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#### Abstract

In this study, an exact transfer matrix expression for a twisted uniform beam considering the effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia is developed. The particular transfer matrix is derived by applying the distributed mass and transcendental function while using a local coordinate system. The results obtained from this method are independent for a number of subdivided elements, and this method can determine the required number of exact solutions for the free vibration characteristics of a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam using a single element. In addition, it can be used as a useful numerical method for the computation of high-order natural frequencies. To validate the accuracy of the proposed method, the computed results are compared with those reported in the existing literature, and the comparison results indicate notably good agreement. In addition, the method is used to investigate the effects of shear deformation and rotary inertia for a twisted beam
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## 1. Introduction

The analysis of dynamic characteristics for a twisted beam has been widely studied in engineering designs, including turbine blades, impellers, propellers, and helicopter rotor blades. To examine the static and dynamic characteristics for these designs, various methods have been proposed for a twisted beam (Carnegie and Thomas 1972, Zhu 2011, Mustapha and Zhong 2012, Yoon and Lee 2014, Huang et al. 2017, Huang et al. 2019). Using the governing differential equation of motion derived by Hamilton's principle, Murthy (1976) determined the solution of the natural vibration characteristics for non-uniform rotor blades using the transfer matrix method (TMM). Subrahmanyam et al. (1981) used the Reissner method to investigate the dynamic characteristics for the coupled bending-bending vibration of a twisted Timoshenko blade. Banerjee $(2001,2004)$ used a dynamic stiffness matrix method to investigate free vibration characteristics for a twisted Bernoulli-Euler and Timoshenko beams. The dynamic stiffness method proposed by Banerjee $(2001,2004)$ is deduced in a similar way with the proposed method in this study, but his method is formulated based on the finite element method and the present method is formulated based on the TMM. Thus, these two methods have a significant difference in their usage (Lee and Lee 2016, 2017a). Lin (1997) and Lin et al. (2001) used the TMM to verify the effect of twist for non-uniform EulerBernoulli and Timoshenko beams, respectively. Oh et al.

[^0](2003) considered the effect of pre-twist and presetting for rotating thin-walled composite beams. The background studies for a twisted beam can be found in a review paper by Rosen (1991).

Yoo et al. (2001) performed a vibration analysis for rotating pre-twisted blades with a concentrated mass using a modeling method based on hybrid deformation variables. A finite element model using Gauss-Legendre numerical integration was considered by Yardimoglu and Yildirim (2004) to determine the solution of the coupled bending vibration analysis for a twisted beam. The differential transform based on Hamilton's principle is used by Ho and Chen (2006) to solve problems for the free transverse vibration of an axially loaded non-uniform spinning twisted Timoshenko beam. Chen (2014) investigated the effect of damping via a parametric study on vibration characteristics for the bending vibration of axially loaded twisted Timshenko beams under Kelvin-Voigt damping. Sinha and Turner (2011) studied the effect of a pre-twist angle for bending and torsional vibrations of a twisted plate. Oh and Yoo (2016), Shenas et al. (2017a), and Shenas et al. (2017b) studied the vibration characteristics of pretwisted beams made of functionally graded materials. Mustapha (2017) analyzed Dynamic behaviors of spinning pretwisted Rayleigh micro-beams, and Chen and Li (2019) analyzed the vibration characteristics of a rotating pre-twisted composite laminated blade. Gu et al. (2019) investigated the vibration characteristics of a cantilever pre-twisted panel with initial exponential function type geometric imperfection based on the Rayleigh-Ritz method and continuous algebraic polynomial functions. In addition, many researchers investigated the influences of transverse shear deformation (Chaabane et al. 2019, Bellifa et al. 2017, Bourada et al. 2019) and thickness stretching (Bouhadra et al. 2018, Ait Atmane et al. 2017) using the
efficient beam theory, where no shear correction factors are needed.

Among these methods, the TMM can be used as a tool of the simple and powerful numerical analysis to determine high-order natural frequencies for one-dimensional vibration structures. The non-physical numerical instabilities of the TMM can be simply overcome by employing the results discussed in previous works (Lee et al. 2017b, Lee and Lee 2019).

The results obtained from the TMM developed by Lee and Lee (2016) are independent of the number of subdivided elements for a twisted uniform beam, but this method can only be used for a twisted Bernoulli-Euler beam because the theory assumed that the beam element has small cross-sectional dimensions compared to its length. For these reasons, an exact TMM is proposed to determine the exact solution for a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam.

The objective of this study is to develop the TMM to determine the exact eigenpairs of the dynamic characteristics for the above-mentioned problems. Under the derivation of the transfer matrix, it becomes possible to obtain the exact solution for free vibration characteristics of a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam by considering the local coordinate system, the distributed mass, and the transcendental function. Except for the method discussed herein, the transfer matrix method (TMM) with the distributed mass for a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam has not been studied. To demonstrate the accuracy of the present theory, the computed results are compared with those reported in the literature (Subrahmanyam et al. 1981, Lin et al. 2001, Oh et al. (2003), Yardimoglu and Yildirim 2004, Ho et al. 2006). In addition, the effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia for the non-dimensional natural frequencies with regard to the variation of the inverse in the slenderness ratio is studied for a twisted beam.

## 2. Theory

The theory offered herein considers not only rotary inertia and shear deformation but also the pure bending displacements coupled in two principal planes. The notation and coordinate systems shown in Fig. 1 are used to determine the exact solutions of free vibration characteristics for a uniform Timoshenko beam with pretwist, where $X Y Z$ is the global coordinate system, and $x y z$ is the local coordinate system. The same $X$ and $x$ axes are used for the global and local coordinate systems, respectively; the twisted angle at point $O$ and at distance $L$ is $0^{\circ}$ and $\beta$, respectively.

The four second-order differential equations for the coupled bending-bending vibration of a twisted Timoshenko beam are obtained by (Banerjee 2004)

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\rho A \ddot{v}+\kappa_{y} A G v^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} \kappa_{z} A G v+k A G\left(\kappa_{y}+\kappa_{z}\right) w^{\prime} \\
+\kappa_{y} A G \psi^{\prime}-k \kappa_{z} A G \phi=0 \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\rho A \ddot{w}+\kappa_{z} A G w^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} \kappa_{y} A G w-k A G\left(\kappa_{y}+\kappa_{z}\right) v^{\prime} \\
-k \kappa_{y} A G \psi-\kappa_{z} A G \phi^{\prime}=0 \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$



Fig. 1 Notation and coordinate systems used for a twisted Timoshenko beam

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\rho I_{y y} \ddot{\phi}+E I_{y y} \phi^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} E I_{z} \phi-\kappa_{z} A G \phi-k \kappa_{z} A G v \\
& +\kappa_{z} A G w^{\prime}+k\left(E I_{y y}+E I_{z z}\right) \psi^{\prime}=0  \tag{3}\\
& -\rho I_{z z} \ddot{\psi}+E I_{z z} \psi^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} E I_{y} \psi-\kappa_{y} A G \psi-k \kappa_{y} A G w \\
& -\kappa_{y} A G v^{\prime}-k\left(E I_{y y}+E I_{z z}\right) \phi^{\prime}=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k(=\beta / L)$ is the rate of twist, $\beta$ is the twist angle at distance $L, \kappa_{y}$ and $\kappa_{z}$ are the shear shape coefficients, $E I_{y y}$ and $E I_{z z}$ are the out-of-plane stiffness and in-plane bending stiffness, respectively, $\kappa_{y} A G$ and $\kappa_{z} A G$ are the out-of-plane stiffness and in-plane shear stiffness, respectively, and $I_{y y}$ and $I_{z z}$ are the geometric moments of inertia for the beam cross-section on the $x y$ and $y z$-planes, respectively. $E, G$ and $\rho$ are the Young's modulus, the shear modulus, and the density of the beam material, respectively. $m$ is the mass per unit length, and $w(=w(x, t))$ and $v(=v(x, t))$ are the bending displacements in the $z$ - and $y$-directions, respectively. $\phi(=\phi(x, t))$ and $\psi(=\psi(x, t))$ are the slope of the deflection curves on the $y$ - and $z$-axes, respectively; the primes denote differentiation with respect to distance $x$, and the double dots indicate differentiation with respect to time $t$.

The variables related to distance and time in Eqs. (1)(4) can be integrated by a separation of variables method. If $w(x, t), v(x, t), \phi(x, t)$, and $\psi(x, t)$ are assumed to be harmonic vibrations with angular frequency $(\omega)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
v(x, t)=V(x) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega t}, w(x, t)=W(x) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega t} \\
\phi(x, t)=\Phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega t}, \psi(x, t)=\Psi(x) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega t} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

By substituting Eq. (5) into Eqs. (1)-(4), these equations can be rewritten as described below

$$
\begin{gather*}
V^{\prime \prime}-k^{2}\left(\mu-p^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) V+k(1+\mu) W^{\prime}+\Psi^{\prime}-k \mu \Phi=0  \tag{6}\\
\mu W^{\prime \prime}-k^{2}\left(1-p^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) W-k(1+\mu) V^{\prime}-k \Psi-\mu \Phi^{\prime}=0  \tag{7}\\
\mu s_{y}^{2} \Phi^{\prime \prime}-k^{2}\left(s_{z}^{2}+\mu-p^{2} r_{y}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) \Phi-\mu k^{3} V+\mu k^{2} W^{\prime} \\
+k\left(\mu s_{y}^{2}+s_{z}^{2}\right) \Psi^{\prime}=0  \tag{8}\\
s_{z}^{2} \Psi^{\prime \prime}-k^{2}\left(\mu s_{y}^{2}+1-p^{2} r_{z}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) \Psi-k^{3} W-k^{2} V^{\prime} \\
\quad-k\left(\mu s_{y}^{2}+s_{z}^{2}\right) \Phi^{\prime}=0 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu=\frac{\kappa_{z}}{\kappa_{y}}, p^{2}=\frac{\rho A \omega^{2}}{E I_{z z} k^{4}}, q^{2}=\frac{\rho A \omega^{2}}{E I_{y y} k^{4}}, \\
s_{z}^{2}=\frac{E I_{z z} k^{2}}{\kappa_{y} A G}, s_{y}^{2}=\frac{E I_{y y} k^{2}}{\kappa_{z} A G}, r_{y}^{2}=\frac{I_{y y} k^{2}}{A}, r_{z}^{2}=\frac{I_{z z} k^{2}}{A} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

By eliminating all variables except for one of variables $W, V, \Phi$, and $\Psi$ in Eqs. (6)-(9), four second-order differential equations can be integrated into an eighth-order differential equations, as described below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D U^{8}+C_{1} D U^{6}+C_{2} D U^{4}+C_{3} D U^{2}+C_{4} U=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
U=V(x), W(x), \Phi(x), \text { or } \Psi(x)  \tag{12}\\
D=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=k^{2}\left\{4+p^{2}\left(r_{z}^{2}+s_{z}^{2}\right)+q^{2}\left(s_{y}^{2}+r_{y}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& C_{2}=k^{4}\left\{\left(6-p^{2}\right.\right.\left.-q^{2}\right)+p^{2} q^{2}\left(s_{y}^{2}+r_{y}^{2}\right)\left(s_{z}^{2}+r_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&+2\left(p^{2} r_{z}^{2}+q^{2} r_{y}^{2}\right) \\
&+p^{2}\left(s_{z}^{2}-r_{y}^{2}+p^{2} r_{z}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&\left.+q^{2}\left(s_{y}^{2}-r_{z}^{2}+q^{2} r_{y}^{2} s_{y}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& C_{3}=k^{6}\left\{\left(4+6 p^{2}+6 q^{2}\right)-p^{2} q^{2}\left(r_{y}^{2}+r_{z}^{2}\right)\left(s_{y}^{2}+s_{z}^{2}\right)\right. \\
&+p^{2} q^{2}\left(q^{2} r_{y}^{2} s_{y}^{2}-1\right)\left(s_{z}^{2}+r_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&+2 p^{2} q^{2}\left(s_{y}^{2} s_{z}^{2}+r_{y}^{2} r_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&-2\left(p^{2} r_{y}^{2}+q^{2} r_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&+p^{2} r_{z}^{2}\left(1-p^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&-p^{2} s_{z}^{2}\left(1+p^{2} r_{y}^{2}\right) \\
&+q^{2} r_{y}^{2}\left(1-q^{2} s_{y}^{2}\right) \\
&-q^{2} s_{y}^{2}\left(1+q^{2} r_{z}^{2}\right) \\
&\left.+p^{2} q^{2}\left(p^{2} r_{z}^{2} s_{z}^{2}-1\right)\left(s_{y}^{2}+r_{y}^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{4}=k^{8}\left[\left(1-p^{2}\right)\right. & \left(1-q^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(s_{y}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+r_{z}^{2}\right)\left\{p^{2} q^{2}\left(s_{z}^{2}-p^{2} r_{y}^{2} s_{z}^{2}+r_{y}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-q^{2}\right\}+p^{2} s_{z}^{2}\left(p^{2}-1\right) \\
& +q^{4} s_{y}^{2}\left(1+r_{z}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(p^{2} s_{z}^{2}-1\right)\left(p^{2} r_{y}^{2}+p^{2} q^{4} r_{y}^{2} r_{z}^{2} s_{y}^{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& +p^{2} q^{2}\left(r_{y}^{2}+r_{z}^{2}-q^{2} s_{y}^{2}\left(r_{y}^{2}+r_{z}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-p^{2} r_{z}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

If the general solution of Eq. (16) is the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda x} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by substituting Eq. (17) into Eq. (11), an eighthorder polynomial can be found as the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{8}+C_{1} \lambda^{6}+C_{2} \lambda^{4}+C_{3} \lambda^{2}+C_{4}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the solution of Eq. (18), an eighth-order polynomial in $\lambda$ can be changed to a fourth-order polynomial, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{4}+C_{1} \xi^{3}+C_{2} \xi^{2}+C_{3} \xi+C_{4}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda= \pm \sqrt{\xi} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the solutions of Eq. (11) for $V(x), W(x)$, $\Phi(x)$, and $\Psi(x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{21}\\
& W(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} B_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{22}\\
& \Phi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} F_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{23}\\
& \Psi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} H_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{j}$ (for $j=1 \sim 8$ ) is obtained from Eq. (20), and $D_{j}, E_{j}, F_{j}$, and $H_{j}$ are constant values. The relationship between the four constants can be obtained by substituting Eqs. (21)-(24) into Eqs. (6)-(9). $A_{j}, B_{j}, F_{j}$, and $H_{j}$ are related by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j}=\alpha_{j} A_{j}, \quad F_{j}=\beta_{j} A_{j}, \quad H_{j}=\gamma_{j} A_{j} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{j}=-\frac{\left(\bar{a}_{j} \bar{b}_{j}+k^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2} \bar{c}^{2}\right) \bar{d}_{j}+\bar{h}_{j}\left(k^{3} \lambda_{j}^{2} \bar{c}-\mu k^{3} \bar{a}_{j}\right)}{\left(\bar{a}_{j} \bar{b}_{j}+k^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2} \bar{c}^{2}\right) \bar{e}_{j}+\bar{h}_{j}\left(\mu k^{2} \lambda_{j} \bar{a}_{j}+k^{4} \lambda_{j} \bar{c}\right)^{\prime}}  \tag{26}\\
\beta_{j}=\frac{\bar{d}_{j}+\bar{e}_{j} \alpha_{j}}{\bar{h}_{j}}, \quad \gamma_{j}=\frac{k^{3} \alpha_{j}+k^{2} \lambda_{j}+k \lambda_{j} \bar{c} \beta_{j}}{\bar{a}_{j}}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{a}_{j}=s_{z}^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2}\left(\mu s_{y}^{2}+1-p^{2} r_{z}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right) \\
\bar{b}_{j}=\mu s_{y}^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2}\left(s_{z}^{2}+\mu-p^{2} r_{y}^{2} s_{z}^{2}\right), \\
\bar{c}=\mu s_{y}^{2}+s_{z}^{2}, \quad \bar{d}_{j}=k^{3} p^{2} s_{z}^{2}-\mu k^{3}-\mu k \lambda_{j}^{2}  \tag{27}\\
\bar{e}_{j}=k^{2} \mu \lambda_{j}+\mu \lambda_{j}^{3}+\lambda_{j} k^{2} p^{2} s_{z}^{2}, \quad \bar{h}_{j}=\mu\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+k^{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (25) and (26) into Eqs. (22)-(24), these equations can be rewritten as follows:

Table 1 Comparison results of the first five natural frequencies for a uniform cantilever beam with pre-twist

| $\omega$ | Natural frequencies (Hz) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta=45^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present |  |  | Lin et al. (2001) | Subrahmanyam et al. (1981) | Oh et al.(2003) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yardimoglu } \\ & \text { al. (2004) } \end{aligned}$ | et | Ho et al. (2006) |
|  | $\omega^{T}$ | $\omega^{B}$ | $\omega^{T} / \omega^{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 62.0 | 62.1 | 0.9984 | 62.0 | 61.9 | 62.0 | 61.8 |  | 62.0 |
| 2 | 305.0 | 305.5 | 0.9984 | 304.6 | 304.7 | 305.1 | 304.8 |  | 305.1 |
| 3 | 943.1 | 949.9 | 0.9928 | 938.0 | 937.0 | 949.0 | 944.5 |  | 943.2 |
| 4 | 1199.9 | 1221.9 | 0.9820 | 1186.2 | 1205.1 | 1206.1 | 1193.0 |  | 1199.7 |

Table 2 Comparison of the natural frequencies for a twisted beam given by using a different number of elements

|  | Natural frequency $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega$ | $\beta=45^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| $\omega$ | 1 element | 100 elements | 2 elements |  |
|  |  |  | 62.0 | 62.0 |
| 1 | 62.0 | 305.0 | 305.0 | 62.0 |
| 2 | 305.0 | 943.1 | 943.1 | 305.0 |
| 3 | 943.1 | 1199.9 | 1199.9 | 943.1 |
| 4 | 1199.9 |  |  | 1199.9 |

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} \alpha_{j} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{28}\\
& \Phi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} \beta_{j} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{29}\\
& \Psi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{8} \gamma_{j} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

The shear force and moments are given by (Banerjee 2004)

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{y}(x, t)=-E I_{y y} \phi^{\prime}(x, t)-k E I_{y y} \psi(x, t)  \tag{31}\\
M_{z}(x, t)=-E I_{z z} \psi^{\prime}(x, t)+k E I_{z z} \phi(x, t)  \tag{32}\\
V_{y}(x, t)=-E I_{z z} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x, t)+k^{2} E I_{y y} \psi(x, t) \\
+k\left(E I_{y y}+E I_{z z}\right) \phi^{\prime}(x, t)  \tag{33}\\
+\rho I_{z z} \ddot{\psi}(x, t) \\
V_{z}(x, t)=E I_{y y} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x, t)-k^{2} E I_{z z} \phi(x, t) \\
+k\left(E I_{y y}+E I_{z z}\right) \psi^{\prime}(x, t)  \tag{34}\\
\\
-\rho I_{y y} \ddot{\phi}(x, t)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $V_{y}(x, t)$ and $V_{z}(x, t)$ are the shear force in the $y$ - and $z$-directions, respectively, and $M_{y}(x, t)$ and $M_{z}(x, t)$ are the bending moments on the $y$ - and $z$-axes, respectively.

Substituting Eqs. (5) (29), and (30) into Eqs. (31)-(34), the following equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{y}(x)=-E I_{y y}\left\{\beta_{j} \lambda_{j}+k \gamma_{j}\right\} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{z}(x)=-E I_{z z}\left\{\gamma_{j} \lambda_{j}-k \beta_{j}\right\} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{32}\\
V_{y}(x)=-E I_{z z}\left\{\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2} r+k^{2} p^{2} r_{z}^{2}\right) \gamma_{j}\right. \\
\left.\quad-k(r+1) \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}\right\} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x}  \tag{33}\\
V_{z}(x)=E I_{z z}\left\{\left(r \lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2}+k^{2} p^{2} r_{y}^{2}\right) \beta_{j}\right. \\
\left.+k(r+1) \lambda_{j} \gamma_{j}\right\} A_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} x} \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{E I_{y y}}{E I_{z z}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distance $x$ of the element at the left-hand and right-hand end, as shown in Fig. 1, are zero and $L$, respectively. Therefore, when $x=0$ is substituted into Eqs. (21), (28)-(30), and (31)-(34), these equations can be written in matrix form as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
V(x) \\
W(x) \\
\Phi(x) \\
\Psi(x) \\
V_{y}(x) \\
V_{z}(x) \\
M_{y}(x) \\
M_{z}(x)
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{36}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & P_{14} & P_{15} & P_{16} & P_{17} & P_{18} \\
P_{21} & P_{22} & P_{23} & P_{24} & P_{25} & P_{26} & P_{27} & P_{28} \\
P_{31} & P_{32} & P_{33} & P_{34} & P_{35} & P_{36} & P_{37} & P_{38} \\
P_{41} & P_{42} & P_{43} & P_{44} & P_{45} & P_{46} & P_{47} & P_{48} \\
P_{51} & P_{52} & P_{53} & P_{54} & P_{55} & P_{56} & P_{57} & P_{58} \\
P_{61} & P_{62} & P_{63} & P_{64} & P_{65} & P_{66} & P_{67} & P_{68} \\
P_{71} & P_{72} & P_{73} & P_{74} & P_{75} & P_{76} & P_{77} & P_{78} \\
P_{81} & P_{82} & P_{83} & P_{84} & P_{85} & P_{86} & P_{87} & P_{88}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
A_{3} \\
A_{4} \\
A_{5} \\
A_{6} \\
A_{7} \\
A_{8}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$P_{1 j}=1, P_{2 j}=\alpha_{j}, P_{3 j}=\beta_{j}, P_{4 j}=\gamma_{j}$
$P_{5 j}=-E I_{z z}\left\{\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2} r+k^{2} p^{2} r_{z}^{2}\right) \gamma_{j}-k(r+1) \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}\right\}$
$P_{6 j}=E I_{z z}\left\{\left(r \lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2}+k^{2} p^{2} r_{y}^{2}\right) \beta_{j}+k(r+1) \lambda_{j} \gamma_{j}\right\}$
$P_{7 j}=-E I_{y y}\left\{\beta_{j} \lambda_{j}+k \gamma_{j}\right\}, P_{8 j}=-E I_{z z}\left\{\gamma_{j} \lambda_{j}-k \beta_{j}\right\}$
Eq. (36) can be simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}_{x=0}=\mathbf{P A} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (38), it is obvious that the arbitrary constants A is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{x=0} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, when $x=L$ is substituted into Eqs. (21), (28)-(30), and (31)-(34), these equations can be written in matrix form as follows:

$\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{Q}_{11} & \mathrm{Q}_{12} & \mathrm{Q}_{13} & \mathrm{Q}_{14} & \mathrm{Q}_{15} & \mathrm{Q}_{16} & \mathrm{Q}_{17} & \mathrm{Q}_{18} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{21} & \mathrm{Q}_{22} & \mathrm{Q}_{23} & \mathrm{Q}_{24} & \mathrm{Q}_{25} & \mathrm{Q}_{26} & \mathrm{Q}_{27} & \mathrm{Q}_{28} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{31} & \mathrm{Q}_{32} & \mathrm{Q}_{33} & \mathrm{Q}_{34} & \mathrm{Q}_{35} & \mathrm{Q}_{36} & \mathrm{Q}_{37} & \mathrm{Q}_{38} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{41} & \mathrm{Q}_{42} & \mathrm{Q}_{43} & \mathrm{Q}_{44} & \mathrm{Q}_{45} & \mathrm{Q}_{46} & \mathrm{Q}_{47} & \mathrm{Q}_{48} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{51} & \mathrm{Q}_{52} & \mathrm{Q}_{53} & \mathrm{Q}_{54} & \mathrm{Q}_{55} & \mathrm{Q}_{56} & \mathrm{Q}_{57} & \mathrm{Q}_{58} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{61} & \mathrm{Q}_{62} & \mathrm{Q}_{63} & \mathrm{Q}_{64} & \mathrm{Q}_{65} & \mathrm{Q}_{66} & \mathrm{Q}_{67} & \mathrm{Q}_{68} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{71} & \mathrm{Q}_{72} & \mathrm{Q}_{73} & \mathrm{Q}_{74} & \mathrm{Q}_{75} & \mathrm{Q}_{76} & \mathrm{Q}_{77} & \mathrm{Q}_{78} \\ \mathrm{Q}_{82} & \mathrm{Q}_{83} & \mathrm{Q}_{84} & \mathrm{Q}_{85} & \mathrm{Q}_{86} & \mathrm{Q}_{87} & \mathrm{Q}_{88}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}A_{1} \\ A_{2} \\ A_{3} \\ A_{4} \\ A_{5} \\ A_{6} \\ A_{7} \\ A_{8}\end{array}\right\}$
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{1 j}=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} L}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
Q_{2 j}=\alpha_{j} \mathrm{e}_{j}^{\lambda_{j} L}, \quad Q_{3 j}=\beta_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} L} \\
Q_{4 j}=\gamma_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} L}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
Q_{5 j}=-E I_{z z}\left\{\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2} r+k^{2} p^{2} r_{z}^{2}\right) \gamma_{j}\right. \\
\left.-k(r+1) \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} L}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
Q_{6 j}=E I_{z z}\left\{\left(r \lambda_{j}^{2}-k^{2}+k^{2} p^{2} r_{y}^{2}\right) \beta_{j}\right. \\
\left.+k(r+1) \lambda_{j} \gamma_{j}\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} L}
\end{array}  \tag{41}\\
\begin{array}{c}
Q_{7 j}=-E I_{y y}\left\{\beta_{j} \lambda_{j}+k \gamma_{j}\right\} \mathrm{e}_{j}^{\lambda_{j} L}, Q_{8 j} \\
=-E I_{z z}\left\{\gamma_{j} \lambda_{j}-k \beta_{j}\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{j} L}
\end{array}
\end{gather*}
$$

Eq. (40) can be simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}_{x=L}=\mathbf{Q A} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting Eq. (39) into Eq. (42), the relationship between the state vectors $\mathbf{Z}_{x=L}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{x=0}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}_{x=L}=\mathbf{T Z}_{x=0} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$, and $\mathbf{T}$ is the transfer matrix.
The transfer matrix of Eq. (43) can compute the exact eigenpairs of free vibration characteristics for a twisted Timoshenko beam using various boundary conditions. The


Fig. 2 The first four natural frequencies and mode shapes for a twisted blade when $\beta=45^{\circ}$. (-) mode shapes of inplane (XZ plane) bending, and (----) mode shapes of out-of-plane (XY plane) bending
frequency determinants for the end condition can be found in the previous works (Lee and Lee 2016, 2017a), but as an example, the fixed-free end condition is considered in this study.

## 3. Scope and limitation of present theory

The present theory is considered for a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam where the bending displacements are coupled in two principal planes by the effect of pretwisting. Therefore, the torsional and axial deformations are not investigated because the theory is assumed for only pure bending displacements. It is difficult to estimate the eigenpairs for practical structures such as turbine blades and helicopter rotor blades, and this difficulty has significant effects for coupled problems (bending, torsional and axial deformations) and rotation speeds. Therefore, the applicability of the proposed TMM is limited. However, the natural frequencies and mode shapes for the bending vibration of a twisted non-uniform Timoshenko beam can be computed by idealizing the segments as many stepped uniform twisted beams.

## 4. Results and discussion

The natural frequencies and mode shapes of a twisted Timoshenko beam with fixed-free boundary conditions can be computed from the matrix of Eq. (43). The results

Table 3 The non-dimensional natural frequencies of a twisted Timoshenko beam with the fixed-free condition, $1 / \mathrm{r}=1.001$

| $\beta$ |  | Non-dimensional natural frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {td }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | 106.9269 |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 3.499973 | 3.500035 | 21.35731 | 21.36234 | 57.47073 | 57.49440 | 106.9680 |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 3.499974 | 3.500034 | 21.35733 | 21.36232 | 57.47082 | 57.49434 | 106.9270 | 106.9679 |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 3.499974 | 3.500034 | 21.35739 | 21.36228 | 57.47108 | 57.49417 | 106.9272 | 106.9676 |
| $30^{\circ}$ | 3.499975 | 3.500033 | 21.35748 | 21.36219 | 57.47150 | 57.49389 | 106.9277 | 106.9670 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 3.499976 | 3.500031 | 21.35761 | 21.36208 | 57.47206 | 57.49352 | 106.9283 | 106.9663 |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 3.499978 | 3.500029 | 21.35776 | 21.36195 | 57.47274 | 57.49307 | 106.9290 | 106.9654 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 3.499980 | 3.500026 | 21.35794 | 21.36180 | 57.47352 | 57.49256 | 106.9298 | 106.9644 |
| $70^{\circ}$ | 3.499982 | 3.500023 | 21.35813 | 21.36163 | 57.47436 | 57.49201 | 106.9311 | 106.9633 |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 3.499984 | 3.500020 | 21.35834 | 21.36145 | 57.47523 | 57.49143 | 106.9327 | 106.9622 |
| $90^{\circ}$ | 3.499987 | 3.500017 | 21.35855 | 21.36126 | 57.47609 | 57.49086 | 106.9343 | 106.9610 |

Table 4 The non-dimensional natural frequencies of a twisted Timoshenko beam with the fixed-free condition, $1 / \mathrm{r}=10$

| $\beta$ | Non-dimensional natural frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 3.49980 | 10.63974 | 21.35465 | 54.39871 | 57.47046 | 106.9264 |
| $10^{\circ}$ | 3.50073 | 10.60021 | 21.43488 | 53.47082 | 58.46238 | 106.5927 |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 3.50352 | 10.48566 | 21.67078 | 51.84401 | 60.27993 | 105.6665 |
| $30^{\circ}$ | 3.50817 | 10.30691 | 22.04914 | 50.14413 | 62.29297 | 104.3132 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 3.51471 | 10.07854 | 22.55148 | 48.47692 | 64.38838 | 102.6956 |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 3.52313 | 9.81574 | 23.15711 | 46.87097 | 66.52665 | 100.9359 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 3.53345 | 9.53217 | 23.84524 | 45.33820 | 68.68056 | 99.1202 |
| $70^{\circ}$ | 3.54569 | 9.23902 | 24.59576 | 43.88566 | 70.82158 | 97.3125 |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 3.55983 | 8.94482 | 25.38895 | 42.51935 | 72.91291 | 95.9697 |
| $90^{\circ}$ | 3.57588 | 8.65580 | 26.20449 | 41.24622 | 74.90118 | 93.9540 |



Fig. 3 The first four natural frequencies and mode shapes for the example blade when $\beta=0,15$, and $30^{\circ}$. (-) mode shapes of in-plane (XZ plane) bending and (----) mode shapes of out-of-plane (XY plane) bending
obtained from the present method are compared with those reported in selected literature articles to demonstrate the
accuracy of the proposed transfer matrix theory by using the following examples.

Example 1. The angles of twist applied are $0^{\circ}$ at the fixed end and $45^{\circ}$ at the free end, and the other properties used are as follows (Subrahmanyam et al. 1981):

$$
E=206.85 G P a, G=82.74 G P a, L=0.1524 m, \rho=
$$ $7857.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, m=0.3447 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{y y}=1.0906 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}^{4}, I_{z z}=2.3586 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{4}, A \\
&=4.3871 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2}, k_{y}=k_{z} \\
&=0.847458 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As shown in Table 1, the first four natural frequencies are compared with the results reported in the literature, and the comparison results exhibit very good agreement. To confirm the effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia for a twisted beam, the results ( $\omega^{T}$ ) given from the proposed Timoshenko theory are compared with the results ( $\omega^{B}$ ) computed by using the Bernoulli-Euler theory. The comparison results have a slight effect on the natural frequencies of the beam element because the values of the main parameters are small: $s_{y}=0.0044, s_{z}=$ $0.0649, r_{y}=0.0026$, and $r_{z}=0.03$. In addition, the effects were calculated as the frequency ratio $\left(\omega^{T} / \omega^{B}\right)$, with the value provided in Table 1.

Because the present solution method should produce the exact same results without regard to the number of

Table 5 Effect of the shear deformation and rotary inertia on the non-dimensional natural frequencies for a twisted uniform beam as a function of the radius of gyration: $1 / r=10$ and $\beta=40^{\circ}$

|  | $r_{y}$ | $r_{z}$ |  | Non-dimensional natural frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |  |
| Ref. [13] |  | - | 3.5341 | 10.4599 | 23.5604 | 54.6941 | 78.6049 | 117.3949 |  |  |  |
| Present | 0.00002 | 0.000063 | 3.5341 | 10.4599 | 23.5604 | 54.6941 | 78.6049 | 117.3949 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0002 | 0.000632 | 3.5341 | 10.4598 | 23.5603 | 54.6935 | 78.6026 | 117.3931 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.002 | 0.006325 | 3.5339 | 10.4558 | 23.5495 | 54.6281 | 78.3826 | 117.2173 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.01 | 0.031623 | 3.5292 | 10.3601 | 23.2921 | 53.0639 | 73.7224 | 113.1828 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.02 | 0.063246 | 3.5147 | 10.0785 | 22.5515 | 48.4769 | 64.3884 | 102.6956 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 0.316228 | 3.1404 | 6.2098 | 13.3005 | 16.4150 | 26.5732 | 29.5680 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 0.632456 | 2.4994 | 3.6559 | 6.7091 | 8.8933 | 12.1271 | 15.2944 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 1.581139 | 1.3810 | 1.5515 | 2.3046 | 3.9891 | 4.7322 | 5.1536 |  |  |  |

subdivided elements, a detailed study was performed to confirm the agreement between the natural frequencies obtained using the subdivided elements with equal (one and one hundred elements) and unequal lengths (two elements). For two elements, the ratios of the length applied are $6: 4$ and $3: 7$, and this structural element has an equal ratio of twist along its length; the angle of twist applied is $45^{\circ}$. The first four natural frequencies are observed, and the accuracy of the new transfer matrix method (TMM) is verified because the comparison results that are obtained are exactly the same in each system, as shown in Table 2. The present theory has not affected by the number of elements needed to obtain the desired results. In this context, the mode shapes for the twisted cantilever blade (for $45^{\circ}$ ) shown in Fig. 2 were calculated using the proposed TMM, and the comparison results with those given by Subrahmanyam et al. (1981) using the Reissner method reveal very good agreement (see Figs. 6-9 in Subrahmanyam et al. (1981)).

Based on the results mentioned above for mode shapes, a detailed study for the natural frequencies and mode shapes of this blade was performed, and the twist of angle was reduced from $45^{\circ}$ to $0^{\circ}$ in $15^{\circ}$ intervals. As shown in Fig. 3, all others, except for the first natural frequency and mode shape, were, as expected, considerably affected due to the increase in the angle of twist.

Example 2. To investigate the non-dimensional natural frequencies for a twisted beam by considering shear deformation and rotary inertia, the following values are assumed:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E I_{z z} / E I_{y y}=1.001 \text { and } 10, E=1, m=1, L=1, \\
k_{z}=k_{y}=1, A=2500, G=0.25 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The non-dimensional natural frequencies for a twisted Timoshenko beam are shown in Tables 3 and 4, when the inverse of the ratios for bending stiffness $(1 / r=$ $E I_{z z} / E I_{y y}$ ) are 1.001 and 10 , respectively. When the results are compared with those computed by using the Bernoulli-Euler theory, it was confirmed that the nondimensional natural frequencies are significantly affected by the shear deformation and rotary inertia (see Tables 3-4 in Lee and Lee (2016)).

In this context, the effect of twisting for the coupled bending-bending vibration of a twisted Timoshenko beam was investigated. As shown in Fig. 4, these results were


Fig. 4 The effect of pre-twist for the non-dimensional frequencies of a twisted beam with shear deformation and rotary inertia for $1 / \mathrm{r}=1.001$ and 10
presented as a frequency ratio with regard to the variation of the angle of twist. The angle of twist is increased from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ in $10^{\circ}$ intervals, and the frequency ratio used herein was calculated as $\omega_{\text {twist }}^{T} / \omega_{\text {straight }}^{T} \cdot \omega_{\text {twist }}^{T}$ is the nondimensional natural frequency given from the proposed Timoshenko theory for a twisted beam ( $\beta=0 \sim 90^{\circ}$ ), and $\omega_{\text {straight }}^{T}$ is the non-dimensional natural frequency obtained by the present theory for an untwisted beam ( $\beta=$ $0^{\circ}$ ). Except for $1 / r=1.001$, the more the ratio of bending stiffness increases, the larger the effect of pre-twist on the non-dimensional natural frequencies. Of course, there is a slight effect on the first frequency, regardless of the stiffness ratio.

A study to confirm the effect of the shear deformation and rotary inertia for a twisted beam is performed, and the non-dimensional natural frequencies ( $\omega_{j}^{T}$, for $j=1 \sim 4$ ) obtained from the proposed method are computed as the frequency ratios $\left(\omega_{j}^{T} / \omega_{j}^{B}\right)$ with regards to the variation of the inverse of the slenderness ratio $\left(r_{G} / L\right) . \omega_{j}^{B}$ are the


Fig. 5 Effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia as indicated by the frequency ratio $1 / \mathrm{r}=1.001$


Fig. 6 The effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia on the frequency ratio for a twisted beam $1 / \mathrm{r}=10$
non-dimensional natural frequencies obtained from the Bernoulli-Euler theory. $\omega_{j}^{B}$ and $\omega_{j}^{T}$ have the same results when $r_{y}$ in the proposed Timoshenko theory is smaller than $0.00002 . r_{G}\left(=\sqrt[4]{I_{y} \cdot I_{z} / A^{2}}\right)$ is the radius of gyration for the two coupled planes. As shown in Figs. 5 and 6, when the beam has a very large length compared to the crosssectional dimensions, as expected, this beam is hardly affected by them. However, in the opposite case, the nondimensional natural frequencies of the beam are considerably affected. The effect of the shear deformation and rotary inertia for twisted $\left(\beta=45\right.$ and $\left.90^{\circ}\right)$ and untwisted $\left(\beta=0^{\circ}\right)$ beams when $1 / r=1.001$ and 10 is shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. As the results show in Fig. 5, the effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia exhibit the same results, regardless of the angle of twist because the beam with the square cross-section is nearly affected by twist.

Example 3. Based on the above-mentioned results, an additional study was performed to confirm the effect of shear deformation and rotary inertia on the natural frequencies of the non-uniform twisted beam shown in Fig. 7. A non-uniform twisted beam is modeled by idealizing the segments as several uniform twisted beams. The properties considered is as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=200 G P a, G=76.923 G P a, L=0.4 \mathrm{~m}, \\
\rho=7850 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, v=0.3, k_{y}=k_{z}=0.8496732
\end{gathered}
$$

As shown in Fig. 7(a) and 7(b), the dimensions of the beam cross-section are $b_{0}=0.03 m, h_{0}=0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ at the distance $x=0$, and are $b_{L}=0.015 m, h_{L}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$ at the distance $x=L$, repectively.

In this regard, we investigated the number of subdivisions required to converge the first six natural frequencies. The convergence is tabulated in Table 6, and the natural frequencies on the non-uniform twisted beam can be found to be rapidly converged as shown in Fig. 8. The results computed from 40 sub-divided elements is within $0.06 \%$ compared with those given using 250 subdivisions, and when using 100 segments, the percentage difference of these results is within $0.0073 \%$. Therefore, using 100 subdivisions to obtain more accurate results, we examined the effect of twisting on the first six natural frequencies for the coupled bending and bending vibration


Fig. 7 Geometry of non-uniform twisted beam modeled by idealizing the stepped and uniform twisted beam: (a) side view, (b) top view


Fig. 8 Convergence of the first six natural frequencies for non-uniform twisted Timoshenko beams.

Table 6 Convergence of the first six natural frequencies on the non-uniform twisted beam

| $\beta=30^{\circ}$ |  | No. of elements | Natural frequency (Hz) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ |
| Uniform | Present | 1 | 101.90 | 151.59 | 634.19 | 922.02 | 1748.7 | 2479.0 |
|  | ANSYS | 15,456 | 102.23 | 151.97 | 636.24 | 924.43 | 1754.9 | 2486.0 |
|  | Diff. (\%) |  | 0.3212 | 0.2530 | 0.3215 | 0.2609 | 0.3509 | 0.2813 |
| Nonuniform | Present | 5 | 130.88 | 194.16 | 549.77 | 800.95 | 1354.1 | 1939.3 |
|  |  | 10 | 133.26 | 197.81 | 562.19 | 819.28 | 1385.4 | 1984.0 |
|  |  | 20 | 133.92 | 198.79 | 565.59 | 824.28 | 1394.1 | 1996.5 |
|  |  | 40 | 134.09 | 199.04 | 566.45 | 825.54 | 1396.3 | 1999.7 |
|  |  | 100 | 134.13 | 199.11 | 566.69 | 825.89 | 1397.0 | 2000.6 |
|  |  | 200 | $\underline{134.14}$ | $\underline{199.12}$ | $\underline{566.73}$ | 825.94 | 1397.0 | 2000.7 |
|  |  | 250 | 134.14 | 199.12 | 566.73 | $\underline{825.95}$ | 1397.1 | $\underline{2000.7}$ |
|  |  | 400 | 134.14 | 199.12 | 566.73 | 825.95 | 1397.1 | 2000.7 |
|  | ANSYS | 37,380 | 134.43 | 199.55 | 568.23 | 828.05 | 1401.2 | 2006.2 |
|  | Diff. (\%) |  | 0.2139 | 0.2144 | 0.2633 | 0.2532 | 0.2952 | 0.2730 |

Table 7 Effect of twisting on the first six natural frequencies of non-uniform twisted beams

| $\beta$ | Natural frequency $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 133.85 | 200.17 | 562.33 | 834.19 | 1382.8 |
| $10^{\circ}$ | 133.85 | 200.14 | 562.54 | 833.66 | 1383.9 |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 133.96 | 199.75 | 564.10 | 830.69 | 1388.8 |
| $30^{\circ}$ | 134.13 | 199.11 | 566.69 | 825.89 | 1397.0 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 134.38 | 198.24 | 570.26 | 819.46 | 1408.0 |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 134.69 | 197.15 | 574.77 | 811.66 | 1421.6 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 135.06 | 195.88 | 580.14 | 802.73 | 1437.4 |
| $70^{\circ}$ | 135.50 | 194.45 | 586.30 | 792.97 | 1455.2 |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 136.00 | 192.89 | 593.16 | 782.63 | 1474.5 |
| $90^{\circ}$ | 136.55 | 191.22 | 600.60 | 771.95 | 1495.0 |

of a non-uniform twisted Timoshenko beam. The twist angle for an example to investigate the effect of twisting is increased from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ in intervals of $10^{\circ}$, and the results calculated from the present method are listed in Table 7.

Based on the examined results, the present method using approximately 40 elements can be computed the natural frequencies of such non-uniform twisted beams with the sufficient accuracy.

## 4. Conclusions

A transfer matrix method (TMM) developed in the study can be used to compute exact natural frequencies and mode shapes of free vibration characteristics for a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam. A specific transfer matrix using the distributed mass and transcendental function is developed, which contains the mass and stiffness information in a single matrix. The use of the developed TMM is somewhat limited because the coupled problems (bending, torsional
and axial deformations) and the effects of the rotation speed are not included in the topic of this study. However, this method is an exact and simple way of solving the coupled bending vibrations of nonrotating twisted Timoshenko beams. When using the proposed TMM for a twisted uniform Timoshenko beam, the computed results are independent for the number of subdivisions. The application of the developed TMM was demonstrated from the compared results above. The effects of shear deformation and rotary inertia were investigated through the comparison results between the Timoshenko and Bernoulli-Euler theories.

Moreover, as confirmed from the example for a nonuniform beam with pre-twisting, the method can also calculate the natural frequencies and mode shapes for a twisted non-uniform Timoshenko beam by idealizing the subdivided components as many uniform stepped beams with twisting. Therefore, the present method can be used as a useful tool to demonstrate other numerical approaches and the computed results can be used as a benchmark solution.
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