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#### Abstract

A high precision shear deformable triangular element has been proposed for free vibration analysis of composite trapezoidal plates. The element has twelve nodes at the three sides and four nodes inside the element. Initially the element has fifty-five degrees of freedom, which has been reduced to forty-eight by eliminating the degrees of freedom of the internal nodes through static condensation. Plates having different side ratios ( $b / a$ ), boundary conditions, thickness ratios ( $h / a=0.01,0.1$ and 0.2 ), number of layers and fibre angle orientations have been analyzed by the proposed shear locking free element. Trapezoidal laminate with concentrated mass at the centre has also been analyzed. An efficient mass lumping scheme has been recommended, where the effect of rotary inertia has been included. For validation of the present element and formulation few results of isotropic trapezoidal plate and square composite laminate have been compared with those obtained from open literatures. The numerical results for composite trapezoidal laminate have been given as new results.
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## 1. Introduction

The finite element method (Zienkiewicz and Taylor 1988) is regarded as the most versatile analysis tool specifically in structural analysis problems. The plate bending is one of the first problems where finite element was applied in early sixties. The initial attempts were made with thin plates based on Kirchhoff's hypothesis where a number of difficulties were encountered. These are mostly concerned with the satisfaction of normal slope continuity along the element edges. Subsequently, the method has been applied to thick plates based on Reissner-Mindlins hypothesis where the above problem has been avoided by considering the transverse displacement ( $w$ ) and rotations of normal ( $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ ) as independent displacement components. Amongst the thick plate elements developed so far, the most prominent elements are the isoparametric elements, which became very popular. Though these elements are quite elegant, they involve certain problems such as shear locking, stress extrapolation, spurious modes etc. Keeping these aspects in view some research workers have tried to develop an element, which will be free from the above problems. The necessity has been geared up further with the wide use of fibre reinforced laminated composite which is weak in shear due to its low shear modulus compared to elastic modulus. As an outcome of these facts, some elements have been proposed by

[^0]Petrolito (1989), Yuan and Miller (1989), Sengupta (1991), Batoz and Katili (1992), Zhongnian (1992), Wanji and Cheung (2000) and a few others.

Exact thin plate solutions for isotropic trapezoidal plates are available only for certain boundary conditions (Timoshenko and Woinowsky-Krieger 1959). For complex boundary conditions a numerical method must therefore be used. Plates of arbitrary shaped subjected to static load was analysed by Liew (1992) using the principle of minimum potential energy with admissible $p b-2$ Ritz functions. A majority of the arbitrarily shaped plate problems in bending was solved numerically by finite element method (Gallaghar 1975 and Zienkiewicz 1971). Free flexural vibration of multi-layered symmetric and unsymmetric composite laminates with symmetric trapezoidal planform of arbitrary combination of edge conditions was investigated by Liew and Lim (1995). Lim et al. (1996) studied the free vibration of general thin composite trapezoidal plates using Ritz extremum energy principle with kinematically oriented $p b-2$ shape function. Liew et al. (1999) analysed free flexural vibration of arbitrary quadrilateral unsymmetrically laminated plates subjected to arbitrary boundary conditions using Ritz procedures.
In the present work a high precision composite shear deformation element has been proposed. The element has the advantage that plates of any shapes can be modelled by this element, as it has a triangular geometry. In this element a fourth order complete polynomial has been used to express transverse displacement $w$ while the in-plane displacements ( $u$ and $v$ ) and the rotations of the normal ( $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ ) have been expressed with complete cubic polynomials. Thus the interpolation function of $w$ is one order higher than those of $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$, which has helped to make this element free from locking in shear and other relevant problems.

## 2. Formulation

The formulation is based on Mindlin's plate theory, which ensures the incorporation of shear deformation effects. The middle plane of the plate has been considered as the reference plane.
A typical element shown in Fig. 1 has sixteen nodes. The locations of the nodes 3, 7 and 11 are at the midpoint of the corresponding sides while nodes $2,4,6,8,10$ and 12 are located at a distance of one


Fig. 1 A typical element with nodes and degrees of freedom
third of the length of the corresponding sides from their nearest end. The co-ordinates of the nodes 13 , 14,15 and 16 are $(1 / 2,1 / 4,1 / 4),(1 / 4,1 / 2,1 / 4),(1 / 4,1 / 4,1 / 2)$ and $(1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3)$ respectively. The degrees of freedom at nodes 1 to 12 , (except 3,7 and 11) are $u, v, w, \theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$. It is only $w$ at nodes $3,7,11,13,14$ and 15. The centroidal node (16) has $u, v, \theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ as degrees of freedom.
The transverse displacement ( $w$ ), in-plane displacements ( $u$ and $v$ ) and rotations of the normal ( $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ ) have been taken as independent field variables, which are as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =\left[P_{2}\right]\{\chi\}  \tag{1a}\\
v & =\left[P_{2}\right]\{\beta\}  \tag{1b}\\
w & =\left[P_{1}\right]\{\gamma\}  \tag{1c}\\
\theta_{x} & =\left[P_{2}\right]\{\mu\}  \tag{1d}\\
\theta_{y} & =\left[P_{2}\right]\{\lambda\} \tag{1e}
\end{align*}
$$

and
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[P_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
L_{1}^{3} & L_{2}^{3} & L_{3}^{3} & L_{1}^{2} L_{2} & L_{2}^{2} L_{1} & L_{2}^{2} L_{3} & L_{3}^{2} L_{2} & L_{3}^{2} L_{1} & L_{1}^{2} L_{3} & L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}
\end{array}\right],} \\
& {\left[P_{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
L_{1}^{4} & L_{2}^{4} & L_{3}^{4} & L_{1}^{3} L_{2} & L_{2}^{3} L_{1} & L_{2}^{3} L_{3} & L_{3}^{3} L_{2} & L_{3}^{3} L_{1} & L_{1}^{3} L_{3} & L_{1}^{2} L_{2}^{2} & L_{2}^{2} L_{3}^{2} & L_{3}^{2} L_{1}^{2},
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \left.L_{1}^{2} L_{2} L_{3} \quad L_{1} L_{2}^{2} L_{3} \quad L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}^{2}\right], \\
& \{\chi\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{5} & \alpha_{6} & \alpha_{7} & \alpha_{8} & \alpha_{9} & \alpha_{10}
\end{array}\right]^{T}, \\
& \{\beta\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} & \alpha_{15} & \alpha_{16} & \alpha_{17} & \alpha_{18} & \alpha_{19} & \alpha_{20}
\end{array}\right]^{T}, \\
& \{\gamma\}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} & \alpha_{25} & \alpha_{26} & \alpha_{27} & \alpha_{28} & \alpha_{29} & \alpha_{30} & \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} & \alpha_{35}
\end{array}\right]^{T}, \\
& \{\mu\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\alpha_{36} & \alpha_{37} & \alpha_{38} & \alpha_{39} & \alpha_{40} & \alpha_{41} & \alpha_{42} & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} & \alpha_{45}
\end{array}\right]^{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\{\lambda\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\alpha_{46} & \alpha_{47} & \alpha_{48} & \alpha_{49} & \alpha_{50} & \alpha_{51} & \alpha_{52} & \alpha_{53} & \alpha_{54} & \alpha_{55}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

Now the above equations may be substituted appropriately at the different nodes with corresponding values of $L_{i}$ of the nodes, which will give the relationship between the unknown coefficients of the above polynomials in Eqs. (1a-1e) and the nodal degrees of freedom as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\delta_{e}\right\}=[A]\{\alpha\} \quad \text { or } \quad\{\alpha\}=[A]^{-1}\left\{\delta_{e}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{\alpha\}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \ldots \ldots \alpha_{55}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\left\{\delta_{e}\right\}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
u_{1} v_{1} w_{1} \theta_{x 1} \theta_{y 1} & u_{2} v_{2} w_{2} \theta_{x 2} \theta_{y 2} & w_{3} & u_{4} v_{4} w_{4} \theta_{x 4} \theta_{y 4}
\end{array} u_{5} v_{5} w_{5} \theta_{x 5} \theta_{y 5}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{6} v_{6} w_{6} \theta_{x 6} \theta_{y 6} \quad w_{7} \quad u_{8} v_{8} w_{8} \theta_{x 8} \theta_{y 8} \quad u_{9} v_{9} w_{9} \theta_{x 9} \theta_{y 9} \\
u_{10} v_{10} w_{10} \theta_{x 10} \theta_{y 10} \quad w_{11} \quad u_{12} v_{12} w_{12} \theta_{x 12} \theta_{y 12} \\
w_{13}
\end{array} w_{14} w_{15} u_{16} v_{16} w_{16} \theta_{x 16} \theta_{y 16}\right] ~ ? ~
$$

and the matrix $[A]$ having an order of $55 \times 55$ contains the coordinates of the different nodes.
As the rotations of the normal $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ are independent field variables and they are not derivatives of $w$, the effect of shear deformation can be easily incorporated as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{x}  \tag{3}\\
\phi_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{x}-\partial w / \partial x \\
\theta_{y}-\partial w / \partial y
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ are the average shear strain over the entire plate thickness and $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ are the total rotations of the normal.
Now the generalized stress strain relationship of a plate may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\sigma\}=[D]\{\varepsilon\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation, the generalized stress vector $\{\sigma\}$ is

$$
\{\sigma\}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
N_{x} & N_{y} & N_{x y} & M_{x} & M_{y} & M_{x y} & Q_{x} & Q_{y} \tag{5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the generalized strain vector $\{\varepsilon\}$ in terms of displacement fields is

$$
\{\varepsilon\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial u / \partial x  \tag{6}\\
\partial v / \partial y \\
\partial u / \partial y+\partial v / \partial x \\
-\partial \theta_{x} / \partial x \\
-\partial \theta_{y} / \partial y \\
-\partial \theta_{x} / \partial y-\partial \theta_{y} / \partial x \\
-\theta_{x}+\partial w / \partial x \\
-\theta_{y}+\partial w / \partial y
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Now, the field variables as defined in Eqs. (1a-1e) may be substituted in the generalized strain vector $\{\varepsilon\}$ as expressed in Eq. (6), which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\varepsilon\}=[C]\{\alpha\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix [ $C$ ] having an order of $5 \times 55$ contains $L_{i}$ conform to Eqs. (1a-1c) and their derivatives with respect to $x$ and $y$. Substituting Eq. (2) in Eq. (7), the generalized strain vector $\{\varepsilon\}$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\varepsilon\}=[B]\left\{\delta_{e}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad[B]=[C][A]^{-1}$.
The rigidity matrix $[D]$ constitutes of the contributions of its individual orthotropic layers oriented in different directions. Using the material properties and fiber orientations of these layers, it can be easily obtained following the usual steps available in any standard text on mechanics of fiber reinforced laminated composites. The rigidity matrix can be expressed as

$$
[D]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & 0 & 0 \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & 0 & 0 \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} & 0 & 0 \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} & 0 & 0 \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{55} & A_{54} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{45} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Once the matrices $[B]$ and $[D]$ are obtained, the element stiffness matrix $\left[K_{e}\right]$ can be easily derived with the help of Virtual work technique and it may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{e}\right]=\int_{A}[B]^{T}[D][B] d x d y \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner, the consistent mass matrix of an element can be derived with the help of the Eqs. (1) and (2) and it may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{e}\right]=[A]^{-T} \rho h \int_{A}\binom{\left[P_{u}\right]^{T}\left[P_{u}\right]+\left[P_{v}\right]^{T}\left[P_{v}\right]+\left[P_{w}\right]^{T}\left[P_{w}\right]+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[P_{\theta x}\right]^{T}\left[P_{\theta x}\right]}{+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[P_{\theta y}\right]^{T}\left[P_{\theta y}\right]} d x d y[A]^{-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[P_{u}\right]=\left[\left[P_{2}\right]:[0]:[0]:[0]:[0]\right],} \\
& {\left[P_{v}\right]=\left[[0]:\left[P_{2}\right]:[0]:[0]:[0]\right],} \\
& {\left[P_{w}\right]=\left[[0]:[0]:\left[P_{1}\right]:[0]:[0]\right],} \\
& {\left[P \text { Pn\} }=\left[[0]:[0]:[0]:\left[P_{2}\right]:[0]\right]\right.} \\
& {\left[P_{\theta y}\right]=\left[[0]:[0]:[0]:[0]:\left[P_{2}\right]\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first three terms of the mass matrix in Eq. (10) are associated with movement of mass along $u, v$ and $w$ directions respectively. The last two terms are associated with rotary inertia and their contribution becomes significant in the problem of thick plates. The integration in the above Eqs. (9) and (10) has been carried out numerically following Gauss quadrature technique.
Though the consistent mass matrix presented in Eq. (10) includes all the contributions including rotary inertia, it can not be used directly in the present analysis. In this consistent mass matrix the degrees of freedom at the internal nodes (which contains significant amount of mass) can not be eliminated but it is desired to eliminate these quantities for the improvement of computational elegance. This problem has been overcome by using lumped mass matrix [ $M_{l}$ ]. The present lump mass matrix has been formed with the help of consistent mass matrix presented in Eq. (10). In this context two different mass lumping schemes have been recommended which are as follows.
In the first lumping scheme, the mass of an element $m_{e}$ has been distributed at $w$ of its external twelve nodes where the ratio of distribution is dependent on the corresponding diagonal masses of the consistent mass matrix [ $M_{e}$ ] presented in Eq. (10). This lumping scheme has been defined as LS12 and it is as follows

$$
m_{i i}^{w l}=\frac{m_{i i}}{\sum m_{i i}} m_{e} \quad(i=3,8,11,14,19,24,27,30,35,40,43,46)
$$

where $m_{i i}^{w l}$ are the $i$ th diagonal elements corresponding to $w$ of the proposed lumped mass matrix, $m_{i i}$ is the $i$ th diagonal element of the consistent mass matrix $\left[M_{e}\right]$ and $m_{e}$ is the mass of the element. The concept is similar to that of Hinton et al. (1976).
In the second lumping scheme, the effect of in-plane as well as rotary inertia have been taken into account. In this lumping scheme the external nine nodes containing the degrees of freedom of $u, v, w, \theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ have been considered. Similar technique has been followed to get it at the external nodes as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{i i}^{u l} & =\frac{m_{i i}}{\sum m_{i i}} m_{e} & (i=1,6,12,17,22,28,33,38,44) \\
m_{i i}^{v l} & =\frac{m_{i i}}{\sum m_{i i}} m_{e} & (i=2,7,13,18,23,29,34,39,45) \\
m_{i i}^{w l} & =\frac{m_{i i}}{\sum m_{i i}} m_{e} & (i=3,8,14,19,24,30,35,40,46) \\
m_{i i}^{\theta x l} & =\frac{h^{2}}{12} \frac{m_{i i}}{\sum m_{i i}} m_{e} & i=4,9,15,20,25,31,36,41,47) \\
\text { and } \quad m_{i i}^{\theta y l} & =\frac{h^{2}}{12} \frac{m_{i i}}{\sum m_{i i}} m_{e} & (i=5,10,16,21,26,32,37,42,48)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the use of factor $\left(h^{2} / 12\right)$ can be justified with the expression of the consistent mass matrix as presented in Eq. (10). This lumping scheme has been defined as LS9RI. In this way the lumped mass matrix (LS12 or LS9RI) obtained has zero masses at the internal nodes and it contains only diagonal
masses of the external nodes.
At this stage, the order of element stiffness matrix $\left[K_{e}\right]$ and lumped mass matrix $\left[M_{l}\right]$ are fifty-five. This has been reduced to forty-eight by eliminating degrees of freedom of the internal nodes ( $w_{13}, w_{14}$, $w_{15}, u_{16}, v_{16}, \theta_{x 16}$ and $\theta_{y 16}$ ) through static condensation.
The stiffness corresponding to the degrees of freedom at the inclined edges has been transformed and obtained by pre and post multiplication by an element transformation matrix [ $T$ ] of order $48 \times 48$ which is as follows.

In the above transformation matrix,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\lambda_{1}\right]=\left[\lambda_{2}\right]=\left[\lambda_{4}\right]=\left[\lambda_{5}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\cos \theta & - & \operatorname{An} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cos & - & \theta \sin \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sin & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[I_{1}\right]=1 \text { and }\left[I_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the above expression $\left[\lambda_{1}\right],\left[\lambda_{2}\right],\left[\lambda_{4}\right]$ and $\left[1_{5}\right]$ are the transformation matrix corresponding to the nodes $1,2,4$ and 5 situated on the inclined edges and $\theta$ is the angle of inclined edges with vertical.
The stiffness matrix and mass matrix having an order of forty eight in their final form has been
evaluated for all the elements and they have been assembled together to form the overall stiffness $[K]$ and mass matrix $[M]$ respectively. The storage of $[K]$ and $[M]$ has been done in single array following skyline storage technique with proper care for the different degrees of freedom at the different nodes. Once [ $K$ ] and $[M]$ are obtained, the equation of motion of the plate may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]=\omega^{2}[M] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

After incorporating the boundary conditions in the above equation it has been solved by simultaneous iterative technique of Corr and Jennings (1976) to get frequency $\omega$ for first few modes.

## 3. Numerical examples

In order to demonstrate the accuracy and applicability of the present element, formulation and two different mass lumping (LS12 and LS9RI) schemes, few examples of isotropic trapezoidal plate and square composite laminate have been presented and compared with published results. To the best of the author's knowledge as there is no suitable published results for laminated composite trapezoidal plate, the solutions obtained for composite trapezoidal plate by the proposed element have been presented as new results. Unless otherwise mentioned the following material property and boundary conditions have been used for composite plate:

$$
E_{1}=40 E_{2}, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.6 E_{2,}, G_{23}=0.5 E_{2}, v_{12}=0.25 \text { and } v_{12}=v_{21}
$$

Simply supported: $u_{t}=w=\theta_{t}=0$
Clamped: $u_{t}=u_{n}=w=\theta_{t}=\theta_{n}=0$
The degrees of freedom at the inclined boundary edges have been transformed into local axis system.


Fig. 2 A trapezoidal plate with mesh size: $(4+2) \times 4$

Table 1 First two non-dimensional frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2} / 2 \pi\right)(\rho h / D)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a simply-supported trapezoidal plate

| b/a | Sources | For $h / a=0.01$ <br> Mode No. |  | For $h / a=0.1$ <br> Mode No. |  | For $h / a=0.2$ <br> Mode No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4/5 | LS12* $(5+3) \times 5^{\text {\# }}$ | 3.511 | 8.227 | 3.279 | 7.476 | 2.952 | 6.277 |
|  | LS12 (7+3)×7 | 3.510 | 8.224 | 3.278 | 7.478 | 2.952 | 6.288 |
|  | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 3.510 | 8.223 | 3.278 | 7.479 | 2.953 | 6.291 |
|  | LS9RI** $(5+3) \times 5$ | 3.512 | 8.227 | 3.258 | 7.382 | 2.893 | 6.102 |
|  | LS9RI ( $7+3$ ) $\times 7$ | 3.511 | 8.224 | 3.257 | 7.382 | 2.893 | 6.105 |
|  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 3.510 | 8.224 | 3.257 | 7.382 | 2.894 | 6.107 |
|  | Liew and Lam (1991) | 3.52 | 8.24 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% change*** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.645 | 1.314 | 2.039 | 3.013 |
| 3/5 | LS12 (6+2)×6 | 4.070 | 8.882 | 3.785 | 7.985 | 3.378 | 6.645 |
|  | LS12 (6+3)×6 | 4.068 | 8.877 | 3.783 | 7.986 | 3.378 | 6.653 |
|  | LS12 ( $7+3$ )×7 | 4.067 | 8.875 | 3.782 | 7.987 | 3.378 | 6.657 |
|  | LS9RI ( $6+2$ ) $\times 6$ | 4.073 | 8.886 | 3.758 | 7.881 | 3.306 | 6.450 |
|  | LS9RI ( $6+3$ ) $\times 6$ | 4.068 | 8.878 | 3.755 | 7.877 | 3.304 | 6.452 |
|  | LS9RI ( $7+3$ ) $\times 7$ | 4.067 | 8.876 | 3.755 | 7.877 | 3.307 | 6.452 |
|  | Liew and Lam (1991) | 4.08 | 8.91 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% change | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.719 | 1.396 | 2.147 | 3.177 |
| 2/5 | LS12 (7+1)×7 | 4.900 | 10.15 | 4.540 | 8.993 | 4.002 | 7.363 |
|  | LS12 (8+1) $\times 8$ | 4.899 | 10.15 | 4.541 | 8.995 | 4.003 | 7.370 |
|  | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 4.898 | 10.15 | 4.541 | 8.996 | 4.003 | 7.374 |
|  | LS9RI ( $7+1$ ) $\times 7$ | 4.911 | 10.18 | 4.511 | 8.879 | 3.914 | 7.150 |
|  | LS9RI ( $8+1$ ) $\times 8$ | 4.903 | 10.17 | 4.511 | 8.881 | 3.915 | 7.155 |
|  | LS9RI ( $9+1$ ) $\times 9$ | 4.900 | 10.17 | 4.511 | 8.882 | 3.915 | 7.157 |
|  | Liew and Lam (1991) | 4.90 | 10.24 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% change | 0.04 | 0.197 | 0.665 | 1.283 | 2.248 | 3.032 |
| 1/5 | LS12 (7+1)×7 | 5.992 | 12.64 | 5.529 | 11.06 | 4.792 | 8.809 |
|  | LS12 (8+1)×8 | 5.992 | 12.64 | 5.530 | 11.07 | 4.793 | 8.817 |
|  | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 5.992 | 12.64 | 5.530 | 11.07 | 4.794 | 8.823 |
|  | LS9RI ( $7+1$ ) $\times 7$ | 5.991 | 12.63 | 5.474 | 10.87 | 4.665 | 8.481 |
|  | LS9RI ( $8+1$ ) $\times 8$ | 5.992 | 12.63 | 5.474 | 10.88 | 4.666 | 8.484 |
|  | LS9RI ( $9+1$ ) $\times 9$ | 5.992 | 12.63 | 5.475 | 10.88 | 4.667 | 8.486 |
|  | Liew and Lam (1991) | 6.01 | 12.68 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% change | 0.0 | 0.08 | 1.004 | 1.746 | 2.72 | 3.97 |

*Present solutions considering lumping scheme LS12.
**Present solutions considering lumping scheme LS9RI.
\#Mesh divisions.
***Percentage change of results due to lumping scheme LS9RI with respect to LS12

Table 2 Non-dimensional fundamental frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a square laminate with different boundary conditions and ply orientations

| Boundary conditions | h/a | Sources | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { For } \\ 0 / 90 \end{gathered}$ | For 0/90/0/90/0/ 90/0/90/0/90 | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ 45 /-45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ 0 / 90 / 0 / 90 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSSS | 0.01 | LS12 (4×8) | 11.304 | 18.613 | 15.018 | 17.278 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 11.299 | 18.608 | 15.015 | 17.277 |
|  |  | Reddy (1989) | 11.300 | 18.610 | 14.863 | 17.278 |
|  |  | \% change | 0.044 | 0.027 | 0.02 | 0.006 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (4×8) | 10.578 | 15.830 | 13.228 | 14.987 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 10.487 | 15.777 | 13.105 | 14.923 |
|  |  | Reddy (1989) | 10.568 | 15.770 | 13.044 | 14.846 |
|  |  | \% change | 0.868 | 0.336 | 0.939 | 0.429 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (4×8) | 9.0252 | 11.679 |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 8.8594 | 11.636 |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 8.833 | 11.644 |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 1.871 | 0.369 |  |  |
| CCSS | 0.1 | LS12 (4×8) | 15.266 | 20.493 |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 15.165 | 20.455 |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 15.152 | 20.471 |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 0.666 | 0.1858 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (4×8) | 11.032 | 12.930 |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 10.908 | 12.904 |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 10.897 | 12.928 |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 1.137 | 0.201 |  |  |
| SSFC | 0.1 | LS12 (4×8) | 7.7896 | 11.882 |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 7.7340 | 11.839 |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 7.741 | 11.862 |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 0.719 | 0.3632 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (4×8) | 6.7486 | 8.9447 |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 6.6438 | 8.9044 |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 6.638 | 8.919 |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 1.577 | 0.4526 |  |  |
| CFFF | 0.01 | LS12 (4×8) | 2.6049 |  |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 2.6038 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 2.6103 |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 0.042 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (4×8) | 2.5357 |  |  |  |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $4 \times 8$ ) | 2.5287 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Reddy \& Khdeir (1989) | 2.5334 |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% change | 0.277 |  |  |  |

### 3.1. Isotropic trapezoidal plate

A simply supported isotropic trapezoidal plate as shown in Fig. 2 has been analyzed. The study has been done for three different thickness ratios ( $h / a=0.01,0.1$ and 0.2 ). Utilizing symmetry in the structure, the analysis has been carried out by modeling half of the plate with different mesh divisions as shown in Fig. 2. The mesh division has been defined as $(m+n) \times m$, where $m$ is the number of divisions of the triangular part in both the directions and $n$ is the number of divisions for the rectangular portion along $x$ direction. Both the lumping schemes (LS12 and LS9RI) have been used. The first two natural frequencies obtained have been presented with the analytical solution of Liew and Lam (1991) in Table 1. Liew and Lam (1991) used a computationally efficient Rayleigh-Ritz approach for analysis of the problem. In this example only $w$ is restrained.

Table 3 First two non-dimensional frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a simply supported four layer symmetric (0/90/90/0) trapezoidal composite laminate

| $b / a$ |  | For $h / a=0.01$ |  | For $h / a=0.1$ |  | For $h / a=0.2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sources | Mode No. |  | Mode No. |  | Mode No. |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $4 / 5$ | LS12 $(6+1) \times 2$ | 22.783 | 38.658 | 17.435 | 28.752 | 12.030 | 19.791 |
|  | LS12 $(7+1) \times 2$ | 22.782 | 38.657 | 17.439 | 28.770 | 12.038 | 19.828 |
|  | LS12 $(8+1) \times 2$ | 22.782 | 38.656 | 17.442 | 28.780 | 12.045 | 19.856 |
|  | LS9RI $(6+1) \times 2$ | 22.784 | 38.671 | 17.387 | 28.598 | 11.971 | 19.729 |
|  | LS9RI $(7+1) \times 2$ | 22.783 | 38.669 | 17.389 | 28.609 | 11.977 | 19.778 |
|  | LS9RI $(8+1) \times 2$ | 22.783 | 38.668 | 17.391 | 28.617 | 11.970 | 19.796 |
| $3 / 5$ | LS12 $(6+1) \times 2$ | 28.464 | 43.310 | 20.399 | 31.444 | 13.543 | 20.998 |
|  | LS12 $(7+1) \times 2$ | 28.443 | 43.291 | 20.403 | 31.460 | 13.552 | 21.032 |
|  | LS12 $(8+1) \times 2$ | 28.440 | 43.289 | 20.407 | 31.473 | 13.559 | 21.058 |
|  | LS9RI $(6+1) \times 2$ | 28.482 | 43.332 | 20.343 | 31.278 | 13.480 | 20.924 |
|  | LS9RI $(7+1) \times 2$ | 28.455 | 43.312 | 20.346 | 31.289 | 13.487 | 20.948 |
|  | LS9RI $(8+1) \times 2$ | 28.448 | 43.299 | 20.349 | 31.298 | 13.492 | 20.966 |
| $2 / 5$ | LS12 $(8+1) \times 1$ | 35.442 | 57.629 | 23.924 | 36.470 | 15.471 | 23.131 |
|  | LS12 $(9+1) \times 1$ | 35.412 | 57.629 | 23.932 | 36.501 | 15.480 | 23.162 |
|  | LS12 $(10+1) \times 1$ | 35.317 | 57.629 | 23.939 | 36.525 | 15.487 | 23.186 |
|  | LS9RI $(8+1) \times 1$ | 35.376 | 57.483 | 23.895 | 36.412 | 15.425 | 23.093 |
|  | LS9RI $(9+1) \times 1$ | 35.322 | 57.425 | 23.902 | 36.435 | 15.434 | 23.123 |
|  | LS9RI $(10+1) \times 1$ | 35.287 | 57.387 | 23.907 | 36.453 | 15.441 | 23.146 |
| $1 / 5$ | LS12 $(8+1) \times 1$ | 43.241 | 76.025 | 27.597 | 44.417 | 17.617 | 26.899 |
|  | LS12 $(9+1) \times 1$ | 43.122 | 76.025 | 27.606 | 44.454 | 17.626 | 26.931 |
|  | LS12 $(10+1) \times 1$ | 43.045 | 75.811 | 27.613 | 44.481 | 17.632 | 26.955 |
|  | LS9RI $(8+1) \times 1$ | 43.130 | 75.923 | 27.489 | 44.233 | 17.523 | 26.793 |
|  | LS9RI $(9+1) \times 1$ | 43.052 | 75.839 | 27.496 | 44.261 | 17.532 | 26.826 |
|  | LS9RI $(10+1) \times 1$ | 42.999 | 75.783 | 27.502 | 44.282 | 17.539 | 26.850 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 First and second non-dimensional frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a clamped trapezoidal composite laminate

| $b / a$ | h/a | Sources | For 0/90 <br> Mode No. |  | For 0/90/0 <br> Mode No. |  | For 0/90/90/0 <br> Mode No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 27.094 | 51.409 | 49.176 | 58.320 | 49.180 | 65.114 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 27.086 | 51.384 | 49.169 | 58.302 | 49.172 | 65.094 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 20.609 | 34.188 | 23.574 | 31.691 | 24.732 | 36.189 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 20.517 | 33.952 | 23.540 | 31.518 | 24.723 | 36.127 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 13.675 | 21.126 | 13.803 | 19.880 | 14.459 | 21.454 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 13.675 | 21.126 | 13.803 | 19.880 | 14.459 | 21.454 |
| 3/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 31.853 | 55.211 | 57.248 | 72.398 | 58.632 | 78.028 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 31.852 | 55.202 | 57.247 | 72.394 | 58.632 | 78.026 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 23.198 | 36.269 | 26.421 | 34.620 | 27.532 | 38.699 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 23.101 | 36.013 | 26.384 | 34.432 | 27.525 | 38.625 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 14.993 | 22.230 | 15.180 | 21.126 | 15.764 | 22.588 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 14.960 | 22.072 | 15.145 | 20.949 | 15.763 | 22.534 |
| 2/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 38.903 | 64.338 | 65.477 | 89.118 | 68.728 | 98.302 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 38.903 | 64.336 | 65.477 | 89.117 | 68.728 | 98.302 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 26.689 | 40.102 | 29.629 | 39.252 | 30.955 | 42.852 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $9+1$ ) $\times 9$ | 26.640 | 49.940 | 29.627 | 39.152 | 30.955 | 42.850 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 16.730 | 24.096 | 16.894 | 23.161 | 17.451 | 24.462 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 16.730 | 24.018 | 16.890 | 23.041 | 17.451 | 24.460 |
| 1/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 47.269 | 82.257 | 73.652 | 106.67 | 79.019 | 121.07 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $9+1$ ) $\times 9$ | 47.269 | 82.255 | 73.652 | 106.67 | 79.019 | 121.07 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 30.764 | 46.893 | 32.991 | 45.759 | 34.768 | 49.561 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $9+1$ ) $\times 9$ | 30.623 | 46.555 | 32.900 | 45.560 | 34.739 | 49.439 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 18.807 | 27.488 | 18.849 | 26.542 | 19.462 | 27.815 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 18.759 | 27.305 | 18.789 | 26.292 | 19.456 | 27.759 |

### 3.2. Laminated square plate

In this example a square laminate having different boundary conditions, ply-angle orientations and thickness ratios has been analyzed. The fundamental frequency obtained by the proposed element has been presented in Table 2 with the analytical solutions of Reddy (1989) and Reddy and Khdeir (1989).

In the above two examples, the results obtained by the present element have close agreement with the published analytical solutions. From the Tables it is seen that the rotary inertia has significant effect for thick plate. Therefore, LS9RI is recommended for both thick and thin plates and LS12 is recommended for thin plates.

### 3.3. Symmetric and anti-symmetric cross-ply trapezoidal plate

A simply supported symmetric cross ply $\left(0^{0} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$ trapezoidal laminate (Fig. 2) has been

Table 5 First and second non-dimensional frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a simply supported two and ten layer (45/-45/45/-45---) trapezoidal composite laminate

| $b / a$ | N.N | Sources | For $h / a=0.01$ <br> Mode No. |  | For $h / a=0.1$ <br> Mode No. |  | For $h / a=0.2$ <br> Mode No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4/5 | 2 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 18.032 | 41.369 | 15.384 | 30.811 | 11.742 | 20.413 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 18.031 | 41.369 | 15.276 | 30.496 | 11.632 | 19.882 |
|  | 10 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 28.826 | 62.147 | 21.147 | 37.554 | 13.812 | 22.130 |
|  |  | LS9RI (8+2) $\times 8$ | 28.826 | 62.146 | 21.125 | 37.553 | 13.812 | 20.893 |
| 3/5 | 2 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 22.072 | 45.506 | 17.442 | 33.100 | 12.967 | 21.629 |
|  |  | LS9RI (8+2) $\times 8$ | 22.071 | 45.503 | 17.302 | 32.666 | 12.826 | 21.233 |
|  | 10 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 34.369 | 68.891 | 23.412 | 40.037 | 15.003 | 23.340 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 34.367 | 68.887 | 23.384 | 40.029 | 15.000 | 23.330 |
| 2/5 | 2 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 27.732 | 54.395 | 20.113 | 37.017 | 14.507 | 23.565 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 27.731 | 54.390 | 19.945 | 36.717 | 14.347 | 23.385 |
|  | 10 | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 42.501 | 80.412 | 26.348 | 43.769 | 16.553 | 25.125 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 42.500 | 80.400 | 26.346 | 43.762 | 16.550 | 25.120 |
| 1/5 | 2 | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 34.446 | 69.050 | 23.241 | 42.948 | 16.426 | 26.703 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 34.451 | 69.087 | 22.972 | 42.538 | 16.188 | 26.416 |
|  | 10 | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 52.705 | 99.358 | 30.080 | 49.751 | 18.594 | 28.288 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 52.729 | 99.420 | 30.014 | 49.717 | 18.573 | 28.270 |

N.N represent number of layer.
analyzed with different $b / a$ and thickness ratios $(h / a)$. Similar to the previous example, the half of the plate has been analyzed and two mass lumping schemes have been used. The first two non-dimensional frequencies obtained by the present element have been given in Table 3. Results have been presented with different mesh divisions to show the convergence of the element. In this analysis all degrees of freedom have been restrained except $\theta_{n}$.
A clamped trapezoidal laminate with thickness ratio $h / a=0.01,0.1$ and 0.2 has been analyzed and the first two non-dimensional frequencies has been presented in Table 4 . The analysis has been performed considering ply orientations $0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{0}$ and $0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}$.

### 3.4. Anti-symmetric angle ply trapezoidal laminate

First a simply supported two and ten layer ( $\left.45^{\circ} /-45^{0} / 45^{\circ} /-45^{0}-----\right)$ trapezoidal laminate has been analyzed with different $b / a$ and $h / a$ ratios. The analysis has been performed considering both the mass lumping schemes and the results have been presented in Table 5.
Next an anti-symmetric two layer trapezoidal laminate with different ply angles ( $30^{\circ} /-30^{\circ}, 45^{\circ} /-45^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ} /-60^{\circ}$ ) has been analyzed. The two inclined edges of the laminate are simply supported and other two parallel edges are clamped. The first two non-dimensional frequencies obtained by the proposed element have been given in Table 6 .

Table 6 First and second non-dimensional frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a two layer angle ply trapezoidal composite laminate with two inclined edges are simply supported and other two edges are clamped

| b/a | $h / a$ | Sources | 30/-30 |  | 45/-45 |  | 60/-60 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mode No. |  | Mode No. |  | Mode No. |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 23.247 | 44.520 | 26.136 | 51.543 | 27.058 | 61.832 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 23.245 | 44.515 | 26.134 | 51.540 | 27.056 | 61.828 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 17.856 | 31.103 | 19.050 | 34.376 | 18.811 | 36.564 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 17.780 | 30.904 | 18.983 | 34.142 | 18.723 | 36.343 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 12.643 | 20.175 | 12.902 | 21.429 | 12.401 | 21.933 |
|  |  | LS9RI (8+2) $\times 8$ | 12.580 | 20.039 | 12.855 | 21.356 | 12.317 | 21.845 |
| 3/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 26.714 | 49.746 | 29.819 | 56.068 | 29.633 | 64.592 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 26.713 | 49.742 | 29.817 | 56.064 | 29.632 | 64.589 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 19.791 | 33.547 | 20.832 | 36.462 | 20.092 | 37.870 |
|  |  | LS9RI (8+2) $\times 8$ | 19.684 | 33.345 | 20.761 | 36.213 | 19.992 | 37.610 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 13.852 | 21.426 | 13.961 | 22.526 | 13.275 | 22.783 |
|  |  | LS9RI (8+2) $\times 8$ | 13.766 | 21.292 | 13.904 | 22.452 | 13.174 | 22.672 |
| 2/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 32.279 | 57.502 | 35.280 | 64.290 | 34.159 | 69.782 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 32.278 | 57.500 | 35.281 | 64.293 | 34.158 | 69.780 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 22.580 | 37.103 | 23.207 | 39.776 | 22.039 | 40.152 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 22.468 | 36.946 | 23.157 | 39.653 | 21.966 | 39.950 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 15.513 | 23.316 | 15.387 | 24.242 | 14.550 | 24.179 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 15.435 | 23.236 | 15.335 | 24.163 | 14.453 | 24.123 |
| 1/5 | 0.01 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 40.173 | 69.798 | 42.478 | 77.445 | 41.272 | 79.817 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 40.172 | 69.795 | 42.477 | 77.444 | 41.275 | 79.821 |
|  | 0.1 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 26.362 | 42.852 | 26.352 | 45.105 | 24.886 | 44.648 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 26.166 | 42.469 | 26.190 | 44.843 | 24.719 | 44.300 |
|  | 0.2 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 17.616 | 26.514 | 17.280 | 27.247 | 16.354 | 26.975 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 17.497 | 26.304 | 17.156 | 27.172 | 16.180 | 26.812 |

### 3.5. Isotropic and laminated composite plate with concentrated mass at the centre

Vibration of an isotropic rectangular plate, 0.71 m long, 0.42 m wide and 2.0 mm thick having a concentrated mass at the centre with self mass has been studied. The plate is simply supported at the two opposite smaller sides and clamped along the other two longer sides. The present results for different values of concentrated mass have been presented in Table 7 with those obtained by Ritz solution of Boay (1995). The material properties of the plate are:

$$
E=70.0 \mathrm{Gpa}, v=0.3 \text { and } \rho=1770 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
$$

A simply supported two layer cross ply square laminate having concentrated mass at the centre

Table 7 Fundamental frequency parameter $\omega / 2 \pi$ and $\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}$ for isotropic and two layer ( $0 / 90$ ) cross ply square laminate respectively having a concentrated mass $(M)$ at the centre

| For isotropic plate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M(\mathrm{~kg})$ | Present analysis |  |  |  |
|  | LS12 $(3 \times 4)$ | LS12 $(4 \times 6)$ | LS12 $(5 \times 6)$ |  |
| 0.5 | 38.78 | 38.73 | 38.72 | 38.83 |
| 1.0 | 29.52 | 29.49 | 29.48 | 29.54 |
| 1.48 | 24.92 | 24.89 | 24.87 | 24.96 |
| 1.98 | 21.84 | 21.80 | 21.79 | 21.88 |
| 3.0 | 17.99 | 17.94 | 17.93 | 18.03 |


| For two layer cross ply laminate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M / \rho h a^{2}$ | Sources | $h / a=0.01$ | $h / a=0.1$ | $h / a=0.2$ |
| 0.5 | LS12 $(4 \times 8)$ | 5.4672 | 4.6163 | 3.3231 |
|  | LS9RI $(4 \times 8)$ | 5.4669 | 4.6078 | 3.3165 |
|  | Dey | 5.4700 | 4.6170 | 3.3235 |
| 1.0 | LS12 $(4 \times 8)$ | 4.1952 | 3.4384 | 2.4108 |
|  | LS9RI $(4 \times 8)$ | 4.1951 | 3.4351 | 2.4085 |
|  | Dey | 4.1960 | 3.4391 | 2.4112 |
| 2.0 | LS12 $(4 \times 8)$ | 3.1052 | 2.4965 | 1.7258 |
|  | LS9RI $(4 \times 8)$ | 3.1052 | 2.4953 | 1.7250 |
|  | Dey | 3.1053 | 2.4969 | 1.7260 |

with self mass has been analyzed considering two different mass lumping schemes. The analysis has been done considering different mass ratio $M / \rho h a^{2}$, where $M$ is the concentrated mass and $\rho$ is mass density, $h$ is thickness and $a$ is length of the square laminate respectively. The first two nondimensional frequencies have been presented in Table 7 with those of Dey. Dey one of the coresearchers has analyzed the problem using a seven node triangular element with $16 \times 16$ mesh divisions.
The above two examples have been presented only to validate the proposed element, formulation and the mass lumping schemes. There is excellent agreement between the results.
Finally a simply supported two layer cross ply $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\right)$ trapezoidal laminate having concentrated mass at the centre with the self mass has been analyzed and presented in Table 8. In this example the following material properties are used:

$$
E_{1}=25 E_{2,}, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.5 E_{2,} G_{23}=0.2 E_{2}, v_{12}=0.25 \text { and } v_{12}=v_{21}
$$

## 4. Conclusions

A high precision shear deformable triangular element has been proposed and applied to free vibration analysis of laminated trapezoidal plate. First order shear deformation theory has been incorporated in the element formulation. The performance of the element has been tested through convergence test as

Table 8 First two non-dimensional frequency parameter $\left[\left(\omega a^{2}\right)\left(\rho / E_{2} h\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ of a simply supported two layer ( $0 /$ 90) trapezoidal laminate having a concentrated mass $(M)$ at the centre

| $b / a$ | $\begin{gathered} M / \\ \rho h a^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Sources | For $h / a=0.01$ <br> Mode No. |  | For $h / a=0.1$ <br> Mode No. |  | For $h / a=0.2$ <br> Mode No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4/5 | 0 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 11.239 | 27.668 | 10.061 | 22.242 | 8.2670 | 15.603 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 11.239 | 27.667 | 9.9788 | 21.992 | 8.1383 | 13.934 |
|  | 0.5 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 6.3118 | 27.636 | 5.1457 | 18.162 | 3.6509 | 11.288 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 6.3118 | 27.636 | 5.1354 | 18.067 | 3.6429 | 11.154 |
|  | 1.0 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 4.8372 | 27.625 | 3.8286 | 16.324 | 2.6486 | 11.027 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 4.8372 | 27.625 | 3.8245 | 16.229 | 2.6457 | 10.888 |
|  | 2.0 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 3.3774 | 27.616 | 2.7780 | 16.917 | 1.8960 | 10.904 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 3.3774 | 27.616 | 2.7765 | 16.817 | 1.8949 | 10.762 |
| 3/5 | 0 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 14.462 | 29.963 | 11.800 | 23.472 | 9.3726 | 16.380 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 14.461 | 29.963 | 11.696 | 23.187 | 9.2264 | 16.179 |
|  | 0.5 | LS12 (8+2)×8 | 8.0009 | 29.655 | 5.8602 | 19.567 | 3.9752 | 12.280 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 8.0010 | 29.654 | 5.8491 | 19.440 | 3.9679 | 12.123 |
|  | 1.0 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 6.1044 | 29.570 | 4.3324 | 18.812 | 2.8720 | 12.047 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 6.1044 | 29.570 | 4.3282 | 18.682 | 2.8694 | 11.886 |
|  | 2.0 | LS12 (8+2) $\times 8$ | 4.5011 | 29.509 | 3.1324 | 18.442 | 2.0517 | 11.937 |
|  |  | LS9RI ( $8+2$ ) $\times 8$ | 4.5011 | 29.509 | 3.1308 | 18.309 | 2.0508 | 11.774 |
| 2/5 | 0 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 19.827 | 36.326 | 14.470 | 26.210 | 10.935 | 17.861 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 19.827 | 36.325 | 14.357 | 25.940 | 10.791 | 17.700 |
|  | 0.5 | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 10.937 | 32.506 | 7.2893 | 21.935 | 4.8665 | 14.215 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 10.937 | 32.503 | 7.2770 | 21.762 | 4.8584 | 14.057 |
|  | 1.0 | LS12 (9+1) $\times 9$ | 8.2989 | 31.976 | 5.3982 | 21.372 | 3.5361 | 13.977 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 8.2989 | 31.974 | 5.3934 | 21.203 | 3.5332 | 13.814 |
|  | 2.0 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 6.0958 | 31.722 | 3.9068 | 21.080 | 2.5337 | 13.861 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 6.0958 | 31.720 | 3.9050 | 21.913 | 2.5327 | 13.697 |
| 1/5 | 0 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 26.109 | 50.149 | 17.771 | 32.110 | 12.800 | 20.796 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 26.107 | 50.141 | 17.582 | 31.700 | 12.610 | 20.578 |
|  | 0.5 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 15.127 | 36.607 | 8.8739 | 23.207 | 5.5594 | 15.204 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 15.126 | 36.604 | 8.8597 | 22.958 | 5.5530 | 14.987 |
|  | 1.0 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 11.427 | 35.626 | 6.5131 | 22.731 | 4.0161 | 15.033 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 11.428 | 35.628 | 6.5080 | 22.478 | 4.0139 | 14.811 |
|  | 2.0 | LS12 (9+1)×9 | 8.3623 | 35.131 | 4.6899 | 22.502 | 2.8692 | 14.952 |
|  |  | LS9RI (9+1) $\times 9$ | 8.3624 | 35.133 | 4.6881 | 22.248 | 2.8684 | 14.729 |

well as comparison of the present results with the available published results. Any numerical problem such as shear locking has not been encountered for thin plate. Two different mass lumping schemes have been recommended. In one of the mass lumping schemes rotary inertia has been included and it is seen that rotary inertia has significant effect for thick plate. The potential of the element and the concept of the present lumping schemes have been clearly reflected by the order of accuracy of the results in all the problems. In this investigation, a number of new results have been presented.

## References

Batoz, J. L. and Katili, I. (1992), "On a simple triangular Reissner/Mindlin plate element based on incompatible modes and discrete constraints", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 35, 1603-1632.
Boay, C. G. (1995), "Frequency analysis of rectangular isotropic plates carrying a concentrated mass", Compt. Struct. 56(1), 39-48.
Corr, R. B. and Jennings. A. (1976), "A simultaneous iteration algorithm for symmetric eigenvalue problems", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 10, 647-663.
Dey, P. Private communication.
Gallaghar, R. H. (1975), Finite Element Analysis, Fundamentals, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
Hinton, E., Rock, T. and Zienkiewicz, O. C. (1976), "A note on mass lumping and related processes in the finite element method", Earthquake Eng. and Struct. Dynamics, 4, 245-249.
Liew, K. M. (1992), "Response of plates of arbitrary shape subjected to static loading", J. Eng. Mech. ASCE, 118(9), 1783-1794.
Liew, K. M. and Lam, K. Y. (1991), "A Rayleigh-Ritz approach to transverse vibration of isotropic and anisotropic trapezoidal plates using orthogonal plate functions", Int. J. Solids Struct. 27(2), 189-203.
Liew, K. M. and Lim, C. W. (1995), "Vibratory characteristics of general laminates, I: symmetric trapezoids", J. Sound. Vibr. 183, 615-642.
Lim, C. W., Liew, K. M. and Kitipornchai, S. (1996), "Vibration of arbitrarily laminated plates of general trapezoidal planform", J. Acous. Soc. Am. 100, 3674-3685.
Liew, K. M., Karunasena, W., Kitipornchai, S. and Chen, C. C. (1999), "Vibration of unsymmetrically laminated thick quadrilateral plates", J. Acous. Soc. Am. 105, 1672-1681.
Petrolito, J. (1989), "A modified ACM element for thick plate analysis", Compt. Struct., 32, 1303-1309.
Reddy, J. N. (1989), "On refined computational modes of composite laminates", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 27, 361-382.
Reddy, J. N. and Khdeir, A. A. (1989), "Buckling and vibration of laminated composite plates using various plate theory", AIAAJ, 27, 1808-1817.
Sengupta, D. (1991), "Stress analysis of flat plates with shear using explicit stiffness matrix", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 32, 1389-1409.
Timoshenko, S. P. and Woinowsky-Krieger, S. (1959), Theory of Plates and Shells, McGraw-Hill, New York.
Wanji, C. and Cheung, Y. K. (2000), "Refined quadrilateral element based on Mindlin/Reissner plate theory", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 47, 605-627.

Yuan, F. G. and Miller, R. E. (1989), "A cubic triangular finite element for flat plates with shear", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 28, 109-126.
Zhongnian Xu. (1992), "A thick-thin triangular plate element", Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., 33, 963-973.
Zienkiewicz, O. C. (1971), The Finite Element Method in Engineering Science, McGraw-Hill, New York.
Zienkiewicz, O. C. and Taylor, R. L. (1988), The Finite Element Method (two volumes), McGraw-Hill, New York.
CC


[^0]:    $\dagger$ Lecturer: salilhaldar@lycos.com
    $\ddagger$ Lecturer

