Nonlinear dynamic response analysis of a long-span suspension bridge under running train and turbulent wind
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Abstract. With taking the geometric nonlinearity of bridge structure into account, a framework is presented for predicting the dynamic responses of a long-span suspension bridge subjected to running train and turbulent wind. The nonlinear dynamic equations of the coupled train-bridge-wind system are established, and solved with the Newmark numerical integration and direct interactive method. The corresponding linear and nonlinear processes for solving the system equation are described, and the corresponding computer codes are written. The proposed framework is then applied to a schemed long-span suspension bridge with the main span of 1120 m. The whole histories of the train passing through the bridge under turbulent wind are simulated, and the dynamic responses of the bridge are obtained. The results demonstrate that the geometric nonlinearity does not influence the variation tendency of the bridge displacement histories, but the maximum responses will be changed obviously; the lateral displacement of bridge are more sensitive to the wind than the vertical ones; compared with wind velocity, train speed affects the vertical maximum responses a little more clearly.
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1. Introduction

With the rapid development of economy and techniques, and to meet the community needs for safe and efficient transportation, more and more long-span bridges carrying railway have been being built throughout the world.

Generally, the long-span bridges are probably built in wind prone area, and exhibit special characteristics such as high flexibility and low structural damping. The dynamic interaction between bridges and trains is an important problem to be solved for bridge design. When the train running on a long-span suspension bridge, the bridge will experience considerable vibration due to both moving train and turbulent winds, which may bring fatigue failures to the structures, or even completely destroy the bridge, and the vibration of the bridge may in turn affect the running safety of trains and the comfort of passengers (Guo and Xu 2006). Therefore, the safety of high speed train running on an oscillating long-span suspension bridge under turbulent wind has become a topic of increasing concern in recent years. This presents a complicated dynamic interaction problem
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between the bridge, the train and the turbulent wind. This problem has been noticed and studied by researchers in China and abroad (Flýba 1996, Guo and Xia 2004, Yang 2008).

In the previous studies, Xu et al. (2003) presented a framework for predicting the dynamic response of the Tsing Ma suspension bridge to high winds and running trains, in which the trains run inside the bridge deck of a closed cross section and there was no wind force directly acting on the trains, and later in another paper (Xu and Zhang 2004), in which the dynamic responses of cable-stayed bridge and train in cross wind are obtained, but the study focused on the comparison of dynamic responses with and without wind load, and train speed kept constant. Based on the modal superposition technique, Xia et al. (2008) established a dynamic model of wind-train-bridge system, the dynamic response of the schemed Tianxingzhou Yangtze River bridge under wind actions was simulated and the running safety and stability indices of the train vehicles were obtained, but it was in linear analysis, the geometric nonlinearity of long-span suspension bridge was ignored.

The construction of the Beijing-Shanghai High-speed Railway accelerates the study of coupled train and long-span bridge system under wind action. Guo and Zeng (2001) analysed the critical wind speed for running trains on a schemed cable-stayed bridge that crossing the Yangtze River in Nanjing, from which the warning and closing wind speed of the bridge were obtained. Based on the Nanjing Dashengguan Yangtze River Bridge, a 6-span arch bridge, Zhang and Xia (2009) analysed the coupling vibration of the wind-vehicle-bridge system, the results show that the dynamic response of bridge system increases with the wind velocity, whose sensitivity in lateral direction is larger than that in vertical direction, and the thresholds of wind velocity versus train speed for the ICE3 and CRH were drawn. Yang (2008) studied the aerostatic instability of cable-supported bridges, with emphasis placed on modeling of the geometric nonlinear effects of various components of cable-supported bridges.

This paper extends the previous work to perform a nonlinear dynamic model of the coupled wind-train-bridge system. With taking part of the geometric nonlinearity into account, a three dimensional finite element model is established to represent a schemed long-span suspension bridge, and another geometric nonlinearity is considered while solving the wind-train-bridge system equations. The whole histories of the train passing through the bridge under wind actions are simulated, and the influences of geometric nonlinearity, wind velocity and train speed on the dynamic responses of the long-span suspension bridge are analysed in detail.

2. Basic theory and analysis model

The dynamic analysis model of the wind-train-bridge system consists of three sub-models: the dynamic model of a train, a long-span suspension bridge, and the wind loads on the bridge-train system, as shown in Fig. 1.

2.1 Train model

The train model consists of several locomotives and vehicles. Each locomotive or vehicle is composed of the car-body, bogies, wheel-sets, and the spring and damping connections between the three components.

In order to simplify the analysis but with enough accuracy, it is supposed that the car-body, bogies and wheel-sets in each vehicle are regarded as rigid components, neglecting their elastic
deformation during vibration. Five DOFs are concerned for each car body or a bogie: the lateral displacement $Y$, the vertical displacement $Z$, the roll displacement $R_X$, the yaw displacement $R_Z$, and the pitch displacement $R_Y$ with respect to its mass center. A wheel-set has three DOFs: the lateral displacement $Y$, the vertical displacement $Z$, the roll displacement $R_X$ to its mass center. Therefore, the analysis model for each vehicle with 2-bogies and 4-axles can be modeled by a 27-DOFs dynamic system.

2.2 Bridge model

A long suspension bridge mainly consists of bridge towers, piers, main girders, highway and railway decks, cables, suspenders and anchorages. In this study, the suspension bridge is modeled as a three-dimensional system using the finite element analysis software MIDAS.

In fact, the relationship between the loads and displacements of a long-span suspension bridge structure is nonlinear. The total nonlinear characteristics chiefly come from three factors as follows (Pan 2004, Boonyapinyo 1994, Chen 2000):
(1) The internal force effect of dead loads. To obtain the balanced state of the structure, the true deformation should be calculated when the internal loads, dead loads and outside loads are all applied.

(2) The sagging effect induced by combined action of cable tension and gravity. This effect can be solved by the Ernst Equation, which is shown as

\[ F_{eq} = \frac{E}{1 + \left(\frac{W L}{2 A E}\right)^2} \]  

where, \( E \) is the original Young's Modulus of the cable material; \( W \) is the unit weight of the cable material; \( L \) is the length in the horizontal plane; \( A \) is the cross section of the cable; \( F \) is the inner tension of the cable.

In this paper, the nonlinearity of the internal force effect and sagging effect are considered in the process of modeling, and the large-displacement influence is analyzed through the numerical integration process while solving the system equation, which will be introduced in detail hereinafter.

2.3 Wind load

There are three wind loads acting on the bridge: steady-state wind load, buffeting wind load and self-excited wind load. The steady-state wind load can be calculated by the structure dimensions and aerodynamic force coefficients from wind tunnel tests, which keeps unchanged in the whole history of train running on the bridge. The buffeting wind load is induced by the fluctuating wind, consisting of the horizontal part \( u(t) \) and the vertical part \( w(t) \), which are much smaller than the mean wind velocity \( U \). The self-excited wind load is caused by the interaction between the wind and the motion of bridge structures (Allan 1998).

The wind loads acting on the train include steady-state wind load and buffeting load. The steady-state wind load is related to the mean part of oncoming wind components \( U \) and train coefficients obtained by wind tunnel tests. The static train coefficients change with the wind attack angle, which reflects the nonlinearity of the static wind load.

A fast spectral representation method proposed by Cao (2000) is adopted here for the numerical simulation of stochastic wind velocity field. The time histories of fluctuating wind components, \( u(t) \) and \( w(t) \), at the \( j \)th point can be generated by the following equations

\[ u_j(t) = \sqrt{2}\Delta\omega \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sqrt{\bar{S}_u(\omega_{mk})} G_{jm}(\omega_{mk}) \cos(\omega_{mk} t + \phi_{mk}) \]

\[ w_j(t) = \sqrt{2}\Delta\omega \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sqrt{\bar{S}_w(\omega_{mk})} G_{jm}(\omega_{mk}) \cos(\omega_{mk} t + \phi_{mk}) \]  

where, \( \Delta\omega \) is the frequency interval between the spectral lines; \( N \) is the total number of frequency interval; \( j=1,2,\ldots,n_1, n_1 \) is the total number of points where wind speeds are simulated; \( \phi \) is a random variable uniformly distributed between 0 and \( 2\pi \), \( \bar{S}_u(\omega) \) and \( \bar{S}_w(\omega) \) are the horizontal and vertical wind auto-spectra, respectively; \( G(\omega) \) is a coefficient matrix given by
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\[ G_{jm}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq j < m \leq n \\ C^{j-m} & m = 1, m \leq j \leq n \\ C^{j-m}\left(1 - C^2\right)^{-1} & 2 \leq m \leq j \leq n \end{cases} \]  

where: \( C \) is the function of \( \omega \) and can be computed with \( C = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda \omega_{mk}d}{2\pi U(z)}\right) \), in which \( \lambda \) can be empirically be taken between 7 and 10; \( U(z) \) is the mean wind velocity acting on the bridge girder; \( d \) is the horizontal space distance from point \( j \) to \( m \); \( \omega_{mk} = (k-1)\Delta \omega + (m/n)\Delta \omega k \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, N \).

The buffeting wind load of a vehicle traveling on the bridge girder can be obtained by the numerical interpolation of the buffeting wind loads of the girder segment at the two adjacent nodes. The details of the wind load expression can be found in Xia et al. (2008).

2.4 Motion equation of the system

By taking the geometric nonlinearity of the structure into account, and based on the Lagrange Equation, the motion equations for the train-bridge system under wind action can be established as

\[
M_b\ddot{\delta}_b + C_b\dot{\delta}_b + K_b\delta_b = F_{bv} + F_{bc}^1 + F_{bc}^2 + F_{bc}^3
\]

\[
M_v\ddot{\delta}_v + C_v\dot{\delta}_v + K_v\delta_v = F_{vb} + F_{vc}^1 + F_{vc}^2
\]

where: \( M, C \) and \( K \) are the mass, damping and stiffness matrices; \( \delta, \dot{\delta} \) and \( \ddot{\delta} \) are displacement, velocity and acceleration vectors, respectively; \( F_{bv} \) and \( F_{vb} \) are interactive forces between train and bridge; the subscripts \( b \) and \( v \) represent the bridge and the train, and the superscripts \( st, bf, se \) represent the steady-state wind load, the buffeting wind load and the self-excited wind load, respectively.

As the stiffness matrix of bridge is related to the displacement, Eq. (5) are nonlinear equations. The two subsystems are linked by coupling the displacement relationships between wheels and rail, considering the known wheel irregularities. They can be solved by numerical integral and iterative calculation. The detail solving process for the nonlinear train-bridge system is shown in Fig. 2 (Liu 2009, Zhang 2008). A computer program is written based on the formulation and computing process derived above.

In order to compare the difference between the linear and nonlinear analysis, and to analyze the influence of the structure geometric nonlinearity, another linear program is written based on the process shown in Fig 3. Comparing with the nonlinear process shown in Fig. 2, it can be seen that circle \( j \) is deleted in the linear process, which is one of the main differences between linear and nonlinear program.

3. Case study

3.1 Bridge introduction

The case study concerns a suspension bridge that crosses the Yangtze River in China. The bridge
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has a main span of 1120 m and two side spans of 112 m + 84 m, and the height of the tower is 200 m measured from the base level to the tower saddle, as shown in Fig. 4. The height of the truss is 16 m and the panel length is 14 m. The distance between the two main trusses is 30 m. The double bridge deck is suspended by suspenders in the main span to allow a sufficiently large navigation channel. Each suspending point has two suspenders. The upper deck is 43 m wide and carries 8 roadway lines, while the lower deck is 30 m wide and carries 4 railway tracks, as shown in Fig. 5.

The spatial finite element model of the whole bridge is shown in Fig. 6. The two reinforced concrete towers and the members of the truss of the bridge, including the main truss members, stringers and cross beams, are modeled by three dimensional beam elements. The cables and the suspenders are modeled by two-dimensional truss elements, and the steel deck by plate elements.
The modal analysis on this bridge is performed by the general structure analysis software MIDAS. The result shows that the natural frequencies of the bridge are spaced very closely together. Its first 20 natural frequencies include: 1 longitudinal vibration mode, 7 vertical nodes, 6 lateral modes, and 6 coupling modes between lateral vibration and torsional vibrations. Some modes of the bridge can be found in Fig. 7.

The train concerned in the case study is composed of one electrically-powered locomotive SS8 followed by 18 passenger cars (Guo 2004). The train speed range in calculation is 100-300 km/h.
The vertical, lateral and torsional irregularities of the track on the bridge are taken into consideration as the self-excitations by using the measured data from the Zhengzhou-Wuhan railway in China (Xia 2000).

3.2 Wind simulation

The spectra for horizontal and vertical turbulent wind velocity are defined by

\[
S_w(n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{200f(z)u^2_z}{n[1 + 50f(z)]^5} & \text{for } S_w(n) \\
\frac{6f(z)u^2_z}{n[1 + 4f(z)]^3} & \text{for } \theta
\end{cases}
\]

The wind velocity field on the bridge girder is assumed to be composed of 189 wind velocity waves at 189 different points uniformly distributed along the bridge, with 40 points before the bridge, 109 along the bridge and 40 points after it, the intervals is 14 m. The sampling number of frequency is 256 and the frequency interval is 0.004 Hz. The time interval of the generated wind velocity is 0.1 s. The simulated velocities with \( U = 10 \) m/s at the middle span of the bridge are shown in Fig. 8.

3.3 Nonlinearity influence

Calculated by the linear and nonlinear programs, the influence of the structure geometric nonlinearity is analyzed. When the train speed is 100 km/h, and the mean velocity of the turbulent wind is 10 m/s, the simulated vertical deflection and lateral displacement histories at the middle of the main span and the 2nd span of bridge girder are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, respectively.
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Fig. 8 Simulated wind velocity curves at mid-span of bridge deck

Fig. 9 Comparison of bridge vertical deflection histories

Fig. 10 Comparison of bridge lateral displacement histories
It can be seen from the figures that
(1) The variation tendency of the bridge displacement histories is not obviously influenced by the geometric nonlinearity of the structure;
(2) Both the vertical and lateral maximum displacements are obviously different while considering the geometric nonlinearity of the structure. For example, the linear maximum vertical deflection of the main span is 51.23 cm, while the nonlinear value is 49.80 cm, 2.8 percent discrepancy is occurred, and the corresponding discrepancy between linear and nonlinear result of the 2nd span is 7.9 percent. The linear maximum lateral displacement of the main span is 109 mm, while the corresponding nonlinear value is 103 mm, 5.5 percent discrepancy is occurred, and the linear and nonlinear analysis discrepancy of the 2nd span is 7.4 percent.

As the result of the above analysis, the geometric nonlinearity of the long-span suspension bridge should not be neglected in the calculation of wind-train-bridge coupling system.

3.4 Influences of train speed and wind velocity

By taking the geometric nonlinearity of the long-span suspension bridge into account, the simulated vertical and lateral deflections of the main span with and without wind load are drawn in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, respectively, while the corresponding train speed is 200 km/s.

![Fig. 11 Comparison of bridge vertical deflections with and without wind action](image1)

![Fig. 12 Comparison of bridge lateral displacements with and without wind action](image2)
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Shown in Fig. 13 are the three dimensional distribution of vertical deflection and lateral displacement of bridge mid-span versus train speed and wind velocity, when the train passes the bridge at the speed of 100-300 km/h, and the mean velocity of the turbulent wind is 0-20 m/s.

From Fig. 11 to Fig. 13, the dynamic characteristics of the long span suspension bridge under train and wind actions can be observed as follows:

1. The vertical deflections of bridge are mainly induced by the train gravity load, the influence of wind velocity is small, and the maximum deflections are a little more sensitive to the train speed than the wind velocity.
2. The maximum lateral displacement of bridge is 0.12 cm due to moving train without wind, but that under the attack of wind is significantly increased to 45.63 cm. Therefore, it can be seen that the lateral displacements of bridge are mainly controlled by wind loads, which are more sensitive to the wind than the vertical deflections. For such a long-span suspension bridge, the influence of train speed on the bridge lateral displacement is much smaller than wind velocity.

4. Conclusions

Considering the wind load acting on the train and bridge coupling system, and taking the geometric nonlinearity into account, a dynamic model of wind-train-bridge system is established, and a corresponding computer program is written. The case study demonstrated that the proposed framework and the associated computer program can efficiently predict the dynamic responses of long-span suspension bridge system under turbulent wind and running train. The following conclusions have been drawn from the above analysis:

1. The geometric nonlinearity does not influence the variation tendency of the bridge in vertical deflection and lateral displacement histories, but the maximum responses will be changed.
2. The influence of wind velocity on the maximum responses of the long-span suspension bridge is obviously, and the lateral displacements are more sensitive to the wind than the vertical ones.
3. Compared with wind velocity, train speed only has a little influence on the lateral maximum responses, but it affects the vertical ones a little more clearly.
In a word, both nonlinearity and wind have great influences on the dynamic responses of train-bridge system, to which great attention should be paid.
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