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#### Abstract

The parts of pile, above the soil and embedded in the soil are called the first region and second region, respectively. The forth order differential equations of both region for critical buckling load of partially embedded pile with shear deformation are obtained using the small-displacement theory and Winkler hypothesis. It is assumed that the behavior of material of the pile is linear-elastic and that axial force along the pile length and modulus of subgrade reaction for the second region to be constant. Shear effect is included in the differential equations by considering shear deformation in the second derivative of the elastic curve function. Critical buckling loads of the pile are calculated for by differential transform method (DTM) and analytical method, results are given in tables and variation of critical buckling loads corresponding to relative stiffness of the pile are presented in graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

The piles partially embedded in the soil are used marine, harbor, bridge structure and modeled mostly by equivalent soil spring model. In this model, soil is idealized by Winkler hypothesize (Chen 1997). Elastic soil is idealized by Winkler foundation modulus in this study also and effect of friction through the pile length is neglected.
For designing of these piles require calculation of the buckling load of the piles.
Many researches have studied the behavior of the beams on an elastic foundation and elastic buckling of columns, beams, plates and shells in the past. Hetenyi (1995) has studied beams on Winkler foundations. Reddy and Valsangkar (1970) have obtained buckling loads for fully and partially embedded piles using vibration functions and the Rayleight-Ritz energy method. Smith (1979) has obtained discrete element matrices for stability analysis of slender piles, assuming conservative or non-conservative ground resistance. Pavlovic and Tsikkos (1982) have studied the problem of beam supported on quasi-Winkler foundations. West et al. (1997) have investigated stability of end-bearing piles in non-homogeneous elastic foundation. They have neglected shear effect and assumed the coefficient of horizontal subgrade reaction varies linearly with depth. Capron and Williams (1988) have obtained the dynamic stiffness of Timoshenko column embedded in

[^0]elastic medium. Heelis et al. (2004) have calculated buckling load of Euler-Bernoulli pile embedded in Winkler foundation using analytical analyses of the pile. Heelis et al. (1999) have investigated the stability of uniform-friction piles in homogeneous and non-homogeneous elastic foundation using a power-series solution and neglecting shear effect. West and Mafi (1984) have determined buckling loads, natural frequencies of Euler-Bernoulli beam rested on elastic supports by using an initial-value numerical method. Chen (1998) has calculated displacements, bending moments and shear forces of Euler-Bernoulli beam resting on an elastic foundation using the differential quadrature element method. Doyle and Pavlovic (1987) have solved the partial differential equation for free vibration of beams attached to elastic foundation using variable separating method and neglecting axial force and shear deformation. Valsangkar and Pradhanang (1987) studied the variations of natural circular frequency values of the piles partially embedded in the soil according to relative stiffness of the piles, length of the piles and buckling load ignoring the shear force effect. Budkowska and Szymczak (1996) investigated the initial post-buckling load equilibrium path of the pile partially embedded in soil after flexural buckling. The equilibrium path is determined by utilizing a perturbation approach. Çatal and Alku (1996a) have obtained the second order stiffness matrix of Euler-Bernoulli beam on elastic foundation using analytical method. Chen (1997) determined fixity depths required in equivalent cantilever pile model. Çatal and Alku (1996b) have calculated vertical displacements of Timoshenko beam on elastic foundation using finite difference equations and matrix-displacement method and compared the solutions. Aydoğan (1995) has obtained a stiffness matrix for a Timoshenko beam on elastic foundation using differential-equation. Ergüven and Gedikli (2003) have derived a finite element formulation for Timoshenko beam on elastic foundation by considering second-order effects. Li (2001a) has obtained critical buckling load of multi-step cracked columns with shear deformation by using transfer matrix. Li (2001b) has governed differential equation for buckling of a multi-step non-uniform beam. The shear effect of the beam was neglected in the equation. Banarjee and Williams (1994) have investigated the effects of shear deformation on the critical buckling of columns. Yang and Ye (2002) have studied a dynamic elastic local buckling analysis for a pile subjected to an axial impact load using a perturbation technique. Wang et al. (2002) have investigated exact stability criteria and buckling loads of Timoshenko columns under intermediate and end concentrated loads using analytical method. Çatal (2002) has obtained fourth order differential equations for free vibration of partially embedded pile in soil.

The differential transform method (DTM) which was introduced by Zhou in 1986 for the solution of initial value problems in electric circuit analysis is based on Taylor series expansions. In recent works, DTM is applied to vibration analysis of continuous systems as beams and plates. Jang and Chen (1997), the differential transformation method is Jang and Chen, the differential transformation method is applied to solve a second order non-linear differential equation that describes the under damped and over damped motion of a system subject to external excitations. According to types of conditions at both end of a prismatic Bernoulli-Euler beam, frequency equations and fundamental frequencies of the beam have obtained using DTM by Malik and Dang (1998). Chen and Ho (1996), using differential transform technique proposed a method to solve eigenvalue problems for the free and transverse vibration problems of a rotating twisted Timeshenko beam under axial loading. Özdemir and Kaya (2006), flapwise bending vibration of a rotating tapered cantilever Bernoulli-Euler Beam is considered by using differential transform technique. Ruotolo and Surace (2004) calculated natural frequencies of a bar with many cracks using transfer matrix approach and finite element method. Hosking et al. (2004) studied natural flexural vibrations
of Bernoulli-Euler beam mounted on discrete elastic supports using transfer matrices. Coupling lateral and torsional vibrations of symmetric rotating shaft modeled by the Timoshenko beam is examined using modified transfer matrix method by Hsieh et al. (2006).

The DTM used in this study was proposed by Zhou (1986). DTM is one of the solution methods of ordinary and partial differential equations. DTM has advantage of reducing the ordinary differential equation to the algebraic equation and reducing the partial differential equation to the algebraic equation system. In DTM, the orthogonal polynoms as Taylor series are used for solution of the differential equations and to apply mathematical operations to these polynms are easier.

In this study, forth-order differential equations of elastic curves for critical buckling load of partially embedded pile in elastic soil are developed considering shear effect, these differential equations are solved using differential transform method (DTM) and analytical method, and critical buckling loads for the first three modes of the pile are obtained. Numerical results are presented and the differential transform solutions are compared with the analytical solutions.

## 2. Problem formulation

A pile partially embedded in the soil is presented in Fig. 1(a). The pile parts above the soil and embedded in the soil are called the first region and the second region, respectively. The internal

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 1(a) Pile partially embedded in the soil, (b) internal forces and deformations of segment in the first region, (c) internal forces and deformations of segment in the second region
forces and deformations of segment of the pile having the length of $d x_{1}$ and $d x_{2}$ at the first and second regions are presented in Fig. 1(b) and Fig. 1(c), respectively.

The buckling loads of the partially embedded pile are calculated under the following assumptions: material behavior of the pile is linear-elastic; soil behavior coincides with Winkler hypothesis; effect of friction along the pile length is neglected.

Using the equilibrium equations of the lateral load and bending moment acting to segment of the pile in the first and the second region and neglecting infinitesimal quantities of second order gives

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{d M_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}}=T_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & \left(0 \leq x_{1} \leq L_{1}\right) \\
\frac{d T_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}}=N \cdot \frac{d \theta_{1}}{d x_{1}} & \left(0 \leq x_{1} \leq L_{1}\right) \\
\frac{d M_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}}=T_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) & \left(0 \leq x_{2} \leq L_{2}\right) \\
\frac{d T_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}}=N \frac{d \theta_{2}}{d x}+C_{s} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) & \left(0 \leq x_{2} \leq L_{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

where $M_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), M_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ are bending moment and shear force functions for the first and the second regions, respectively; $N$ is the constant axial compressive force; $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are slope of elastic curve in the first and second region, respectively, $C_{s}=C_{0} \cdot b$ in which $C_{0}$ is the modulus of subgrade reaction and $b$ is width of the pile.

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (4) into the second order derivate with respect to $x$ of elastic curve equations gives

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[1-\frac{N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{2} y_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{M_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{E I}=0} & \left(0 \leq x_{1} \leq L_{1}\right) \\
{\left[1-\frac{N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{2} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}^{2}}-\frac{C_{s}}{\bar{k} A G} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\frac{M_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{E I}=0} & \left(0 \leq x_{2} \leq L_{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Where, $y_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ are elastic curve functions for the first and second regions, respectively.
Substituting Eqs. (1) and (3) into the first order derivate with respect to $x$ of Eqs. (5) and (6) gives

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[1-\frac{N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{3} y_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}^{3}}+\frac{T_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{E I}=0} & \left(0 \leq x_{1} \leq L_{1}\right) \\
{\left[1-\frac{N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{3} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}^{3}}-\frac{C_{s}}{\bar{k} A G} \frac{d y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}}+\frac{T_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{E I}=0} & \left(0 \leq x_{2} \leq L_{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

Differentiating Eqs. (7) and (8) with respect to $x$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-\frac{N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{4} y_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}^{4}}+\frac{d T_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}} \frac{1}{E I}=0 \quad\left(0 \leq x_{1} \leq L_{1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-\frac{N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{4} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}^{4}}-\frac{C_{s}}{\bar{k} A G} \frac{d^{2} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{d T_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}} \frac{1}{E I}=0 \quad\left(0 \leq x_{2} \leq L_{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (4) into Eqs. (9) and (10) respectively, given

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d^{4} y_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}^{4}}+\frac{\bar{k} A G N}{(\bar{k} A G-N) E I} \frac{d^{2} y_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{d x_{1}^{2}}=0 \quad\left(0 \leq x_{1} \leq L_{1}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\frac{d^{4} y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}^{4}}+\frac{\bar{k} A G N-E I C_{S}}{(\bar{k} A G-N) E I} \frac{d y_{2}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{d x_{2}^{2}}-\left[\frac{\bar{k} A G \cdot C_{S}}{E I(N-\bar{k} A G)}\right] y_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{k}$ is the shape factor due to cross-section geometry of the pile, $I, A, E, G$ are moment of inertia, cross-section area, modulus of elasticity, shear modulus, respectively, of the pile.

Writing the dimensionless parameters $z_{1}, z_{2}$ instead of the position parameters $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in Eqs. (11) and (12) gives the elastic curve function of the pile at the first and the second region as

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{d^{4} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{4}}+D_{1} \frac{d^{2} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{2}}=0 & \left(0 \leq z_{1} \leq \frac{L_{1}}{L}\right) \\
\frac{d^{4} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}^{4}}+\beta_{1} \frac{d^{2} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}^{2}}+\beta_{2} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=0 & \left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

Where $\beta_{1}=\frac{L^{2}\left(\bar{k} A G N-E I C_{S}\right)}{E I(\bar{k} A G-N)} ; \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{L^{4} \cdot \bar{k} A G \cdot C_{S}}{E I(N-\bar{k} A G)} ; \quad D_{1}=\frac{N L^{2}}{E I}\left(\frac{\bar{k} A G}{\bar{k} A G-N}\right) ; \quad \alpha=\frac{C_{S} L^{4}}{E I}$ $\alpha$ being the relative stiffness value; $L_{1}=$ pile length above the soil; $L_{2}=$ pile length embedded in the soil; $L=$ total length of the pile; $z_{1}=x_{1} / L ; z_{2}=x_{2} / L$

## 3. Differential transformation

The differential transformation technique, which was first proposed by Zhou (1986), is one of the numerical methods for ordinary and partial differential equations that use the form of polynomials as the approximation to the exact solutions that are sufficiently differentiable. The function that will be solved and the calculation of following derivatives necessary in the solution become more difficult when the order increases. This is in contrast with the traditional high-order Taylor series method. Instead, the differential transform technique provides an iterative procedure to obtain higher-order series; therefore, it can be applied to the case high order.

The differential transformation of the function $y(z)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(k)=\frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^{k} y(z)}{d z^{k}}\right]_{z=z_{0}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $y(z)$ is the original function and $Y(k)$ is transformed function which is called the $T$-function (it is also called the spectrum of the $y(z)$ at $z=z_{0}$, in the $K$ domain). The differential inverse transformation of $Y(k)$ is defined as:

Table 1 Some basic mathematical operations of DTM

| Original function $y(z)$ | Transformed function $Y(k)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A y(z)$ | $A Y(k)$ |
| $y_{1}(z) \pm y_{2}(z)$ | $Y_{1}(k) \pm Y_{2}(k)$ |
| $d y(z) / d z$ | $(k+1) Y(k+1)$ |
| $d^{2} y(z) / d z^{2}$ | $(k+1)(k+2) Y(k+2)$ |
| $d^{3} y(z) / d z^{3}$ | $(k+1)(k+2)(k+3) Y(k+3)$ |
| $d^{4} y(z) / d z^{4}$ | $(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)(k+4) Y(k+4)$ |

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} Y(k) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Eq. (13) and Eq. (14) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(k)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}}{k!}\left[\frac{d^{k} y(z)}{d z^{k}}\right]_{z=z_{0}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (16) implies that the concept of the differential transformation is derived from Taylor's series expansion, but the method does not evaluate the derivatives symbolically. However, relative derivative are calculated by iterative procedure that are described by the transformed equations of the original functions.

The basic operations of transformed functions which are given Table 1 can easily be proofed using Eqs. (15) and (16).

The function is expressed by finite series and Eq. (16) can be written as $y(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} Y(k)$. Eq. (16) implies that $y(z)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} Y(k)$ is negligibly small. In fact, $n$ is decided by the convergence of natural frequency in this paper.

## 4. Solution of motion equations by differential transformation

The boundary conditions of the pile whose both ends simply supported shown in Fig. 2 are given in Eqs. (18)-(35).

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}\left(z_{1}=L_{1} / L\right)=0  \tag{18}\\
y_{2}\left(z_{2}=0\right)=0  \tag{19}\\
\left.\frac{d^{2} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}^{2}}\right|_{z_{2}=0}=-\beta_{1} y_{2}\left(z_{2}=0\right) \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$



Fig. 2 Pile whose both ends are simply supported

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{d^{2} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{2}}\right|_{z_{1}=\frac{L_{1}}{L}}=-D_{1} y_{1}\left(z_{1}=L_{1} / L\right)  \tag{21}\\
y_{1}\left(z_{1}=0\right)=y_{2}\left(z_{2}=L_{2} / L\right)  \tag{22}\\
\left.\frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}}\right|_{z_{1}=0}=\left.\frac{d y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}}\right|_{z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}}  \tag{23}\\
\left.\frac{d^{3} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}^{3}}\right|_{z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}}+\left.\beta_{1} \frac{d y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}}\right|_{z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}}=\left.\frac{d^{3} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{3}}\right|_{z_{1}=0}+\left.D_{1} \frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}}\right|_{z_{1}=0}  \tag{24}\\
\left.\frac{d^{2} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}^{2}}\right|_{z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}}+\beta_{1} y_{2}\left(z_{2}=L_{2} / L\right)=\left.\frac{d^{2} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{2}}\right|_{z_{1}=0}+D_{1} y_{1}\left(z_{1}=0\right) \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

By applying the DTM to Eqs. (13), (14), (18), (20) and using the relationship in Table 1 following equations are obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{2}(k+4)=-\beta_{1} \frac{Y_{2}(k+2)}{(k+3)(k+4)}-\beta_{2} \frac{Y_{2}(k)}{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)(k+4)}  \tag{26}\\
Y_{1}(k+4)=-D_{1} \frac{Y_{1}(k+2)}{(k+3)(k+4)} \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{2}(0)=0  \tag{28}\\
& Y_{2}(2)=0 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The recurrence relations of the first region for $k=0(1) n$ are obtained from Eq. (26) using Eqs. (28) and (29) as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{2}(2 k)=0 \\
Y_{2}(5)=\frac{1}{5!}\left\{-\beta_{1} 3!Y_{2}(3)-\beta_{2} Y_{2}(1)\right\} \\
Y_{2}(7)=\frac{1}{7!}\left\{\left(\beta_{1}^{2}-\beta_{2}\right) 3!Y_{2}(3)-\beta_{1} \beta_{2} Y_{2}(1)\right\} \\
Y_{2}(9)=\frac{1}{9!}\left\{\left(-\beta_{1}^{3}+2 \beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right) 3!Y_{1}(3)+\left(-\beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) Y_{2}(1)\right\}  \tag{30}\\
Y_{2}(11)=\frac{1}{11!}\left\{\left(\beta_{1}^{4}-3 \beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) 3!Y_{1}(3)+\left(\beta_{1}^{3}-2 \beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}\right) Y_{1}(1)\right\} \\
Y_{2}(13)=\frac{1}{13!}\left\{\left(-\beta_{1}^{5}+4 \beta_{1}^{3} \beta_{2}-3 \beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}\right) 3!Y_{2}(3)+\left(-\beta_{1}^{4} \beta_{2}+3 \beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{2}^{3}\right) Y_{2}(1)\right\} \\
\vdots
\end{gather*}
$$

The recurrence relations of the second region for $k=0(1) n$ are obtained from Eq. (27) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1}(4)=\frac{1}{4!}\left\{-D_{1} 2!Y_{1}(2)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(5)=\frac{1}{5!}\left\{-D_{1} 3!Y_{1}(3)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(6)=\frac{1}{6!}\left\{\left(D_{1}^{2}\right) 2!Y_{1}(2)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(7)=\frac{1}{7!}\left\{\left(D_{1}^{2}\right) 3!Y_{1}(3)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(8)=\frac{1}{8!}\left\{\left(-D_{1}^{3}\right) 2!Y_{1}(2)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(9)=\frac{1}{9!}\left\{\left(-D_{1}^{3}\right) 3!Y_{1}(3)\right\}  \tag{31}\\
& Y_{1}(10)=\frac{1}{10!}\left\{\left(D_{1}^{4}\right) 2!Y_{1}(2)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(11)=\frac{1}{11!}\left\{\left(D_{1}^{4}\right) 3!Y_{1}(3)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(12)=\frac{1}{12!}\left\{\left(-D_{1}^{5}\right) 2!Y_{1}(2)\right\} \\
& Y_{1}(13)=\frac{1}{13!}\left\{\left(-D_{1}^{5}\right) 3!Y_{1}(3)\right\} \\
& \vdots
\end{align*}
$$

By applying the DTM to Eqs. (19), (21), (22), (23), (24), (25) and using the recurrence relations (30), (31) following equations are obtained

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{11} Y_{1}(0)+b_{12} Y_{1}(1)+b_{13} 2!Y_{1}(2)+b_{14} 3!Y_{1}(3)=0  \tag{32}\\
b_{21} Y_{1}(0)+b_{22} Y_{1}(1)+b_{23} 2!Y_{1}(2)+b_{24} 3!Y_{1}(3)=0  \tag{33}\\
b_{35} Y_{2}(1)+b_{36} 3!Y_{2}(3)=Y_{1}(0)  \tag{34}\\
b_{45} Y_{2}(1)+b_{46} 3!Y_{2}(3)=Y_{1}(1)  \tag{35}\\
b_{55} Y_{2}(1)+b_{56} 3!Y_{2}(3)=3!Y_{1}(3)+D_{1} Y_{1}(1)  \tag{36}\\
b_{65} Y_{2}(1)+b_{66} 3!Y_{2}(3)=2!Y_{1}(2)+D_{1} Y_{1}(0) \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{11}=1 ; \quad b_{12}=\frac{L_{1}}{L} ; \quad b_{13}=0 ; \quad b_{14}=0 ; \quad b_{21}=D_{1} ; \quad b_{22}=\left(\frac{L_{1}}{L}\right) D_{1} ; \quad b_{23}=1 ; \quad b_{24}=\frac{L_{1}}{L} \\
& b_{35}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m}\binom{k-m-1}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m} \beta_{2}^{m}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{36}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m-1}\binom{k-m}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m+1} \beta_{2}^{m-1}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{45}=1+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m}\binom{k-m-1}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m} \beta_{2}^{m}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{46}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m-1}\binom{k-m}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m+1} \beta_{2}^{m-1}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{55}=\beta_{1}+\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2} \frac{-\beta_{2}}{2!}+\sum_{k=3}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m+1}\binom{k-m-2}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m-1} \beta_{2}^{m+1}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{56}=1+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m}\binom{k-m-1}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m} \beta_{2}^{m}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{65}=\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \beta_{1}+\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{3} \frac{-\beta_{2}}{3!}+\sum_{k=3}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m+1}\binom{k-m-2}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m-1} \beta_{2}^{m+1}(-1)^{m}\right\} \\
& b_{66}=\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)^{2 k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)!}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{k \geq 2 m}\binom{k-m-1}{m-1} \beta_{1}^{k-2 m} \beta_{2}^{m}(-1)^{m}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (34) and (35) into Eqs. (36) and (37), respectively, gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3!Y_{1}(3)=\left(b_{55}-D_{1} b_{45}\right) Y_{2}(1)+\left(b_{56}-D_{1} b_{46}\right) 3!Y_{2}(3)  \tag{38}\\
& 2!Y_{1}(2)=\left(b_{65}-D_{1} b_{35}\right) Y_{2}(1)+\left(b_{66}-D_{1} b_{36}\right) 3!Y_{2}(3) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (34), (35), (38) and (39) into Eqs. (32) and (33), respectively, gives:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{11} & B_{12}  \tag{40}\\
B_{21} & B_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
Y_{2}(1) \\
3!Y_{2}(3)
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{11}=b_{11} b_{35}+b_{12} b_{45}+b_{13}\left(b_{65}-D_{1} b_{35}\right)+b_{14}\left(b_{55}-D_{1} b_{45}\right) \\
& B_{12}=b_{11} b_{36}+b_{12} b_{46}+b_{13}\left(b_{66}-D_{1} b_{36}\right)+b_{14}\left(b_{56}-D_{1} b_{46}\right) \\
& B_{21}=b_{21} b_{35}+b_{22} b_{45}+b_{23}\left(b_{65}-D_{1} b_{35}\right)+b_{24}\left(b_{55}-D_{1} b_{45}\right) \\
& B_{22}=b_{21} b_{36}+b_{22} b_{46}+b_{23}\left(b_{66}-D_{1} b_{36}\right)+b_{24}\left(b_{56}-D_{1} b_{46}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the frequency equation of the beam resting on elastic foundation is obtained using Eq. (28) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(n)}=B_{11} B_{22}-B_{12} B_{21}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (41) we get $N=N_{i}^{(n)}, i=1,2,3, \ldots$ where $N_{i}^{(n)}$ is the $n$th estimated $N$ axial compressive load circular frequency corresponding to $n$, and $n$ is indicated by

$$
\left|N_{i}^{(n)}-N_{i}^{(n-1)}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

where $N_{i}^{(n-1)}$ is the $i$ th estimated axial compressive load corresponding to $n-1$ and $\varepsilon$ is a positive and small value.

## 5. Analytical solution of differential equations

The solution of differential equation of the elastic curve for the first region of the pile, Eq. (13), is obtained as (Ross 1984):

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=C_{1}+C_{2} z_{1}+\cos \left(D_{2} z_{1}\right) C_{3}+\sin \left(D_{2} z_{1}\right) C_{4} \quad\left(0 \leq z_{1} \leq \frac{L_{1}}{L_{2}}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $D_{2}=\left[\frac{N L^{2}}{E I}\left[\frac{\bar{k} A G}{\bar{k} A G-N}\right]\right]^{0.5} ; C_{1}, \ldots, C_{4}=$ constant of integration.
The solution of Eq. (16) is obtained due to the sign of $\gamma$; four possible conditions exist due to the signs of $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ when $\gamma$ is positive.

Where $\Delta_{1}=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}-\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{0.5} ; \quad \Delta_{2}=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}+\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{0.5} ; \quad D_{3}=\left(\Delta_{1}\right)^{0.5} ; \quad D_{4}=\left(\Delta_{2}\right)^{0.5} ; \gamma=\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}+\beta_{2}$
I. $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}>0$ and $\Delta_{2}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\left[C_{5} \cosh \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{6} \sinh \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{7} \cosh \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)+C_{8} \sinh \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}>0$ and $\Delta_{2}<0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\left[C_{5} \cosh \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{6} \sinh \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{7} \cos \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)+C_{8} \sin \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}<0$ and $\Delta_{2}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\left[C_{5} \cos \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{6} \sin \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{7} \cosh \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)+C_{8} \sinh \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

VI. $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}<0$ and $\Delta_{2}<0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\left[C_{5} \cos \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{6} \sin \left(D_{3} z_{2}\right)+C_{7} \cos \left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)+C_{8}\left(D_{4} z_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

V. $\gamma<0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\left\{C_{5}\left[\cosh \left(r \alpha_{1} z_{2}\right) \cos \left(r \alpha_{2} z_{2}\right)\right]+C_{6}\left[\sinh \left(r \alpha_{1} z_{1}\right) \cos \left(r \alpha_{2} z_{2}\right)\right]+\right. \\
\left.C_{7}\left[\cosh \left(r \alpha_{1} z_{2}\right) \sin \left(r \alpha_{2} z_{2}\right)\right]+C_{8}\left[\sinh \left(r \alpha_{1} z_{2}\right) \sin \left(r \alpha_{2} z_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \quad\left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\lambda=\operatorname{Arctg}\left[\frac{-2 \sqrt{-\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}-\beta_{2}}}{\beta_{1}}\right] ; \quad \alpha_{1}=\sin (\lambda / 2) ; \quad \alpha_{2}=\cos (\lambda / 2) ; r=\sqrt[4]{-\beta_{2}}$
Bending moment functions with respect to $z$ for the first and the second regions of pile are obtained from Eqs. (5) and (6), respectively, as:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=-N \cdot C_{3}-N C_{4} & \left(0 \leq z_{1} \leq \frac{L_{1}}{L}\right) \\
M_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=-\frac{E I}{L^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{k} A G-N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{2} y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z^{2}}+\left[\frac{E I C_{S}}{\bar{k} A G}-N\right] y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) & \left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{49}
\end{array}
$$

The summation of horizontal components $V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $V_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)$ of axial $(N)$ and shear forces $\left(T_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right.$, $T_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)$ ) at initial ends of differential parts at the first and the second regions of pile are written, respectively, as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=T_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)-\frac{N}{L} \frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}}=\frac{1}{L}\left[\frac{d M_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}}-N \frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}}\right] & \left(0 \leq z_{1} \leq \frac{L_{1}}{L}\right) \\
V_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=T_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)-\frac{N d y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}}=\frac{1}{L}\left[\frac{d M_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}}-N \frac{d y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}}\right] & \left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{51}
\end{array}
$$

substituting Eqs. (48) and (49) into Eqs. (50) and (51), respectively, gives

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=-\frac{E I}{L^{3}}\left[\frac{\bar{k} A G-N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{3} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{3}}-\frac{N}{L} \frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}} & \left(0 \leq z_{1} \leq \frac{L_{1}}{L}\right) \\
V_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=-\frac{E I}{L^{3}}\left[\frac{\bar{k} A G-N}{\bar{k} A G}\right] \frac{d^{3} y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}^{3}}+\frac{1}{L}\left[\frac{E I C_{S}}{\bar{k} A G}-N\right] \frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}} & \left(0 \leq z_{2} \leq \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \tag{53}
\end{array}
$$

Constants of integration $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{8}$ of elastic curve functions for the first and the second regions must be obtained by using boundary conditions due to the support type of both ends in order to the calculate the buckling load of the pile partially embedded in the soil.

Boundary conditions of the pile whose both ends simply supported (Fig. 2) are given in relations (54).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}\left(z_{1}=\frac{L_{1}}{L}\right)=0 \\
M_{1}\left(z_{1}=\frac{L_{1}}{L}\right)=0 \\
y_{1}\left(z_{1}=0\right)=y_{2}\left(z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
\left.\frac{d y_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{d z_{1}}\right|_{z_{1}=0}=\left.\frac{d y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}{d z_{2}}\right|_{z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}}  \tag{54}\\
M_{1}\left(z_{1}=0\right)=M_{2}\left(z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
V_{1}\left(z_{1}=0\right)=V_{2}\left(z_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
y_{2}\left(z_{2}=0\right)=0 \\
M_{2}\left(z_{2}=0\right)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Elastic curve function for the second region of the pile, $y_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)$ used in Eqs. (54), must be obtained from Eqs. (43)-(47) due to the values of $\gamma, \Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. A set of eight linear homogeneous equations is obtained from Eqs. (54) due to boundary conditions of the pile partially embedded in the soil. This equation set is written in matrix form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S]\{C\}=\{0\} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\{C\}$ and $[S]$ indicate the unknown coefficients vector and coefficient matrix, respectively. Hence, the non-trivial solution of this problem is given by

$$
|S|=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} & S_{14} & S_{15} & S_{16} & S_{17} & S_{18}  \tag{56}\\
S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} & S_{24} & S_{25} & S_{26} & S_{27} & S_{28} \\
S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} & S_{34} & S_{35} & S_{36} & S_{37} & S_{38} \\
S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} & S_{44} & S_{45} & S_{46} & S_{47} & S_{48} \\
S_{51} & S_{52} & S_{53} & S_{54} & S_{55} & S_{56} & S_{57} & S_{58} \\
S_{61} & S_{62} & S_{63} & S_{64} & S_{6} & S_{66} & S_{67} & S_{68} \\
S_{71} & S_{72} & S_{73} & S_{74} & S_{75} & S_{76} & S_{77} & S_{78} \\
S_{81} & S_{82} & S_{83} & S_{84} & S_{85} & S_{86} & S_{87} & S_{88}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where, $U_{1}=\frac{E I C_{S}}{\bar{k} A G}, \quad U_{2}=\frac{E I}{L^{2}}\left(\frac{\bar{k} A G-N}{\bar{k} A G}\right), \quad U_{3}=\frac{E I}{L^{3}}\left(\frac{\bar{k} A G-N}{\bar{k} A G}\right), A_{1}=U_{1}-D_{3}^{2} U_{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{2}=U_{1}-D_{4}^{2} U_{2}, A_{3}=U_{1}+D_{4}^{2} U_{2}, A_{4}=U_{1}+D_{3}^{2} U_{2}, A_{5}=U_{1}-\left(D_{3}^{2}-D_{4}^{2}\right) U_{2} \\
A_{6}=2 D_{3} D_{4} U_{2}, B_{1}=D_{3}\left[\left(U_{1}-\frac{N}{L}\right)-D_{3}^{2} U_{3}\right], B_{2}=D_{4}\left[\left(U_{1}-\frac{N}{L}\right)-D_{4}^{2} U_{3}\right] \\
B_{3}=D_{4}\left[\left(-U_{1}+\frac{N}{L}\right)-D_{4}^{2} U_{3}\right], B_{4}=D_{3}\left[\left(-U_{1}+\frac{N}{L}\right)-D_{3}^{2} U_{3}\right], B_{5}=U_{1}-\frac{N}{L}-\left(D_{3}^{2}-D_{4}^{2}\right) U_{3} \\
S_{11}=1, S_{12}=\frac{L_{1}}{L}, S_{13}=\cos \left(D_{2} \frac{L_{1}}{L}\right), S_{14}=\sin \left(D_{2} \frac{L_{1}}{L}\right), S_{15}=0, S_{16}=0, S_{17}=0, S_{18}=0, S_{21}=0 \\
S_{22}=0, S_{23}=-N, S_{24}=-N, S_{25}=0, S_{26}=0, S_{27}=0, S_{28}=0, S_{31}=1, S_{32}=0 \\
S_{33}=1, S_{34}=0, S_{41}=0, S_{42}=1, S_{43}=0, S_{44}=-1, S_{51}=0, S_{52}=0, S_{53}=-N, S_{54}=-N \\
S_{61}=0, S_{62}=-\frac{N}{L}, S_{63}=0, S_{64}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}>0$ and $\Delta_{2}>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{35}=-\cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{36}=-\sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{37}=-\cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{38}=-\sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{45}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{46}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{47}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{48}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{55}=-A_{1} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{56}=-A_{1} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{57}=-A_{2} \cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{58}=-A_{2} \sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{65}=-B_{1} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{66}=-B_{1} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{67}=-B_{2} \sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{68}=-B_{2} \cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{75}=1, S_{76}=0 \\
S_{77}=1, S_{78}=0, S_{85}=A_{1}, S_{86}=0, S_{87}=A_{2}, S_{88}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}>0$ and $\Delta_{2}<0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{35}=-\cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{36}=-\sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{37}=-\cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{38}=-\sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{45}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{46}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{47}=\frac{D_{4}}{L} \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{48}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{55}=-A_{1} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{56}=-A_{1} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{57}=-A_{3} \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{58}=-A_{3} \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{65}=-B_{1} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{66}=-B_{1} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{67}=-B_{2} \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{68}=B_{2} \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{75}=1, S_{76}=0 \\
S_{77}=1, S_{78}=0, S_{85}=A_{1}, S_{86}=0, S_{87}=-A_{3}, S_{88}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}<0$ and $\Delta_{2}>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{35}=-\cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{36}=-\sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{37}=-\cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{38}=-\sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{45}=\frac{D_{3}}{L} \sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{46}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{47}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{48}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{55}=-A_{4} \cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{56}=-A_{4} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{57}=-A_{2} \cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{58}=-A_{2} \sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{65}=-B_{4} \sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{66}=-B_{4} \cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{67}=-B_{2} \sinh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{68}=-B_{2} \cosh \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{75}=1, S_{76}=0 \\
S_{77}=1, S_{78}=0, S_{85}=A_{4}, S_{86}=0, S_{87}=A_{5}, S_{88}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\gamma>0, \Delta_{1}<0$ and $\Delta_{2}<0$

$$
S_{35}=-\cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{36}=-\sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{37}=-\cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{38}=-\sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{45}=\frac{D_{3}}{L} \sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{46}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{47}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{48}=-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{55}=-A_{4} \cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{56}=-A_{4} \sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{57}=-A_{3} \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{58}=-A_{3} \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{65}=-B_{4} \sin \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{66}=-B_{4} \cos \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{67}=-B_{3} \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{68}=-B_{3} \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{75}=1, S_{76}=0, S_{77}=1, S_{78}=0, S_{85}=A_{4}, S_{86}=0, S_{87}=A_{5}, S_{88}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\gamma<0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{35}=\cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{36}=\sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right), S_{37}=\cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{38}=\sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{45}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+\frac{D_{4}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{46}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+\frac{D_{4}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{47}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{48}=-\frac{D_{3}}{L} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)-\frac{D_{4}}{L} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{55}=-A_{5} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)-A_{6} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{56}=-A_{5} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)-A_{6} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{57}=-A_{5} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+A_{6} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{58}=-A_{5} \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+A_{6} \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{65}=-\left(B_{5} D_{3}+2 B_{6} D_{3} D_{4}^{2}\right) \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+\left(B_{5} D_{3}-2 B_{6} D_{3}^{2} D_{4}\right) \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{66}=-\left(B_{5} D_{3}+2 B_{6} D_{3} D_{4}^{2}\right) \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)+\left(B_{5} D_{3}-2 B_{6} D_{3}^{2} D_{4}\right) \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{67}=-\left(B_{5} D_{3}+2 B_{6} D_{3} D_{4}^{2}\right) \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)-\left(B_{5} D_{3}-2 B_{6} D_{3}^{2} D_{4}\right) \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{68}=-\left(B_{5} D_{3}+2 B_{6} D_{3} D_{4}^{2}\right) \cosh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \sin \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right)-\left(B_{5} D_{3}-2 B_{6} D_{3}^{2} D_{4}\right) \sinh \left(D_{3} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \cos \left(D_{4} \frac{L_{2}}{L}\right) \\
S_{75}=1, S_{76}=0, S_{77}=1, S_{78}=0, S_{85}=A_{5}, S_{86}=0, S_{87}=0, S_{88}=-A_{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 6. Numerical analysis

A pile model is considered for numerical analysis. The piles made up using I 600 steel profile. The buckling loads of the piles partially embedded in soil having modulus of subgrade reaction of $15.000 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ are calculated for support conditions given in Fig. 2 by a computer program having an iteration algorithm and prepared by the writers.

The characteristics of the steel pile used numerical analysis are presented in the following:

$$
I=139^{*} 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{4} ; A=254^{*} 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} ; E I=291900 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} ; A G=2053790.5 \mathrm{kN} ; \bar{k}=0.4347
$$

Buckling loads and relative stiffness values $(\alpha)$ of the steel pile are calculated by taking pile lengths of the first and the second regions, $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, from Table 1 and by using DTM and analytical method for $L_{2} / L=0.25, L_{2} / L=0.50, L_{2} / L=0.75$. Euler critical buckling load of piles are calculated using $N_{E}=\pi^{2} E I /\left(L_{b}\right)^{2}$ by neglecting the effects of modulus of subgrade reaction, shear deformation and rotation restraining stiffness and by taking $L_{b}=L$ for both ends simply supported pile.
$N_{r}=N / N_{E}$ values are calculated according to $\alpha, L_{2} / L$ and series size ( $n$ ) values using DTM and according to $N_{r}$ and $L_{2} / L$ values by using analytical method; and the values obtained are presented Tables 2(a),(b),(c).

Table 1 Values of $L$ with respect to $\alpha$, values of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ with respect to $L_{2} / L$

| $L(\mathrm{~m})$ | $\alpha=C_{s} L^{4} / E I$ | $L_{2} / L=0.25$ |  |  | $L_{2} / L=0.50$ |  |  | $L_{2} / L=0.75$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $L_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $L_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ |  | $L_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $L_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ |  | $L_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| 2.10 | 1 | 1.575 | 0.525 |  | 1.05 | 1.05 |  | 0.525 |  |
| 3.73 | 10 | 2.797 | 0.933 |  | 1.865 | 1.865 |  | 0.933 |  |
| 6.64 | 100 | 4.980 | 1.660 |  | 3.320 | 3.320 |  | 1.660 |  |
| 11.81 | 1000 | 8.857 | 2.953 |  | 5.905 | 5.905 |  | 2.953 |  |
| 21.00 | 10000 | 15.750 | 5.250 |  | 10.50 | 10.50 |  | 5.250 |  |
| 37.35 | 100000 | 28.012 | 9.338 |  | 18.675 | 18.675 |  | 9.338 |  |
| 66.42 | 1000000 | 49.815 | 16.605 |  | 33.21 | 33.21 |  | 16.605 |  |

Table 2(a) $N_{r}$ values for the first, second and third modes of the pile

| $\alpha$ | Method | $n$ | $L_{1} / L=0.25$ |  |  | $L_{1} / L=0.50$ |  |  | $L_{1} / L=0.75$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode |
| $\bigcirc$ | D T M | 4 | 0.57869297 | 0.96824322 | 1.20676493 | 0.58263466 | 1.02121335 | 1.15173288 | 0.58707537 | 0.97075671 | 1.20579137 |
|  |  | 6 | 0.57843581 | 1.01717216 | 1.21864203 | 0.58262394 | 1.02005304 | 1.18550891 | 0.58683045 | 1.01854984 | 1.21757510 |
|  |  | 8 | 0.57843581 | 1.01938257 | 1.19178040 | 0.58262394 | 1.02004998 | 1.18722029 | 0.58683045 | 1.02066534 | 1.19180795 |
|  |  | 10 | 0.57843581 | 1.01939788 | 1.18707640 | 0.58262394 | 1.02004998 | 1.18723254 | 0.58683045 | 1.02068065 | 1.18752032 |
|  |  | 12 | 0.57843581 | 1.01939788 | 1.18701058 | 0.58262394 | 1.02004998 | 1.18723254 | 0.58683045 | 1.02068065 | 1.18745909 |
|  |  | 14 | 0.57843581 | 1.01939788 | 1.18700915 | 0.58262394 | 1.02004998 | 1.18723254 | 0.58683045 | 1.02068065 | 1.18745909 |
|  |  | 16 | 0.57843581 | 1.01939788 | 1.18700915 | 0.58262394 | 1.02004998 | 1.18723254 | 0.58683045 | 1.02068065 | 1.18745909 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 0.57843581 | 1.01939788 | 1.18700915 | 0.58262394 | 1.02004998 | 1.18723254 | 0.58683045 | 1.02068065 | 1.18745909 |
| $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\bigcirc}$ | D T M | 4 | 0.82152121 | 1.87783313 | 3.04269313 | 0.86244949 | 2.09306519 | 3.01674532 | 0.90491349 | 1.90283922 | 3.03300073 |
|  |  | 6 | 0.82098515 | 2.07214468 | 3.11892357 | 0.86242534 | 2.08828902 | 2.91072779 | 0.90451749 | 2.08584056 | 3.10827980 |
|  |  | 8 | 0.82098515 | 2.08148454 | 2.94843976 | 0.86244949 | 2.08827453 | 2.92101419 | 0.90451749 | 2.09424837 | 2.94873918 |
|  |  | 10 | 0.82098515 | 2.08155215 | 2.91929496 | 0.86244949 | 2.08827453 | 2.92109146 | 0.90451749 | 2.09430632 | 2.92371377 |
|  |  | 12 | 0.82098515 | 2.08155698 | 2.91889413 | 0.86244949 | 2.08827453 | 2.92109146 | 0.90451749 | 2.09430632 | 2.92335640 |
|  |  | 14 | 0.82098515 | 2.08155698 | 2.91888930 | 0.86244949 | 2.08827453 | 2.92109146 | 0.90451749 | 2.09430632 | 2.92335640 |
|  |  | 16 | 0.82098515 | 2.08155698 | 2.91888930 | 0.86244949 | 2.08827453 | 2.92109146 | 0.90451749 | 2.09430632 | 2.92335640 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 0.82098515 | 2.08155698 | 2.91888930 | 0.86244949 | 2.08827453 | 2.92109146 | 0.90451749 | 2.09430632 | 2.92335640 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | D T M | 4 | 1.02242338 | 2.69830826 | 5.95093931 | 1.41807527 | 3.25726813 | 4.82216908 | 1.85855230 | 2.95056255 | 5.85408088 |
|  |  | 6 | 1.02141332 | 3.13580103 | 6.24140745 | 1.41793754 | 3.24790214 | 5.44800703 | 1.85888899 | 3.27500536 | 6.13510652 |
|  |  | 8 | 1.02141332 | 3.15975164 | 5.58161013 | 1.41793754 | 3.24787154 | 5.48334375 | 1.85888899 | 3.28958998 | 5.58392102 |
|  |  | 10 | 1.02141332 | 3.15993529 | 5.46377005 | 1.41793754 | 3.24787154 | 5.48360392 | 1.85888899 | 3.28969711 | 5.50827381 |
|  |  | 12 | 1.02141332 | 3.15993529 | 5.46219375 | 1.41793754 | 3.24787154 | 5.48360392 | 1.85888899 | 3.28969711 | 5.50720254 |
|  |  | 14 | 1.02141332 | 3.15993529 | 5.46216314 | 1.41793754 | 3.24787154 | 5.48360392 | 1.85888899 | 3.28969711 | 5.50718724 |
|  |  | 16 | 1.02141332 | 3.15993529 | 5.46216314 | 1.41793754 | 3.24787154 | 5.48360392 | 1.85888899 | 3.28969711 | 5.50718724 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 1.02141332 | 3.15993529 | 5.46216314 | 1.41793754 | 3.24787154 | 5.48360392 | 1.85888899 | 3.28969711 | 5.50718724 |

Table 2(b) $N_{r}$ values for the first, second and third modes of the pile

| $\alpha$ | Method | $n$ | $L_{1} / L=0.25$ |  |  | $L_{1} / L=0.50$ |  |  | $L_{1} / L=0.75$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode |
| 088 |  | 4 | 1.65811207 | 3.41585901 | 9.38136368 | 3.24215157 | 6.62436324 | 7.77156823 | 5.57534072 | 8.06703548 | 10.65541190 |
|  |  | 6 | 1.65307707 | 4.31102361 | 9.94295964 | 3.23919835 | 7.53632730 | 8.15689084 | 5.33782436 | 8.52255797 | 10.72299707 |
|  | D T M | 8 | 1.65302866 | 4.41041641 | 9.46797534 | 3.23914994 | 7.56416503 | 8.20530428 | 5.33792119 | 8.26833859 | 10.70663332 |
|  |  | 10 | 1.65302866 | 4.41162675 | 7.90828780 | 3.23914994 | 7.56435869 | 8.20574000 | 5.33796960 | 8.23812860 | 10.50949377 |
|  |  | 12 | 1.65302866 | 4.41162675 | 7.90102578 | 3.23914994 | 7.56435869 | 8.20574000 | 5.33796960 | 8.23764446 | 10.49758407 |
|  |  | 14 | 1.65302866 | 4.41162675 | 7.90097737 | 3.23914994 | 7.56435869 | 8.20574000 | 5.33796960 | 8.23764446 | 10.49743883 |
|  |  | 16 | 1.65302866 | 4.41162675 | 7.90097737 | 3.23914994 | 7.56435869 | 8.20574000 | 5.33796960 | 8.23764446 | 10.49743883 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 1.65302866 | 4.41162675 | 7.90097737 | 3.23914994 | 7.56435869 | 8.20574000 | 5.33796960 | 8.23764446 | 10.49743883 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 . \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 4 | 2.49175761 | 5.46524278 | 25.83480251 | 4.98810747 | 9.66470698 | 21.04798888 | 10.28358989 | 26.02369726 | 32.38198058 |
|  |  | 6 | 2.54472162 | 6.79929288 | 25.03303485 | 4.67384415 | 11.87128554 | 20.65382032 | 9.81257759 | 26.14141207 | 34.19530904 |
|  | D T M | 8 | 2.54533392 | 7.21228969 | 26.10528633 | 4.68302866 | 12.51665046 | 20.55217841 | 11.09687826 | 18.78967090 | 25.60656743 |
|  |  | 10 | 2.54533392 | 7.22453570 | 26.15396423 | 4.68287559 | 12.53364180 | 19.91462033 | 11.16530286 | 25.59952597 | 37.47356687 |
|  |  | 12 | 2.54533392 | 7.22453570 | 13.59185045 | 4.68287559 | 12.53379488 | 19.89472055 | 11.16132291 | 20.30848274 | 25.59952597 |
|  |  | 14 | 2.54533392 | 7.22453570 | 13.56215387 | 4.68287559 | 12.53379488 | 19.89456748 | 11.16132291 | 20.29975745 | 24.22705400 |
|  |  | 16 | 2.54533392 | 7.22453570 | 13.56184772 | 4.68287559 | 12.53379488 | 19.89456748 | 11.16132291 | 20.29975745 | 24.48467951 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 2.54533392 | 7.22453570 | 13.56184772 | 4.68287559 | 12.53379488 | 19.89456748 | 11.16132291 | 20.29975745 | 24.48467951 |
| 0888 |  | 4 | 2.76395712 | 10.74447170 | 63.20154993 | 4.41322796 | 9.30583880 | 143.15535291 | 9.89223545 | 29.69026466 | 163.56307133 |
|  |  | 6 | 2.91406691 | 12.23443247 | 65.07017475 | 5.87558789 | 13.72487746 | 116.28812083 | 11.26549796 | 40.48267465 | 146.60061480 |
|  | D T M | 8 | 2.91745469 | 8.670532542 | 66.70249771 | 5.84701861 | 16.69656717 | 36.96913701 | 11.84124165 | 43.76087887 | 95.46256587 |
|  |  | 10 | 2.91745649 | 8.49524591 | 67.37072841 | 5.96032729 | 17.04472504 | 61.20121586 | 11.75553380 | 45.16658446 | 77.11721209 |
|  |  | 12 | 2.91745649 | 8.49282479 | 16.52563569 | 5.95596926 | 17.04085124 | 32.39320941 | 15.25406046 | 15.25406046 | 67.66126349 |
|  |  | 14 | 2.91745649 | 8.49282479 | 16.53870977 | 5.95596926 | 17.04085124 | 32.30798578 | 16.70818857 | 16.70818857 | 62.69795578 |
|  |  | 16 | 2.91745649 | 8.49282479 | 16.53967822 | 5.95596926 | 17.04085124 | 32.30653311 | 17.57110777 | 17.57107777 | 60.81964645 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 2.91745649 | 8.49282479 | 16.53967822 | 5.95596926 | 17.04085124 | 32.30653311 | 17.57110777 | 17.57107777 | 60.81964645 |


|  | Method | $n$ | $L_{1} / L=0.25$ |  |  | $L_{1} / L=0.50$ |  |  | $L_{1} / L=0.75$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode | 1st. Mode | 2 nd . Mode | 3rd. Mode | 1st. Mode | 2nd. Mode | 3rd. Mode |
| 888 | D T M | 4 | 2.69817294 | 8.11442588 | 376.56356930 | 4.31064519 | 9.31956896 | 452.73503841 | 10.65793624 | 31.48378866 | 490.42011971 |
|  |  | 6 | 3.30151013 | 45.62852386 | 316.98172431 | 4.58168753 | 13.72209291 | 450.00991926 | 12.09583884 | 44.15080713 | 479.92717505 |
|  |  | 8 | 3.21881925 | 32.95231751 | 314.12276354 | 4.50818452 | 15.11558744 | 304.06050794 | 13.05597189 | 48.38794931 | 309.39100737 |
|  |  | 10 | 3.22494450 | 9.49566992 | 15.44788229 | 1.13623401 | 13.52761620 | 245.39591925 | 13.58886870 | 50.09995688 | 249.09097674 |
|  |  | 12 | 3.22494450 | 9.48341941 | 18.22874612 | 6.11146890 | 17.97607953 | 35.61833289 | 13.62562021 | 51.24844139 | 111.47650032 |
|  |  | 14 | 3.22494450 | 9.48341941 | 18.22874612 | 6.92918987 | 20.13216778 | 38.14346749 | 13.62102627 | 52.18713606 | 112.99862512 |
|  |  | 16 | 3.22494450 | 9.48341941 | 18.73867324 | 6.82965454 | 19.97597388 | 39.23529343 | 14.11717158 | 52.87163283 | 114.38446310 |
|  | Analytic method |  | 3.22494450 | 9.48341941 | 18.75398637 | 6.82965454 | 19.97597388 | 39.23529343 | 14.11717158 | 52.87163283 | 114.38446310 |



Fig. 3 Variation of $N_{r}$ value with relative stiffness for the pile (a) the first mode, (b) the second mode, (c) the third mode

Variation of $N_{r}=N / N_{E}$ and $\alpha$ according to $L_{2} / L=0.25, L_{2} / L=0.50, L_{2} / L=0.75$ and series size $n=16$ are shown in Figs. 3(a),(b),(c) for the pile both ends simply supported.

Figs. 3 that give the variation between relative stiffness and $N_{r}$ values of the pile partially embedded in the soil indicates that $N_{r}$ values of the pile having relative stiffness between 100 and 1.000.000 increases as $L_{2} / L$ values increase for all modes. $N_{r}$ values of the pile having relative stiffness between 1 and 100 are same for $L_{2} / L=0.25, L_{2} / L=0.50, L_{2} / L=0.75$.

## 7. Conclusions

In this paper, the buckling loads for the first three modes of the both ends simply supported pile are calculated by using DTM and analytical method according modulus of subgrade reactions and variation of $L_{2} / L$ values.

In the analytical method, the boundary conditions of the pile are used for obtaining closed-form
solution function of the buckling load and the calculation of following derivates necessary in these boundary conditions become more difficult when the order of derivates increases. However calculation of high-order derivates necessary in the analytical method are calculated easier while the DTM is being applied for buckling load of the pile, because Taylor series is used as solution function.

Buckling loads of pile values obtained for the first mode and relative stiffness between 1 and 100.000 using DTM for series size $n=4$ and $n>4$ are same. DTM results indicate that frequency factor values of the first mode are very fast converging for $L_{2} / L$ value, and that converging speed decrease as the number of modes increase.

It is seen from Table 2(a),(b),(c) that all buckling loads obtained by using analytical method and DTM for $n=16$ overlap.
The results of DTM and analytical method in Table 2(a),(b),(c) indicate that the DTM can be applied for buckling problem of partially embedded piles.
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