_{0} \ddot{\psi}\right.  \tag{21}\\
\left.+\rho C_{E} n_{1} \dot{\psi}+\gamma T_{0}\left(n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+n_{3} \tau_{0} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right) e+\gamma T_{0} n_{4} \ddot{e}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Introducing the following non-dimension quantities in the above equation (dropping the primes for convenience):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left(x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)=c_{1} \eta(x, z, u, w), g^{\prime}=\frac{g}{c_{1}^{3} \eta}, \psi^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma \psi}{A_{11}}, \\
& h^{\prime}=  \tag{22}\\
& \frac{h}{H_{0}}, P^{\prime}=P \\
& \\
& \left(t^{\prime}, \tau_{q}^{\prime}, \tau_{V}^{\prime}, \tau_{T}^{\prime}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)=c_{1}^{2} \eta\left(t, \tau_{q}, \tau_{v}, \tau_{T}, \tau_{0}\right), \quad \sigma_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{\sigma_{i j}}{\mu_{T}}, \quad i, j=1,2,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\eta=\frac{\rho C_{E}}{K_{0}}, \quad c_{1}^{2}=\frac{A_{11}}{\rho}
$$

Thus we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=m_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+m_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}+m_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}+g \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}  \tag{23}\\
& C_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=m_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+m_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial z}+m_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}-g \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{K} \psi_{, i i}+C_{v} \dot{\psi}_{, i i}+C_{T} \ddot{\psi}_{, i i}=\left[1+\tau_{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{q}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]\left[n_{0} \ddot{\psi}+n_{1} \varepsilon_{1} \dot{\psi}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\varepsilon_{2}\left(n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+n_{3} \tau_{0} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right) e+\varepsilon_{3} n_{4} \ddot{e}\right] \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
C_{0}=1+\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2}}{\rho}, & m_{0}=1+\frac{\mu_{0} H_{0}^{3}}{A_{11}}, \quad m_{1}=\frac{B_{1}+\mu_{0} H_{0}^{3}}{A_{11}}, \quad m_{2}=\frac{S_{2}}{A_{11}}, \\
m_{3}=\frac{A_{22}+\mu_{0} H_{0}^{3}}{A_{11}}, & C_{K}=\frac{K_{0}}{\rho C_{E} c_{1}^{2}}, C_{v}=\frac{\eta c_{1}^{2} K+K_{0} \tau_{v}}{\rho C_{E} c_{1}^{2}}, \quad C_{T}=\frac{\eta K \tau_{T}}{\rho C_{E}}, \\
\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2} \eta}, & \varepsilon_{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2} T_{0}}{\rho C_{E} A_{11} c_{1}^{2} \eta}, \quad \varepsilon_{3}=\frac{\gamma^{2} T_{0}}{\rho C_{E} A_{11}} .
\end{array}
$$

For harmonic solutions of the Eqs. (23)-(25), we choose $\left[u, w, e, \psi, \sigma_{i j}\right](x, z, t)=\left[\bar{u}, \bar{w}, \bar{e}, \bar{\psi}, \sigma_{i j}\right](z) \exp (c t+\mathrm{i} b x)$.

Where $\bar{u}(z)$, etc. is the amplitude of the function $u(x, z, t)$ etc., $i$ is the imaginary unit, $c$ (complex) is the time constant and $b$ is the wave number in the $x-$ direction.

Introducing Eq. (26) in Eqs. (23) - (25), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}^{2} \bar{u}=C_{1} \bar{u}+C_{2} \bar{w}+C_{3} \bar{\psi}+C_{4} \mathrm{D} \bar{w},  \tag{27}\\
& \mathrm{D}^{2} \bar{w}=C_{5} \bar{u}+C_{6} \bar{w}+C_{7} \mathrm{D} \bar{u}+C_{8} \mathrm{D} \bar{\psi}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{2} \bar{\psi}=C_{14} \bar{u}+C_{15} \bar{\psi}+C_{16} \mathrm{D} \bar{w}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$C_{1}=\frac{C_{0} c^{2}+m_{0} b^{2}}{m_{2}}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{-\mathrm{i} b g}{m_{2}}, \quad C_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{i} b}{m_{2}}, \quad C_{4}=\frac{-\mathrm{i} b m_{1}}{m_{2}}, \quad C_{5}=\frac{\mathrm{i} b g}{m_{3}}$,
$C_{6}=\frac{C_{0} c^{2}+m_{2} b^{2}}{m_{3}}, C_{7}=\frac{-\mathrm{i} b m_{1}}{m_{3}}, C_{8}=\frac{1}{m_{3}}, C_{9}=C_{K}+C_{V} c+C_{T} c^{2}, C_{10}=b^{2} C_{9}$,
$C_{11}=\left(1+\tau_{q} c+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{q}^{2} c^{2}\right)\left(n_{0} c^{2}+n_{1} \varepsilon_{1} c\right)$,
$C_{12}=\left(1+\tau_{q} c+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{q}^{2} c^{2}\right)\left(n_{2} \varepsilon_{2} c+n_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \tau_{0} c^{2}+n_{4} \varepsilon_{3} c^{2}\right), \quad C_{13}=\mathrm{i} b C_{12}, \quad C_{14}=\frac{C_{13}}{C_{9}}$,
$C_{15}=\frac{C_{10}+C_{11}}{C_{9}}, \quad C_{16}=\frac{C_{12}}{C_{9}}, \quad D=\frac{d}{d z}$.
The system of Eqs. (27)-(29) can be written in a vectormatrix differential equation in the following way as (Othman and Said 2015, Noda 1986, Zorammuana and Singh 2015)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} V(z)=A(c, b) V(z) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
V(x)=[\bar{u}, \bar{w}, \bar{\psi}, \mathrm{D} \bar{u}, \mathrm{D} \bar{w}, \mathrm{D} \bar{\psi}]^{\mathrm{T}},
$$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0  \tag{31}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
C_{1} & C_{2} & C_{3} & 0 & C_{4} & 0 \\
C_{5} & C_{6} & 0 & C_{7} & 0 & C_{8} \\
C_{14} & 0 & C_{15} & 0 & C_{16} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## 4. Solution of the vector-matrix differential equation

Following the solution methodology through the eigen value approach (Othman and Said 2015), we now proceed to solve the vector-matrix differential equation (30). The characteristic equation of a matrix $A$ is (by using the Matlab program)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{6}-F_{1} \mathrm{E}^{4}+F_{2} \mathrm{E}^{2}-F_{3}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}=C_{8} C_{16}+C_{4} C_{7}+C_{15}+C_{16}+C_{1}, \\
F_{2}=C_{4} C_{7} C_{15}+C_{6} C_{15}-C_{3} C_{7} C_{16}-C_{4} C_{8} C_{14} \\
+C_{1} C_{8} C_{16}+C_{1} C_{15}-C_{3} C_{14}+C_{1} C_{6}-C_{2} C_{5}, \\
F_{3}=C_{1} C_{6} C_{15}-C_{2} C_{5} C_{15}-C_{3} C_{14} C_{6} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $E_{1}^{2}, E_{2}^{2}, E_{3}^{2}$ be the roots of the characteristic Eq. (32) with positive real parts. Then all the six roots of the above characteristic equation, which are also the eigen values of the matrix $A$ are of the form $\mathrm{E}= \pm \mathrm{E}_{1}, \pm \mathrm{E}_{2}, \pm \mathrm{E}_{3}$.

Suppose $\chi=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right]^{T}$ be a right eigenvector corresponding to the eigen value $E$ of the matrix $A$. Then, after simple manipulations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=E^{2}\left(C_{4} C_{8}+C_{3}\right)+C_{2} C_{8} E-C_{6} C_{3},  \tag{33}\\
& x_{2}=C_{8} E^{3}+E\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{8}\right)+C_{3} C_{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=C_{14} C_{6}-C_{5} C_{16} E-E^{2}\left(C_{14}+C_{7} C_{16}\right), \\
& x_{4}=E x_{1}, \quad x_{5}=E x_{2}, \quad x_{6}=E x_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (33), we can easily calculate the eigenvector $\chi_{j},(j=1,2,3)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\pm E_{j},(j=1,2,3)$. For our further reference, we shall use the following notations
$\chi_{1}=[\chi]_{E=E_{1}}, \quad \chi_{2}=[\chi]_{E=-E_{1}}, \quad \chi_{3}=[\chi]_{E=E_{2}}, \quad \chi_{4}=[\chi]_{E=-E_{2}}$,
$\chi_{5}=[\chi]_{E=E_{3}} \quad \chi_{6}=[\chi]_{E=-E_{3}}$.
Solution of Eq. (30) which is bound as $z \rightarrow \infty$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{1 j} R_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} z\right),  \tag{34}\\
& \bar{w}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{2 j} R_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} z\right),  \tag{35}\\
& \bar{\psi}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{3 j} R_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} z\right), \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{j}$ are parameters,

$$
x_{1 j}=\left[x_{1}\right]_{E=-E_{j}}, \quad x_{2 j}=\left[x_{2}\right]_{E=-E_{j}}, \quad x_{3 j}=\left[x_{3}\right]_{E=-E_{j}}, \quad j=1,2,3 .
$$

Introduce Eqs. (15), (22), (26) and (34) - (36) in Eqs. (5)-(6), we get

$$
\bar{\sigma}_{z z}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{4 j} R_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} z\right)-\frac{P^{*}}{\mu_{\mathrm{T}}},
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\sigma}_{x z}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{5 j} R_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} z\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{4 j}=\frac{1}{\mu_{T}}\left[\mathrm{i} b A_{12} x_{1 j}-A_{22} E_{j} x_{2 j}-A_{11} x_{3 j}\right], \\
x_{5 j}=\frac{1}{\mu_{T}}\left[-S_{1} E_{j} x_{1 j}+\mathrm{i} b S_{2} x_{2 j}\right], \quad P^{*}=P \exp (-c t-\mathrm{i} b x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5. Application

In this section we determine the parameters $R_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ In the physical problem, we should suppress the positive exponential that unbounded at infinity. The constants $R_{j}$ $(j=1,2,3)$ have chosen such that the boundary conditions on the surface at $z=0$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z z}=-R_{p} f^{*} \exp (c t+\mathrm{i} b x)-\frac{P}{\mu_{T}}, \quad \sigma_{x z}=0, \quad \psi=\psi_{1} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f^{*}$ is constant, $R p$ is the magnitude of hydrostatic initial stress and $\psi_{1}=p_{2}+\frac{1}{2} p_{2}^{2} K_{1}$.

Using the expressions of the variables considered into the above boundary conditions (Eqs. (39)), we can obtain the following equations satisfied with the parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{3 j} R_{j}=\psi_{0}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{4 j} R_{j}=-R_{P} f^{*}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{5 j} R_{j}=0 . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\psi_{0}=\psi_{1} \exp (-c t-i b x)$. Invoking Eqs. (40), we obtain a system of three equations. After applying the inverse of the matrix method, we have the values of the three constants $R_{j}(j=1,2,3)$

Hence, we obtain expressions for the displacements, temperature distribution, and other physical quantities.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
R_{1}  \tag{41}\\
R_{2} \\
R_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \\
x_{41} & x_{42} & x_{43} \\
x_{51} & x_{52} & x_{53}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{0} \\
-R_{P} f^{*} \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

After obtaining $\psi$, the temperature increment $\theta$ can be obtained by solving Eq. (15) to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+2 K_{1} \psi}}{K_{1}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Particular cases and special cases of thermoelastic theory

i. A magneto-fiber-reinforced generalized thermoelastic medium under effect of gravity and hydrostatic initial stress without temperature-dependent thermal conductivity from above equations with $K_{1}=0$.
ii. A fiber-reinforced generalized thermoelastic medium under effect of gravity and hydrostatic initial stress with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity from above equations with $H_{1}=0$.
iii. A magneto-fiber-reinforced generalized thermoelastic medium under the effect of hydrostatic initial stress with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity from the above equations with $g=0$.
iv. A magneto-fiber-reinforced generalized thermoelastic medium under the effect of gravity with temperaturedependent thermal conductivity from above equations with $R_{\mathrm{p}}=0$.
v. Equations of the 3PHL model when $\mathrm{n} 0=\mathrm{n} 4=1$ $n_{0}=n_{4}=1, \quad n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=\tau_{0}=0, \quad K, \tau_{T}, \tau_{q}, \tau_{\nu}>0 \quad$ and the solutions are always (exponentially) stable if $\frac{2 K \tau_{T}}{\tau_{q}}>\tau_{v}^{*}>K^{*} \tau_{q}$
${ }^{q}$ as in Quintanilla and Racke (2008).
vi. Equations of the G-N II theory when,
$n_{0}=n_{4}=1, n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=0, \quad K=\tau_{T}=\tau_{q}=\tau_{v}=\tau_{0}=0$.
vii. Equations of the G-N III theory when,
$n_{0}=n_{4}=1, n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=0, \quad \tau_{T}=\tau_{q}=\tau_{v}=\tau_{0}=0$.
viii.Equations of the L-S theory when, $n_{4}=0, n_{0}, \tau_{0}>0, \quad n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=1, \quad K=\tau_{T}=\tau_{q}=\tau_{v}=0$.
ix. Equations of the CD theory when,
$n_{4}=n_{0}=n_{3}=0, n_{1}=n_{2}=1, \quad K=\tau_{T}=\tau_{q}=\tau_{v}=\tau_{0}=0$.

## 7. Numerical calculation and discussion

In order to illustrate the theoretical results obtained in the preceding section, and to compare these in the context
of the above theories, we now present some numerical results for the physical constants as Othman and Said (2014):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=1.76 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}, \mu=3.78 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}, \mu_{0}=1.7, \\
& \rho=7800 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}, C_{E}=383 . .3 \mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{0}=0.3, \\
& \mu_{T}=1.89 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}, \mu_{L}=2.45 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}, H_{0}=180, \\
& \tau_{q}=0.9 \mathrm{~s}, \tau_{v}=0.6 \mathrm{~s}, \alpha_{t}=1.78 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}, g=9.8 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}^{-2}, \\
& K=200 \mathrm{w} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}, \quad \alpha=-1.28 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-2}, \beta=0.32 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-2}, \\
& c=\xi_{0}+i \xi, \quad \xi_{0}=0.3, \quad \xi=-0.6, \quad b=0.4, \quad \tau_{T}=0.7 \mathrm{~s}, \\
& P=1.45 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}, R_{P}=1.9 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}, T_{0}=293 \mathrm{~K}, \\
& f^{*}=0.9, \quad p_{2}=0.3, \quad K_{1}=-10 \mathrm{~T}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The computations carried out for a value of time $t=$ 0.05 s . The horizontal displacement component $u$, the thermal temperature $\theta$, and the stress components $\sigma_{z z}, \sigma_{x z}$ with distance $z$ for the value of $x$, namely $x=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, substituted in performing the computations. The results are shown in figs. $1-16$. The graphs show six curves predicted by three different theories of thermoelasticity. In these figures, the solid lines represent the solution in the 3PHL model, the dashed lines represent the solution derived using the G-N III theory and the dotted line represents the solution derived using the CD theory.

Figs. 1-4 show comparisons between the horizontal displacement components $u$, the thermal temperature $\theta$, and the stress components $\sigma_{z z}, \sigma_{x z}$ with temperature-dependent and temperature-independent thermal conductivity. Fig. 1 depicts that the distribution of the horizontal displacement $u$ begins from negative values. In the context of the three theories with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, $u$ starts with increasing to the maximum value, then decreases, and again increases. However, in the context of the three theories with temperature-independent thermal conductivity $u$ starts with decreasing, and again increases. The values of $u$ increase with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity in the first, then decrease and last become constant. It is clear from fig. 2 that the thermal temperature $\theta$ begins from negative values with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, but it begins from positive values with temperature-independent thermal conductivity and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$, In the context of the three theories with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, $\theta$ increases to maximum values, then decreases, and last become constant. However, in the context of the three theories with temperature-independent thermal conductivity, $\theta$ decreases, then increases and last becomes constant. The values of $\theta$ start decrease, then increasing with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and last become constant. Fig. 3 displays that the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ begins from


Fig. 1 Horizontal displacement distribution $u$ with and without temperature thermal conductivity


Fig. 2 Thermal temperature distribution $\theta$ with and without temperature thermal conductivity


Fig. 3 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ with and without temperature thermal conductivity


Fig. 4 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{x z}$ with and without temperature thermal conductivity


Fig. 5 Horizontal displacement distribution $u$ in the absence and presence of magnetic field


Fig. 6 Thermal temperature distribution $\theta$ in the absence and presence of magnetic field
negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories with temperaturedependent thermal conductivity, $\sigma_{z z}$ starts with increasing to maximum values, then decreases, and moves in wave propagation. However, the context of the three theories with temperature-independent thermal conductivity, $\sigma_{z z}$ starts with increasing, then decreases, and becomes constant. The temperature-dependent thermal conductivity increases the values of $\sigma_{z z}$ and then decreases theirs. Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{x z}$ and demonstrates that it reaches a zero value and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories, $\sigma_{x z}$ starts with increasing, and then decreases and moves in wave propagation. The values of $\sigma_{x z}$ increase, then decrease and again increases with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Figs. 1-4 demonstrate that temperaturedependent thermal conductivity has a significant role in all the physical quantities.

Figs. 5-8 show comparisons between the horizontal displacement component $u$, the thermal temperature $\theta$, and the stress components $\sigma_{z z}, \sigma_{x z}$ with and without the magnetic


Fig. 7 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ in the absence and presence of magnetic field


Fig. 8 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{x z}$ in the absence and presence of magnetic field
field. Fig. 5 depicts the distribution of the horizontal displacement $u$. In the context of the three theories without the effect of the magnetic field, $u$ starts with increasing to the maximum value, then decreases, and moves in wave propagation. The values of $u$ decrease with the effect of the magnetic field in the first, then increase, again decrease, and last increase. It is clear from fig. 6 that the thermal temperature $\theta$ begins from negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$ In the context of the three theories without the effect of the magnetic field, $\theta$ increases to maximum values, then decreases, again increases, and last decreases. The values of $\theta$ decrease, then increase, again decrease, and last increase with the effect of the magnetic field. Fig. 7 displays that the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ begins from negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories without the effect of the magnetic field, $\sigma_{z z}$ starts with increasing to maximum values, then decreases, and moves in wave propagation. The magnetic field decreases the values of $\sigma_{z z}$ then increase, again decrease,


Fig. 9 Horizontal displacement distribution $u$ in the absence and presence of hydrostatic initial stress


Fig. 10 Thermal temperature distribution $\theta$ in the absence and presence of hydrostatic initial stress
and last increase. Fig. 8 shows the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{x z}$ and demonstrates that it reaches a zero value and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories, $\sigma_{x z}$ starts with increasing to maximum values, then decreases and moves in wave propagation. The values of $\sigma_{x z}$ decrease then increase, then decrease and again increases with the effect of the magnetic field. Figs. 5-8 demonstrate that the magnetic field has a significant role in all the physical quantities.

Figs. 9-12 show comparisons between the horizontal displacement component $u$, the thermal temperature $\theta$, and the stress components $\sigma_{z z}, \sigma_{x z}$ with and without the effect of hydrostatic initial stress. Fig. 9 depicts the distribution of the horizontal displacement $u$. In the context of the three theories without the effect of hydrostatic initial stress, $u$ starts with increasing to the maximum value, then decreases. The values of $\boldsymbol{u}$ decrease with the effect of hydrostatic initial stress in the first, then increase and again decrease. It is clear from fig. 10 that the thermal temperature $\theta$ begins from negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at


Fig. 11 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ in the absence and presence of hydrostatic initial stress


Fig. 12 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ in the absence and presence of hydrostatic initial stress
$z=0$. In the context of the three theories without the effect of hydrostatic initial stress, $\theta$ increases to maximum values, then decreases, and last becomes constant. The values of $\theta$ increase, then decrease and again increase with the effect of hydrostatic initial stress. Fig. 11 displays that the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ begins from negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories without the effect of hydrostatic initial stress, $\sigma_{z z}$ starts with increasing to maximum values, then decreases, and moves in wave propagation. The hydrostatic initial stress decrease values of $\sigma_{z z}$, then increase. Fig. 12 shows the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{x z}$ and demonstrates that it reaches a zero value and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories, $\sigma_{x z}$ starts with increasing to the maximum values, then decreases and moves in wave propagation. The values of $\sigma_{x z}$ increase, then decrease and then increase with the effect of hydrostatic initial stress. Figs. 9-12 demonstrate that the hydrostatic initial stress has a significant role in all the physical quantities.

Figs. 13-16 show comparisons between the horizontal displacement component $u$, the thermal temperature $\theta$, and the stress components $\sigma_{z z}, \sigma_{x z}$ with and without the effect of


Fig. 13 Horizontal displacement distribution $u$ in the absence and presence of gravity


Fig. 14 Thermal temperature distribution $\theta$ in the absence and presence of gravity
the gravity field. Fig. 13 depicts the distribution of the horizontal displacement $u$, In the context of the three theories without the effect of the gravity field, $u$ starts with increasing to the maximum value, then decreases and again increases. The values of $u$ decrease with the effect of the gravity in the first, then increase, again decrease and last increase. It is clear from fig. 14 that the thermal temperature $\theta$ begins from negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories without the effect of the gravity, $\theta$ decreases and then increases. The values of $\theta$ increase then decrease with the effect of the gravity field. Fig. 15 displays that the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ begins from negative values and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$ In the context of the three theories without the effect of the gravity field, $\sigma_{z z}$ starts with increasing to maximum values, then decreases, and moves in wave propagation. The gravity decreases the values of $\sigma_{z z}$ and then increase. Fig. 16 shows the distribution of the stress component $\sigma_{x z}$ and demonstrates that it reaches a zero value and satisfies the boundary condition at $z=0$. In the context of the three theories without


Fig. 15 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ in the absence and presence of gravity


Fig. 16 Distribution of stress component $\sigma_{z z}$ in the absence and presence of gravity
the effect of gravity, $\sigma_{x z}$ starts with increasing to the maximum values, then decreases to minimum value and moves in wave propagation. The values of $\sigma_{x z}$ increase, then decreasing, again increase and last decrease with the effect of the gravity. Figs. 13-16 demonstrate that the gravity field has a significant role in all the physical quantities.

## 8. Conclusion

In the present study, the state-space approach is used to study the effect of the hydrostatic initial stress, temperaturedependent thermal conductivity, magnetic field and gravity field on the fiber-reinforced generalized thermoelastic medium based on the 3PHL theory, CD theory and the G-N III theory. We obtain the following conclusions based on the above analysis:

1) It is clear that the hydrostatic initial stress, the temperature-dependent of thermal conductivity, the magnetic field and gravity play significant roles in all the physical quantities.
2) The phases lag $\tau_{q}$ and $\tau_{\theta}$ have a great influence on the distribution of all physical quantities.
3) The curves in the context of the 3PHL theory, CD, theory and the G-N III theory, decrease exponentially with increasing z ; this indicates that the thermoelastic waves are unattenuated and non-dispersive, while purely thermoelastic waves undergo both attenuation and dispersion.
4) The deformation of a generalized thermoelastic medium depends on the nature of the applied force as well as the type of boundary conditions.
5) Analytical solutions based upon the state-space approach analysis of the thermoelastic problem in solids have been developed and utilized.
6) There are significant differences in the field quantities under the G-N III theory, 3PHL model and CD theory due to the phase-lag of temperature gradient and the phase-lag of heat flux.
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