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#### Abstract

The purpose of this work is to analyze the bending behavior of laminated composite plates using the refined fourvariable theory and the finite element method approach using an ANSYS 12 computational code. The analytical model is based on the multilayer plate theory of shear deformation of the nth-order proposed by Xiang et al 2011 using the theory principle developed by Shimpi and Patel 2006. Unlike other theories, the number of unknown functions in the present theory is only four, while five or more in the case of other theories of shear deformation. The formulation of the present theory is based on the principle of virtual works, it has a strong similarity with the classical theory of plates in many aspects, it does not require shear correction factor and gives a parabolic description of the shear stress across the thickness while filling the condition of zero shear stress on the free edges. The analysis is validated by comparing results with those in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, laminated composite plate analysis has undergone a considerable evolution and a variety of plate theories have been introduced as a function of the transverse deformation effect of the shear. Classical plate theory (CPT), which neglects the deformation effect of transverse shear, provides reasonable results for thin plates. Reissner (1945) and Mindlin (1951) developed the firstorder theory that takes into account the effects of transverse shear across thickness (FSDT). This theory requires shear correction factors to correct the variation of transverse shear stresses and shear deformations across the thickness. These shear correction factors are sensitive not only to the geometrical parameters of the plate, but also to the boundary conditions and loading conditions.

To avoid the use of shear correction factors, some authors have adopted higher order theories HSDT. Various shear deformation plate (HSDT) theories have been proposed, Whitney and Sun (1973) which assumed a displacement field of order greater than 3. This theory is complicated and has given precise results. Other theories appeared later, each of them presents advantages and disadvantages with different formalisms according to the field of application. For example, Lo et al. (1977) proposed a theory with eleven unknowns; Bhimaraddi and Stevens (1984) with five unknowns; Reddy (1984), Reddy and Phan (1985), five unknowns and Hanna and Leissa (1994) to four unknowns. Ambartsumian (1969) proposed a transverse

[^0]stress function to explain plate deformation. A similar method was used by Soldatos and Timarci (1993) for the dynamic analysis of laminated hulls. Later, new functions were proposed by Reddy (1984), Senthilnathan et al. (1987), Touratier (1991), Soldatos (1992), Karama et al. (2003), Aydogdu (2009), Xiang et al. (2011a) and Mantari et al. (2012).

El-Abbasi and Meguid (2000) devlopped new shell element accounting for through thickness deformation. To analyze the bending and transverse shear effects of laminated composite plates, a new higher-order thirteen nodes triangular element presented by Rezaiee-Pajand et al. (2012). Patel (2014) studied the bending analysis of laminated composite stiffened plates subjected to uniform transverse loading with the geometric nonlinear. Structural performance of ribbed ferrocement plates reinforced with composite materials presented by Yousry et al. (2016). Two triangular shell element having three and six nodes are presented by Rezaiee-Pajand et al. (2018) analysis the for geometrically nonlinear of thin and thick shell structures. Kim and Bathe (2008) presented three-dimensional shell element to model shell surface tractions and incompressible behavior. The geometrically nonlinear formulation for a sixnode triangular shell element is proposed by RezaieePajand et al. (2018). Chen (2016) studied the Effect of local wall thinning on ratcheting behavior of pressurized $90^{\circ}$ elbow pipe under reversed bending using finite element analysis. Isoparametric six-node triangular element is utilized by Rezaiee-Pajand (2018) for geometrically nonlinear analysis of functionally graded (FG) shells.

The effects of moisture and temperature on buckling of laminated composite cylindrical shell panels are investigated numerically and experimentally by Biswal et al. (2016). Based on the continuum mechanic's theory, a 6-
node triangular isoparametric element is formulated by Rezaiee-Pajand and Arabi (2016) for analysis geometrically nonlinear of laminated shells. Large deformation bending analysis and nonlinear flexural vibration of functionally graded spherical shell using FEM studied by Kar and Panda (2015).

On the other hand, a theory of Variable Refined Plate (RPT) was first developed for isotropic plates by Shimpi (2002) and extended to orthotropic plates by Shimpi and Patel (2006), Kim et al. (2009) and Thai and Kim (2010) used this theory to study laminated composite plates. Piscopo (2010) studied the buckling of rectangular plates under uni-axial and bi-axial compression by refined theory. Narendar (2011) studied the mechanical buckling of nanoplates and Thai (2012) developed a refined, non-local theory of nanobeams. Thai and Choi (2012) developed the efficient and simple refined theory for the buckling analysis of functionally graded plates. Adim et al. (2018) used simple higher order shear theory to analyze mechanical buckling analysis of hybrid laminated composite plates under different boundary conditions. Hebali et al. (2014) proposed a novel four variable refined plate theory for static bending, buckling, and vibration of functionally graded plates. Ait Amar Meziane et al. (2014) studied the buckling and free vibration response of functionally graded exponential sandwich plates (FGM) under various boundary conditions. Becheri et al. (2016) studied analytical buckling of symmetrically laminated plates using nth-order shear deformation theory with curvature effects. Hamidi et al. (2015) investigated the thermomechanical bending of functional gradient sandwich plates using a sinusoidal plate theory with 5 unknowns taking into account the stretching effect. Bouazza et al. (2016) developed an analytical solution of refined theory of hyperbolic shear deformation to obtain the critical buckling temperature of simply supported cross-laminated plates. Reza Barati and Shahverdi (2016) studied thermal vibration of embedded FG nanoplates under non-uniform temperature distributions with different boundary conditions using four-variable plate theory. Analytical solution for mechanical buckling analysis of magnetoelectroelastic plate resting on pasternak foundation based on the third-order shear deformation plate theory investigated by Ellali et al. (2018). Hygrothermal effects on the free vibration behavior of composite plate using nth-order shear deformation theory and micromechanical approach presented by Abdelmalek et al. (2019). A nonlocal trigonometric shear deformation plate theory was introduced for thermal buckling analysis of functionally graded embedded nanosize plates by Khetir et al. (2017). Younsi et al. (2018) have suggested a 3D and 2D refined shear deformation theory taking under consideration transverse shear deformation effects presented for the bending and free vibration analysis of FG plates. Bouhadra et al. (2018) have studied advanced composite plates using higher shear deformation theory (HSDT) to consider the influence of thickness stretching in functionally graded plates. Moreover, survey of literature indicates that the thermal buckling of FG plates has been widely investigated. Some researches were presented on the linear thermal buckling and vibration analysis of advance plates (Bousahla
et al. 2016, Bouazza et al. 2017, 2018, Menasria et al. 2017, Chikh et al. 217, Bourada et al. 2019, Fourn et al. 2017, Antar et al. 2019).

This section deals with the theory of nth-order shear deformation (Xiang et al. 2011a). The effectiveness and precision of this theory is demonstrated by (Xiang et al. 2011b, 2012, 2013a, b). Moreover, in this part we use mainly the ideas of the new theory of refined plates established by Shimpi (2002) that the author includes $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}$ to model the transverse displacement (transverse displacement of bending and shear) instead of the constant displacement assumption $w_{0}$ (Mantari et al. 2012, Xiang et al. 2011a, 2012, 2013a, b). To the best of the authors' knowledge, there are no studies in the open literature on bending behaviors of laminated composite plate via nthorder four shear deformation theory. With the increased usage of these materials, it is important to understand the behaviors of composite structures subjected to different mechanical loads. In this paper, these ideas are combined to develop a new theory of nth-order deformation with displacement field modification. Unlike other theories, this theory requires only four unknown functions, compared to five in the other shear deformation theories. The presented theory is strongly similar to classical plate theory in many aspects. It does not require the shear correction factor and causes the transverse shear stress variation so that the transverse shear stresses vary parabolically throughout the thickness to satisfy the conditions of the upper and lower shear stress free surfaces. The solution of the mechanical buckling analysis of symmetric multilayer laminated sheets is obtained. Numerical examples are presented to verify the accuracy of this theory.

## 2. Laminated plate modeling

### 2.1 Numerical modeling by ANSYS software

The software chosen is the ANSYS version 12 Nakasone (2006), marketed by ANSYS, Inc. It is one of the most used and best known software in the world for its various functionalities. With a wide choice of element types, material models, this software can cover a wide range of current engineering problems.

### 2.1.1 Choice of the type of element

In this work we chose the element Shell99 to model the laminated plates. Shell99 is a shell element that is suitable for modeling laminated composite structures (250 layers). The element is defined by eight nodes, each node has six degrees of freedom, three nodal translations following $(x, y, z)$ and three rotations around the axes $(x, y, z)$. A triangular-shaped element may be formed by defining the same node number for nodes $K, L$ and $O$. The geometry, node locations, and the coordinate system for this element are shown in Fig. 1.

### 2.1.2 Mesh plates

For reasons of symmetry, we modeled only $1 / 4$ of the plate. As for the density of the mesh, the finer the mesh, the


Fig. 1 Shell99 geometry (Nakasone 2006)
more the accuracy improves but the calculation time increases accordingly. A uniform mesh of $25 \times 25$ is made to mesh the isotropic plates and multilayer plates. Figure 2b shows an example of plate meshing which contains 625 elements and 1976 nodes. The figure 2 a show $1 / 4$ of the plate modeling by finite element method and boundary conditions.

### 2.2 Analytical modeling

### 2.2.1 Mathematical formulation

### 2.2.1.1 Kinematic

We consider a rectangular plate of length, $a$, width, $b$, thickness, $h$, and fibre angle $\theta$ defined in its system of axes ( $x, y, z$ ), see Fig. 3. In which $\theta$ is the angle between the global $x$-axis and the local $x$-axis of each lamina. The laminated plate consists of $k$ layers of equal thickness. In this part, additional simplifying assumptions are made to the n -shear deformation theory so that the number of unknowns is reduced. The displacement field of the $n$-shear deformation theory is given by Xiang et al (2011a).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x, y, z)=u(x, y)+z \phi_{x}(x, y)-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\phi_{x}(x, y)+\frac{\partial w(x, y)}{\partial x}\right) \\
& u_{2}(x, y, z)=v(x, y)+z \phi_{y}(x, y)-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\phi_{y}(x, y)+\frac{\partial w(x, y)}{\partial y}\right) \\
& n=3,5,7,9, \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& u_{3}(x, y, z)=w_{0}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 3 Form of laminated composite plate (Reddy 1997)

Where $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are displacements in the $x, y, z$ directions, $w_{0}, \phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ : are unknown displacement functions of the mean plane of the plate.

By dividing the transverse displacement $w_{0}$ into bending and shearing parts $\left(w_{0}=w_{b}+w_{s}\right)$ and making other hypotheses given by $\phi_{x}=-\partial w_{b} / \partial x$ and $\phi_{y}=-\partial w_{b} / \partial y$, Substituting $\left(w_{0}=w_{b}+w_{s}, \phi_{x}=-\partial w_{b} / \partial x\right)$ and $\phi_{y}=-\partial w_{b} / \partial y$ into Eq. (1), the following equation is obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(x, y, z)=u(x, y)-z \frac{\partial w_{b}}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\left(-\frac{\partial w_{b}}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial\left(w_{b}+w_{s}\right)}{\partial x}\right) \\
& u_{2}(x, y, z)=v(x, y)-z \frac{\partial w_{b}}{\partial y}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\left(-\frac{\partial w_{b}}{\partial y}\right)+\frac{\partial\left(w_{b}+w_{s}\right)}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& n=3,5,7,9, \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& u_{3}(x, y, z)=w_{b}(x, y)+w_{s}(x, y)
\end{align*}
$$

By simplifying the displacement field of the new refined theory can be written in a simpler form as follow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x, y, z)=u(x, y)-z \frac{\partial w_{b}}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial x}\right) \\
& u_{2}(x, y, z)=v(x, y)-z \frac{\partial w_{b}}{\partial y}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial y}\right) \\
& n=3,5,7,9, \ldots \ldots . \\
& u_{3}(x, y, z)=w_{b}(x, y)+w_{s}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The displacement field of the present theory is chosen on the basis of the following assumptions:


Fig. 2 Plate modeling by finite element method and boundary conditions
(1) The parts of the bending components $-z \partial w_{b} / \partial x$ and $-z \partial w_{b} / \partial y$ in the plane are similar to those given by the classical laminated plate theory (CLPT).
(2) The parts of shear components $-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial x}\right)$ and $-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}\left(\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial y}\right)$ in the plane give rise to parabolic variations of shear deformations and thus to shear stresses across the thickness of the plate so that zero shear stresses on the upper and lower faces.

### 2.2.1.2 Stress-strain relationship

The stresses in the main axes of the layer $k$ can also be obtained from the deformations expressed in the main axes. The stresses are expressed as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} & 0 \\
Q_{12} & Q_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{4a}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{y z} \\
\sigma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{44} & 0 \\
0 & Q_{55}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{y z} \\
\gamma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{4a}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where
$\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$ and $\sigma_{x y}$ : In-plane stresses, $\sigma_{y z}$ and $\sigma_{x z}$ shear stresses $\gamma_{x z}, \gamma_{y z}$ : The transverse shear strains
$Q_{i j}$ : the reduced elastic constants of the material in the axes of the plate. These constants according to the modulus of elasticity in the principal axes give by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{11}=\frac{E_{1}}{1-v_{12} v_{21}}, Q_{12}=\frac{v_{12} E_{2}}{1-v_{12} v_{21}}, Q_{22}=\frac{E_{2}}{1-v_{12} v_{21}},  \tag{5}\\
& Q_{66}=G_{12}, Q_{44}=G_{23}, Q_{55}=G_{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Where
$E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are Young's moduli along and transverse to the fibre, respectively
$G_{12}, G_{23}$ and $G_{13}$ In-plane and transverse shear moduli
$v_{12}$ and $v_{21}$ Poisson's ratios along and transverse to the fibre, respectively.

The stresses in layer $k$ are expressed according to the general relation as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{Q}_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{16} \\
\bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{26} \\
\bar{Q}_{16} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{66}
\end{array}\right]^{(k)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}  \tag{6a}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{y z} \\
\sigma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{Q}_{44} & \bar{Q}_{45} \\
\bar{Q}_{45} & \bar{Q}_{55}
\end{array}\right]^{(k)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{y z} \\
\gamma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)} \tag{6b}
\end{align*}
$$

Where
$k$ Number of layers
The parameters $\bar{Q}_{i j}$ of layer $k$ are related to the reference axes of the laminate as shown in Fig. 4. They are expressed according to the parameters expressed in the axes of the materials of the layers. Their expressions are given by the expressions below

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\bar{Q}_{i j}=T_{1}^{-1} Q_{i j} T_{1} & (i, j=1,2,6) \\
\bar{Q}_{l m}=T_{2}^{-1} Q_{l m} T_{2} & (l, m=4,5) \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$



Fig. 4 Cross section of an $n$-layered laminate

With
Where $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are the transformation matrix. The superscript $(-1)$ denotes the matrix inverse.

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos ^{2} \theta & \sin ^{2} \theta & 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
\sin ^{2} \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta & -2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
-\sin \theta \cos \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta
\end{array}\right]  \tag{8a}\\
T_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right] \tag{8b}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.2.1.3 The strain-displacements relations

The deformation components associated with the displacements in Eq. (l) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{\varepsilon\}=\left\{\varepsilon^{0}\right\}+\left\{k^{b}\right\} z+\left\{k^{s}\right\} f(z) \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{y z} \\
\gamma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{y z}^{s} \\
\gamma_{x z}^{s}
\end{array}\right\} g(z) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{\varepsilon\}=\left\{\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}, \gamma_{x y}\right\}^{T},\left\{\varepsilon^{0}\right\}=\left\{\varepsilon_{x}^{0}, \varepsilon_{y}^{0}, \gamma_{x y}^{0}\right\}^{T}=\left\{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right\}^{T} \\
& \left\{k^{b}\right\}=\left\{k_{x}^{b}, k_{y}^{b}, k_{x y}^{b}\right\}^{T}=\left\{-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{b}}{\partial x^{2}},-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{b}}{\partial y^{2}},-2 \frac{\partial^{2} w_{b}}{\partial x \partial y}\right\}^{T} \\
& \left\{k^{s}\right\}=\left\{k_{x}^{s}, k_{y}^{s}, k_{x y}^{s}\right\}^{T}=\left\{-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{s}}{\partial x^{2}},-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{s}}{\partial y^{2}},-2 \frac{\partial^{2} w_{s}}{\partial x \partial y}\right\}^{T}  \tag{10}\\
& \gamma_{x z}^{s}=\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial x}, \quad \gamma_{y z}^{s}=\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial y}, \quad f(z)=\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n-1} z^{n}, \\
& g(z)=1-\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

2.2.1.4 Kinematics constitutive equation and equilibrium equations

The energy of deformation of the plate can be written as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=\frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{i j} \varepsilon_{i j} d V \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{V}\left(\sigma_{x} \varepsilon_{x}+\sigma_{y} \varepsilon_{y}+\sigma_{x y} \gamma_{x y}+\sigma_{y z} \gamma_{y z}+\sigma_{x z} \gamma_{x z}\right) d V \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

By replacing the Eqs. (6a), (6b) and (9) in equation (11) and integrating across the plate thickness, the strain energy of the plate is written as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \frac{1}{2} \int\left[N_{x} \delta \varepsilon_{x}^{0}+N_{y} \delta \varepsilon_{y}^{0}+N_{x y} \delta \gamma_{x y}^{0}+M_{x}^{b} \delta k_{x}^{b}+M_{y}^{b} \delta k_{y}^{b}+\right.  \tag{12}\\
& \left.M_{x y}^{b} k_{x y}^{b}+M_{x}^{s} k_{x}^{s}+M_{y}^{s} k_{y}^{s}+M_{x y}^{s} k_{x y}^{s}+Q_{y z}^{s} \gamma_{y z}^{s}+Q_{x z}^{s} \gamma_{x z}^{s}\right] d x d y
\end{align*}
$$

The resulting forces and moments acting on a laminate can be obtained by integrating the stresses through the thickness of the plates, as shown below

$$
\begin{align*}
\{N\} & =\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \sigma d z=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{Z_{k}}^{Z_{k+1}} \sigma d z  \tag{13a}\\
& =[A]\left\{\varepsilon^{0}\right\}+[B]\left\{k^{b}\right\}+\left[B^{s}\right]\left\{k^{s}\right\} \\
\left\{M^{b}\right\} & =\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \sigma z d z=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{Z_{k}}^{Z_{k+1}} \sigma z d z  \tag{13b}\\
& =[B]\left\{\varepsilon^{0}\right\}+[D]\left\{k^{b}\right\}+\left[D^{s}\right]\left\{k^{s}\right\} \\
\left\{M^{s}\right\} & =\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \sigma f(z) d z=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{Z_{k}}^{Z_{k+1}} \sigma f(z) d z  \tag{13c}\\
& =\left[B^{s}\right]\left\{\varepsilon^{0}\right\}+\left[D^{s}\right]\left\{k^{b}\right\}+\left[H^{s}\right]\left\{k^{s}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{N\}=\left\{N_{x}, N_{y}, N_{x y}\right\}^{T} \\
& \left\{M^{b}\right\}=\left\{M_{x}^{b}, M_{y}^{b}, M_{x y}^{b}\right\}^{T}  \tag{14}\\
& \left\{M^{s}\right\}=\left\{M_{x}^{s}, M_{y}^{s}, M_{x y}^{s}\right\}^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expressions, the coefficients $A_{i j}$ and $B_{i j}$ in matrices $[A]$, $[B]$, etc. indicate the rigidity of the plate, which can be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}\right)=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \bar{Q}_{i j}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z \\
& \left(B_{i j}^{s}, D_{i j}^{s}, H_{i j}^{s}\right)=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \bar{Q}_{i j}\left(f(z), z f(z),(f(z))^{2}\right) d z  \tag{15}\\
& (i, j=1,2,6)
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (14), $A_{i j}$ is the extensional stiffness matrix because it is associated with the plate in-plane behaviour. $D_{i j}$ is the bending stiffness matrix and $B_{i j}$ refers to the coupling between the laminate bending and extension.

The transverse shear force that can be defined as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{y z}  \tag{16}\\
Q_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{44}^{s} & A_{45}^{s} \\
A_{54}^{s} & A_{55}^{s}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{y z}^{s} \\
\gamma_{x z}^{s}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j}^{s}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \bar{Q}_{i j}(g(z))^{2} d z \quad(i, j=4,5) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of transverse bending, the actions exerted are reduced to the transverse loads exerted on the faces of the laminate. The work done by applied forces can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=-\int_{0}^{L} q\left(w_{b}+w_{s}\right) d x \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where

$q$ : Transverse distributed loads.
The principle of minimum total potential energy is used herein to derive the governing equation (Reddy 84). This principle can be given in analytical form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U+V)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
$U: \quad$ strain energy of the plate.
$\delta$ : indicates a variation with respect to x and y respectively.

By replacing Eqs. (11), (18) in equation (19) and integrating the equation into parts. After this integration, collecting the coefficients of $\delta u, \delta v, \delta w_{b}$ and $\delta w_{S}$, the equations of motion for the orthotropic laminated plates are obtained as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial N_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial y}=0  \tag{20a}\\
\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{y}}{\partial y}=0  \tag{20b}\\
{\left[\frac{\partial^{2} M_{x}^{b}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} M_{x y}^{b}}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} M_{y}^{b}}{\partial y^{2}}\right]+q=0}  \tag{20c}\\
{\left[\frac{\partial^{2} M_{x}^{s}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} M_{x y}^{s}}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} M_{y}^{s}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial Q_{x z}^{s}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial Q_{y z}^{s}}{\partial y}\right]+q=0} \tag{20d}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equilibrium equations of the refined four-variable theory of laminated plates can be expressed in terms of displacements ( $u, v, w_{b}, w_{s}$ ), by introducing the forces and moment of the laminated Eqs. (13a), (13b), (13c) and (16) in relations (20a)-(20d), we obtain the fundamental relations of refined four-variable theory of laminated plates

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+2 A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(A_{12}+A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& -\left[B_{11} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial x^{3}}+3 B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\left(B_{12}+2 B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial y^{3}}\right]  \tag{21a}\\
& -\left[B_{11}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial x^{3}}+3 B_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\left(B_{12}^{s}+2 B_{66}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial y^{3}}\right]=0\right. \\
& A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(A_{12}+A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+2 A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& -\left[B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(B_{12}+2 B_{66} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+3 B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{22} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{b}}{\partial y^{3}}\right]\right.  \tag{21b}\\
& -\left[B_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(B_{12}^{s}+2 B_{66}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+3 B_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{22}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} w_{s}}{\partial y^{3}}\right]=0\right. \\
& B_{11} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+3 B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\left(B_{12}+2 B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial y^{3}}+B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{3}} \\
& +\left(B_{12}+2 B_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+3 B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{22} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial y^{3}} \\
& -\left[D_{11} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{4}}+4 D_{16} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+4 D_{26} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}+D_{22} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial y^{4}}\right]  \tag{21c}\\
& -\left[D_{11}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}+4 D_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}+2\left(D_{12}^{s}+2 D_{66}^{s}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+4 D_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}+D_{22}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial y^{4}}\right]+q=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{11}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+3 B_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\left(B_{12}^{s}+2 B_{66}^{s}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}+B_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial y^{3}} \\
+B_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{3}}+\left(B_{12}^{s}+2 B_{66}^{s}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+3 B_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} \\
+B_{22}^{s} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial y^{3}}-\left[D_{11}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{4}}+4 D_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}\right. \\
\left.+2\left(D_{12}^{s}+2 D_{66}^{s}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+4 D_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}+D_{22}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial y^{4}}\right]  \tag{21d}\\
-\left[H_{11}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}+4 H_{16}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}+2\left(H_{12}^{s}+2 H_{66}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+4 H_{26}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}\right.\right. \\
\left.+H_{22}^{s} \frac{\partial^{4} w_{s}}{\partial y^{4}}\right]+A_{55}^{s} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{s}}{\partial x^{2}}+A_{44}^{s} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{s}}{\partial y^{2}}+2 A_{45}^{s} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{s}}{\partial x \partial y}+q=0
\end{gather*}
$$

or, more concisely

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} & L_{14}  \tag{22}\\
L_{12} & L_{22} & L_{23} & L_{24} \\
L_{13} & L_{23} & L_{33} & L_{34} \\
L_{14} & L_{24} & L_{34} & L_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u \\
v \\
w_{b} \\
w_{s}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
q \\
q
\end{array}\right\}
$$

With

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{11}=A_{11} \nabla_{x x}+2 A_{16} \nabla_{x y}+A_{66} \nabla_{y y} ; \\
& L_{12}=A_{16} \nabla_{x x}+\left(A_{12}+A_{66} \nabla_{x y}+A_{26} \nabla_{y y}\right. \\
& L_{13}=-B_{11} \nabla_{x x y}-3 B_{16} \nabla_{x y}-\left(B_{12}+2 B_{66}\right) \nabla_{x y}-B_{26} \nabla_{y y} ; \\
& L_{14}=-B_{11}^{s} \nabla_{x x}-3 B_{16}^{s} \nabla_{x y}-\left(B_{12}^{s}+2 B_{66}^{s}\right) \nabla_{x y}-B_{26}^{s} \nabla_{y y} \\
& L_{22}=A_{66} \nabla_{x x}+2 A_{26} \nabla_{x y}+A_{22} \nabla_{y y} ; \\
& L_{23}=-B_{16} \nabla_{x x}-\left(B_{12}+2 B_{66} \nabla_{x y}-3 B_{26} \nabla_{x y y}-B_{22} \nabla_{y y}\right.  \tag{23}\\
& L_{24}=-B_{16}^{s} \nabla_{x x y}+\left(B_{12}^{s}+2 B_{66}^{s}\right) \nabla_{x y}+3 B_{26}^{s} \nabla_{x y}+B_{22}^{s} \nabla_{y y} \\
& L_{33}=D_{11}+4 D_{16} \nabla_{x y y}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \nabla_{x y y}+4 D_{26} \nabla_{x y y}+D_{22} \nabla_{y y y} \\
& L_{34}=D_{11}^{s} \nabla_{x x x}+4 D_{16}^{s} \nabla_{x x y}+2\left(D_{12}^{s}+2 D_{66}^{s} \nabla_{x y y}+4 D_{26}^{s} \nabla_{x y y}+D_{22}^{s} \nabla_{y y y}\right. \\
& L_{44}=H_{11}^{s} \nabla_{x x y}+4 H_{16}^{s} \nabla_{x y y}+2\left(H_{12}^{s}+2 H_{66}^{s}\right) \nabla_{x y y} 4 H_{26}^{s} \nabla_{x y y} \\
& +H_{22}^{s} \nabla_{y y y}-A_{55}^{s} \nabla_{x x}-A_{44}^{s} \nabla_{y y}-2 A_{45}^{s} \nabla_{x y}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x x}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} ; \nabla_{x x x}=\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}} ; \nabla_{x x x x}=\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{4}} ; \nabla_{y y}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} ; \\
& \nabla_{y y y}=\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}} ; \nabla_{y y y y}=\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial y^{4}} \nabla_{x y}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} ; \nabla_{x y}=\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}  \tag{24}\\
& \nabla_{x y y}=\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} ; \nabla_{x y y}=\frac{\partial^{4} w_{b}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}} ; \nabla_{x x y}=\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{3} \partial y} ; \nabla_{x y y y}=\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2.1.5 Analytical solutions

### 2.2.1.5.1 Antisymmetric cross-ply laminates

For antisymmetric cross-ply laminates plates, the following terms of plate stiffness are null

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{16}= & A_{26}=D_{16}=D_{26}=D_{16}^{s}=D_{26}^{s}=F_{16} \\
& =F_{26}=H_{16}=H_{26}=H_{16}^{s}=H_{26}^{s}=0 \\
B_{12}= & B_{16}=B_{26}=B_{66}=B_{12}^{s}=B_{16}^{s}=B_{26}^{s} \\
& =B_{66}^{s}=E_{12}=E_{16}=E_{26}=E_{66}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{45}=A_{45}^{a}=A_{45}^{s}=D_{45}=F_{45}=0 \\
& B_{22}=-B_{11} ; \quad B_{22}^{s}=-B_{11}^{s} ; \quad E_{22}=-E_{11} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

To solve the system of Eq. (21), we use the method of Navier. It is assumed that the displacements $u, v, w_{b}, w_{s}$ are written in the following form in order to satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{m n} \cos \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
& v(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{m n} \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y \\
& w_{b}(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{b m n} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y  \tag{26}\\
& w_{s}(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{s m n} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y
\end{align*}
$$

To solve this problem, Navier introduced the external force as a double trigonometric series.

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{m n} \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\alpha=m \pi / a ; \beta=n \pi / b
$$

The two types of sinusoidal r uniform distributed loads to which the plate is subjected are given by the following expressions

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{m n}=q & \text { Sinusoidal load }  \tag{28}\\
Q_{m n}=\frac{16 q}{m n^{2}} & \text { Uniformly load }
\end{array}
$$

Substitute the Eqs. (24), (25) and (26) in the system of Eq. (21), we obtain the following system of equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} & s_{14}  \tag{29}\\
s_{12} & s_{22} & s_{23} & s_{24} \\
s_{13} & s_{23} & s_{33} & s_{34} \\
s_{14} & s_{24} & s_{34} & s_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
U_{m n} \\
V_{m n} \\
W_{b m n} \\
W_{s m n}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
Q_{m n} \\
Q_{m n}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{11}=A_{11} \alpha^{2}+A_{66} \beta^{2}, \quad s_{12}=\alpha \beta\left(A_{12}+A_{66}\right), \\
& s_{13}=-B_{11} \alpha^{3}, \quad s_{14}=-B_{11}^{s} \alpha^{3}, \\
& s_{22}=A_{66} \alpha^{2}+A_{22} \beta^{2} \\
& s_{23}=B_{11} \beta^{3}, \quad s_{24}=B_{11}^{s} \beta^{3},  \tag{30}\\
& s_{33}=D_{11} \alpha^{4}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}+D_{22} \beta^{4} \\
& s_{34}=D_{11}^{s} \alpha^{4}+2\left(D_{12}^{s}+2 D_{66}^{s}\right) \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}+D_{22}^{s} \beta^{4} \\
& s_{44}=H_{11}^{s} \alpha^{4}+2\left(H_{12}^{s}+2 H_{66}^{s}\right) \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}+H_{22}^{s} \beta^{4}+A_{55}^{s} \alpha^{2}+A_{44}^{s} \beta^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Eqs (29) and (30), the generalized displacements can be solved. The stresses of the rectangular laminated composite can then be obtained from the Eqs. (4a), (4b), (6a) and (6b), as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}=\sum_{n ; m}\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{Q}_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{16} \\
\bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{26} \\
\bar{Q}_{16} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{66}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\times\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(-\alpha U_{m n}-z \alpha^{2} W_{b m n}-\alpha^{2} f(z) W_{s m n}\right) \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
\left(-\beta U_{m n}-z \beta^{2} W_{b m n}-\beta^{2} f(z) W_{s m n}\right) \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
\left((\alpha+\beta) U_{m n} z-2(\alpha+\beta) \alpha^{2} W_{b m n}-2(\alpha+\beta) f(z) W_{s m n}\right) \cos \alpha x \cos \beta y
\end{array}\right\}\right\}  \tag{31a}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{y z} \\
\sigma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}=\sum_{m, n}\left\langle\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{Q}_{44} & \bar{Q}_{45} \\
\bar{Q}_{45} & \bar{Q}_{55}
\end{array}\right]^{(k)} g(z)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha W_{s m n} \cos \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
\beta W_{s m n} \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y
\end{array}\right\}\right\rangle \tag{31b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2.1.5.2 Antisymmetric angle-ply laminates

For antisymmetric angle-ply laminates, the following terms of plate stiffness are null

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{16}=A_{26}=D_{16}=D_{26}=D_{16}^{s}=D_{26}^{s}=F_{16} \\
& =F_{26}=H_{16}=H_{26}=H_{16}^{s}=H_{26}^{s}=0 \\
& B_{11}=B_{12}=B_{22}=B_{66}=B_{11}^{s}=B_{12}^{s}=B_{22}^{s}  \tag{32}\\
& =B_{66}^{s}=E_{11}=E_{12}=E_{22}=E_{66}=0 \\
& A_{45}=A_{45}^{a}=A_{45}^{s}=D_{45}=F_{45}=0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

To solve the Eq. (21), one uses the method of Navier. It is assumed that the displacements $u, v, w_{b}, w_{s}$ are written in the following form in order to satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{m n} \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y \\
& v(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{m n} \cos \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
& w_{b}(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{b m n} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y  \tag{33}\\
& w_{s}(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{s m n} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute the Eqs. (32) and (33) in the system of Eq. (21), we obtain the system of Eq. (29) with the following coefficients:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{11}=A_{11} \alpha^{2}+A_{66} \beta^{2}, \quad s_{12}=\alpha \beta\left(A_{12}+A_{66},\right. \\
& s_{13}=-\left(3 B_{16} \alpha^{2} \beta+B_{26} \beta^{3}\right), \quad s_{14}=-\left(3 B_{16}^{s} \alpha^{2} \beta+B_{26}^{s} \beta^{3}\right) \\
& s_{22}=A_{66} \alpha^{2}+A_{22} \beta^{2}, \quad s_{23}=-\left(B_{16} \alpha^{3}+3 B_{26} \alpha \beta^{2}\right), \\
& s_{24}=-\left(B_{16}^{s} \alpha^{3}+3 B_{26}^{s} \alpha \beta^{2}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& s_{33}=D_{11} \alpha^{4}+2\left(D_{12}+2 D_{66}\right) \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}+D_{22} \beta^{4} \\
& s_{34}=D_{11}^{s} \alpha^{4}+2\left(D_{12}^{s}+2 D_{66}^{s}\right) \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}+D_{22}^{s} \beta^{4} \\
& s_{44}=H_{11}^{s} \alpha^{4}+2\left(H_{12}^{s}+2 H_{66}^{s}\right) \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}+H_{22}^{s} \beta^{4}+A_{55}^{s} \alpha^{2}+A_{44}^{s} \beta^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Stresses of the rectangular laminated composite can then be obtained from the equations. (4a), (4b), (6a) and (6b), as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}= \\
\times \sum_{n ; m}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{Q}_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{16} \\
\bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{26} \\
\bar{Q}_{16} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{66}
\end{array}\right]^{(k)}\right. \\
\times\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(-\alpha U_{m n}-z \alpha^{2} W_{b_{m n}}-\alpha^{2} f(z) W_{s m n}\right) \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
\left(\left(\alpha+\beta U_{m n}-z \beta^{2} W_{b_{m n}}-\beta^{2} f(z) W_{s m n}\right) \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y\right. \\
\left((\alpha+\beta) U_{m n} z-2(\alpha+\beta) \alpha^{2} W_{b_{m n}}-2(\alpha+\beta) f(z) W_{s m n}\right) \cos \alpha x \cos \beta y
\end{array}\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1 Mechanical properties of materials

| Materials | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material $^{1 \mathrm{a}}$ | $25 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $0.2 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 0.25 |
| Material $^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ | $40 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $0.6 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $0.6 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 0.25 |

${ }^{\text {aPPagano } 70 ; ~}{ }^{\text {b }}$ Noor 75

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{y z}  \tag{35b}\\
\sigma_{x z}
\end{array}\right\}^{(k)}=\sum_{m, n}\left\langle\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{Q}_{44} & \bar{Q}_{45} \\
\bar{Q}_{45} & \bar{Q}_{55}
\end{array}\right]^{(k)} g(z)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha W_{s m n} \cos \alpha x \sin \beta y \\
\beta W_{s m n} \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y
\end{array}\right\}\right)
$$

## 3. Results and interpretations

In the first step, based on mathematical formulations, a computer program is developed to study the behavior of orthotropic, symmetric cross-ply, antisymmetric cross-ply and antisymmetric angle-ply laminated symmetric plates with simply supported edges using the refined four-variable plate theory. The mechanical characteristics of the materials used summarized in Table 1.

The numerical results of deflections and stress are given in dimensionless form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{W}=W(x, y)\left(\frac{E_{2} h^{3}}{b^{4} q}\right), \quad \bar{\sigma}_{x}=\sigma_{x}(a / 2, b / 2, z)\left(\frac{h^{2}}{b^{2} q}\right), \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{y}=\sigma_{y}(a / 2, b / 2, z)\left(\frac{h^{2}}{b^{2} q}\right), \bar{\sigma}_{x y}=\sigma_{x y}(a, b, z)\left(\frac{h^{2}}{b^{2} q}\right),  \tag{36}\\
& \bar{\sigma}_{x z}=\sigma_{x z}(0, b / 2, z)\left(\frac{h}{b q}\right), \quad \bar{\sigma}_{y z}=\sigma_{x z}(a / 2,0, z)\left(\frac{h}{b q}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.1 Comparison of results

### 3.1.1 Orthotropic square plates and symmetric cross-

 ply laminated platesIn the first part, we are interested in validating the results of orthotropic square plates and symmetric cross-ply laminated plates of stacking sequences $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$ and $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$ obtained by the four variables refined theory by resulted available in literature. The plates have simply supported subjected to uniform (CU) or sinusoidal distributed loads (CDS), respectively. The tests are performed for different geometric ratio values $(a / h=10,20$ and 100) for the CDS load and geometric ratio values ( $a / h=10$ and 100) for the CU load. Material 1 is used. The results are presented in Tables 2, 3,4 and 5.

From the Tables 2-5, we can see that the results obtained by the present theory RPT are close to those obtained by the FSDT theory. The theory involves four unknown variables, as against five in case of first-order shear deformation theory. The theory gives rise to transverse shear stress variation such that the transverse shear stresses vary parabolically across the thickness satisfying shear stress free conditions at top and bottom surfaces of the plate. The theory does not require problem-dependent shear correction factors that are associated with the first-order shear deformation theory.

Table 6 presented the nondimensionalized deflections of

Table 2 Comparison of the results of nondimensionalized deflections and maximum nondimensionalized stresses. Case of simply supported orthotropic square plates subjected to sinusoidal distributed loads (Material 1)

| $a / h$ | Theories | $\bar{w}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | FSDT $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.6383 | 0.5248 | 0.0339 | 0.0246 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.6041 | 0.5747 | 0.0284 | 0.0227 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.6011 | 0.5652 | 0.0280 | 0.0280 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.5971 | 0.5594 | 0.0277 | 0.0277 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.5939 | 0.5556 | 0.0275 | 0.0275 |
|  | FSDT $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.4836 | 0.5350 | 0.0286 | 0.0222 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.4746 | 0.5477 | 0.0271 | 0.0216 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.4738 | 0.5453 | 0.0270 | 0.0280 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.4727 | 0.5439 | 0.0269 | 0.0277 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.4719 | 0.5429 | 0.0269 | 0.0275 |
| 100 | FSDT $^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4333 | 0.5385 | 0.0267 | 0.0213 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.4330 | 0.5391 | 0.0267 | 0.0213 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.4329 | 0.5390 | 0.0267 | 0.0280 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.4329 | 0.5389 | 0.0267 | 0.0277 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.4329 | 0.5389 | 0.0267 | 0.0275 |
|  | CLPT $^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4312 | 0.5387 | 0.0267 | 0.0213 |

${ }^{\text {an }}$ Reddy 2004
Table 3 Comparison of the results of nondimensionalized deflections and maximum nondimensionalized stresses. Case of simply supported orthotropic square plates subjected a uniform load (Material 1)

| $a / h$ | Theories | Orthotrope | $\left(0^{0} / 90^{0} / 0^{0}\right)$ | $\left(0^{0} / 90^{0} / 90^{0} / 0^{0}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | FSDT $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.9519 | 1.0219 | 1.0219 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.8976 | 0.9159 | 0.9280 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.8931 | 0.9123 | 0.9252 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.8872 | 0.9066 | 0.9200 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.8826 | 0.9021 | 0.9156 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ANSYS | 0.9671 | 1.0373 | 1.0392 |  |  |  |  |
| FSDT |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6528 | 0.6697 | 0.6833 |
| 100 | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.6522 | 0.6685 | 0.6822 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.6522 | 0.6685 | 0.6821 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.6521 | 0.6684 | 0.6821 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.6521 | 0.6684 | 0.6820 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ANSYS | 0.6540 | 0.6710 | 0.6847 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a Reddy }} 2004$
simply supported three-layer square laminates of three-layer $\left(450 / 30^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}\right)$ and $\left(45^{\circ} / 30^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\right)$ under sinusoidal transverse load with various span-to-thickness ratios $(a / h=5,10,20$ and 100). It is noted that for thick plates $(L / h=5)$ and for thin plates $(a / h=100)$. Material set 1 is used. The theory is variationally consistent and avoids the need of shear correction factors.

Figs. 5 and 6 show the stacking of the layers of the laminated plates simulated on computation software of structures Ansys 12.

In the following, we present in Figs. 7-12, the $Z$-axis displacement of the orthotropic square plates and symmetrical cross-ply laminated square plates of type (00/900/00), (00/900/900/00), respectively. These results obtained using the finite element method for $a / h=10,100$. The values of the deflections also exist in Table 5 in the nondimensionalized form. Symmetric laminates are thus

Table 4 Comparison of the results of nondimensionalized deflections and maximum nondimensionalized stresses. Case of simply supported three-layered antisymmetric cross-ply square laminate plates $\left(0^{0} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{0}\right)$ subjected to doubly sinusoidal distributed loads (Material 1)


Fig. 5 Stacking layers of symmetric cross-ply laminated of type ( $0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}$ )


Fig. 6 Stacking layers of symmetric cross-ply laminated of type $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$

Table 5 Comparison of the results of nondimensionalized deflections and maximum nondimensionalized stresses. Case of simply supported four-layered symmetric cross-ply square laminate plates $\left(0^{0} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{0}\right)$ subjected to doubly sinusoidal distributed loads (Material 1)

| $a / h$ | Theories | $\bar{W}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x z}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.6693 | 0.5134 | 0.2536 | 0.0252 | 0.4089 | 0.1936 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.6041 | 0.5747 | 0.1649 | 0.0227 | 0.3017 | 0.3017 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.6011 | 0.5652 | 0.1731 | 0.0223 | 0.2882 | 0.2882 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.5971 | 0.5594 | 0.1761 | 0.0221 | 0.2731 | 0.2731 |
|  | Present ( $n=9$ ) | 0.5939 | 0.5556 | 0.1775 | 0.0219 | 0.2634 | 0.2634 |
| 20 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4921 | 0.5318 | 0.1997 | 0.0223 | 0.4205 | 0.1805 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.4746 | 0.5477 | 0.1759 | 0.0216 | 0.3028 | 0.3028 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.4738 | 0.5453 | 0.1780 | 0.0215 | 0.2886 | 0.2886 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.4727 | 0.5439 | 0.1787 | 0.0215 | 0.2733 | 0.2733 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.4719 | 0.5429 | 0.1790 | 0.0214 | 0.2635 | 0.2635 |
| 100 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4337 | 0.5384 | 0.1804 | 0.0213 | 0.4247 | 0.1746 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.4330 | 0.5391 | 0.1794 | 0.0213 | 0.3031 | 0.3031 |
|  | Present ( $n=5$ ) | 0.4329 | 0.5390 | 0.1795 | 0.0213 | 0.2887 | 0.2887 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.4329 | 0.5389 | 0.1795 | 0.0213 | 0.2733 | 0.2733 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.4329 | 0.5389 | 0.1795 | 0.0213 | 0.2635 | 0.2635 |
|  | CLPT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4312 | 0.5387 | 0.1796 | 0.0213 | -- | -- |

${ }^{\text {an }}$ Reddy 2004
largely used, unless some specific conditions require nonsymmetric laminates.

### 3.1.1.2 Antisymmetric laminates

In this part, we see very interesting to validate the

Table 6 Nondimensionalized deflections of simply supported three-layer square laminates under sinusoidal transverse load (Material 1)

results of Nondimensionalized deflections and the maximum stresses of the antisymmetric laminated plates of stacking sequences $\left(0^{0} / 90^{0}\right)$ et $\left(0^{0} / 90^{0}\right)_{4}$ by results available in literatures, for different geometrical ratios ( $a / h=10,20,100$ ).

The results of Tables 7 and 8, show that the nondimensionalized deflections and nondimensionalized stress obtained by the CLPT theory are independent of geometric ratio $(a / h)$, while the nondimensionalized deflection values and stresses obtained by the present theory and the FSDT theory depend on geometric ratio $(a / h)$, this variation explains the deformation effect of the transverse shear. It is also observed that the deformation effect of the transverse shear causes an increase of the nondimensionalized deflections in the center of the plate.

Still according to the preceding Tables, the difference between the present theory and the classical laminate plate theory concerning the nondimensionalized deflections at the center of the plate decreases when the geometric ratio $(a / h)$ increases. For example, for eight-layer cross-ply square laminated plates under a sinusoidal distributed loads of geometric ratio $(a / h=10)$, the difference between the present theory and the first-order shear deformation theory is about $0.21 \%$, while for a geometric ratio $(a / h=20)$, it is only $0.10 \%$. In the case of an isotropic plate there is no coupling


Fig. 7 Displacement following the Z-axis of a simply supported orthotropic plate subjected to a uniform load, Material 1, Shel99 element, $a / h=10$


Fig. 8 Displacement following the Z-axis of a simply supported orthotropic plate subjected to a uniform load, Material 1, Shel99 element, $a / h=100$


Fig. 9 Displacement following the $Z$-axis of a simply supported cross-ply laminated plate $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$ subjected to a uniform load, Material 1, Shel99 element, $a / h=10$


Fig. 10 Displacement following the $Z$-axis of a simply supported cross-ply laminated plate $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$ subjected to a uniform load, Material 1, Shel99 element, $a / h=100$
between in-plane behaviour and flexural behaviour of the plate. For an orthotropic layer of thickness, the material directions of which are the same as the reference directions of the plate (the reference directions of the stresses and strains applied to the plate), as in the case of an isotropic plate, the in-plane resultants depend only on the in-plane strains and the moments depend only on the curvatures.

## 5. Conclusions

In the first part of this paper, we presented a theory of laminated plates belonging to the family of shear models and the equivalent monolayer approach family of multilayer composites modeling. In the second part, a computer code under Matlab based on the refined four-variable theory was


Fig. 11 Displacement following the $Z$-axis of a simply supported cross-ply laminated plate $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right)$ subjected to a uniform load, Material 1, Shel99 element, $a / h=10$

Table 7 Comparison of the results of nondimensionalized deflections and maximum nondimensionalized stresses. Case of simply supported two-layered antisymmetric crossply square laminate plates $\left(0^{0} / 90^{\circ}\right)$ subjected to doubly sinusoidal distributed loads (Material 1)

| $a / h$ | Theories | $\bar{W}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x z}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.2373 | 0.7157 | 0.7157 | 0.0525 | 0.2728 | 0.2728 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present } \\ (n=3) \end{gathered}$ | 1.2161 | 0.7468 | 0.7468 | 0.0533 | 0.3190 | 0.3190 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 1.2233 | 0.7401 | 0.7401 | 0.0533 | 0.2830 | 0.2830 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 1.2234 | 0.7352 | 0.7352 | 0.0531 | 0.2685 | 0.2685 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 1.2223 | 0.7319 | 0.7319 | 0.0530 | 0.2602 | 0.2602 |
| 20 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.1070 | 0.7157 | 0.7157 | 0.0525 | 0.2728 | 0.2728 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 1.1018 | 0.7235 | 0.7235 | 0.0527 | 0.3199 | 0.3199 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 1.1035 | 0.7218 | 0.7218 | 0.0527 | 0.2833 | 0.2833 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 1.1035 | 0.7206 | 0.7206 | 0.0526 | 0.2686 | 0.2686 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 1.1033 | 0.7198 | 0.7198 | 0.0526 | 0.2603 | 0.2603 |
| 100 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0653 | 0.7157 | 0.7157 | 0.0525 | 0.2728 | 0.2728 |
|  | Present $\text { ( } n=3 \text { ) }$ | 1.0651 | 0.7161 | 0.7161 | 0.0525 | 0.3202 | 0.3202 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 1.0652 | 0.7160 | 0.7160 | 0.0525 | 0.2834 | 0.2834 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 1.0652 | 0.7159 | 0.7159 | 0.0525 | 0.2687 | 0.2687 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 1.0652 | 0.7159 | 0.7159 | 0.0525 | 0.2603 | 0.2603 |
|  | CLPT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0636 | 0.7157 | 0.7157 | 0.0525 | -- | -- |

${ }^{\text {aR}}$ Reddy 2004
developed for the behavioral analysis of bending of orthotropic plates and laminated composite plates. Plates subjected to either doubly sinusoidal charges or uniform charge. The results obtained are compared with the results available in the bibliography and the finite element method Ansys12. After modeling, we ended up with the following results:

Table 8 Comparison of the results of nondimensionalized deflections and maximum nondimensionalized stresses. Case of simply supported eight-layered antisymmetric cross-ply square laminate plates $\left(0^{0} / 90^{0}\right)_{4}$ subjected to doubly sinusoidal distributed loads (Material 1)

| $a / h$ | Theories | $\bar{W}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x y}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{x z}$ | $\bar{\sigma}_{y z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.6216 | 0.4950 | 0.4950 | 0.0221 | 0.2728 | 0.2728 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.6229 | 0.5285 | 0.5285 | 0.0236 | 0.3416 | 0.3416 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.6191 | 0.5198 | 0.5198 | 0.0232 | 0.2930 | 0.2930 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.6146 | 0.5145 | 0.5145 | 0.0229 | 0.2742 | 0.2742 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.6111 | 0.5111 | 0.5111 | 0.0228 | 0.2639 | 0.2639 |
| 20 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4913 | 0.4950 | 0.4950 | 0.0221 | 0.2728 | 0.2728 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.4918 | 0.5034 | 0.5034 | 0.0225 | 0.3427 | 0.3427 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.4907 | 0.5012 | 0.5012 | 0.0224 | 0.2934 | 0.2934 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.4896 | 0.4999 | 0.4999 | 0.0223 | 0.2744 | 0.2744 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.4887 | 0.4990 | 0.4990 | 0.0223 | 0.2640 | 0.2640 |
| 100 | FSDT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4496 | 0.4950 | 0.4950 | 0.0221 | $0 . .2728$ | 0.. 2728 |
|  | Present $(n=3)$ | 0.4496 | 0.4953 | 0.4953 | 0.0221 | 0.3431 | 0.3431 |
|  | Present $(n=5)$ | 0.4496 | 0.4952 | 0.4952 | 0.0221 | 0.2935 | 0.2935 |
|  | Present $(n=7)$ | 0.4496 | 0.4952 | 0.4952 | 0.0221 | 0.2744 | 0.2744 |
|  | Present $(n=9)$ | 0.4495 | 0.4951 | 0.4951 | 0.0221 | 0.2640 | 0.2640 |
|  | CLPT $^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4479 | 0.4950 | 0.4950 | 0.0221 | -- | -- |

${ }^{\text {a Reddy }} 2004$

Composite plates are widely used in civil, mechanical, aeronautical, and especially aerospace structures. This is mainly due to their very high strength and specific rigidity and the advantage of adapting their properties to meet the requirements of the practice.

By dividing the transverse displacement into shearing parts, the number of unknowns in the theory is reduced,


Fig. 12 Displacement following the $Z$-axis of a simply supported cross-ply laminated plate $\left(0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / / 0^{\circ}\right)$ subjected to a uniform load, Material 1, Shel99 element, $a / h=100$
which saves computing time.
The results of the sample problem show good agreement with the literature values as seen from the validation checks. It should be noted that the present theory involves only four independent variables as against five in the case of FSDT. Also, the present theory does not required shear correction factors as in the case of FSDT.

The orientation of the fibers has a great effect on the mechanical behavior of laminated composite plates.
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| $G_{12}, G_{23}$ | : in-plane shear moduli (GPa) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $G_{13}$ | : transverse shear moduli (GPa) |
| $v_{12}$ and $v_{21}$ | : Poison's ratios in respective plane (Unit less) |
| $Q_{i j}$ | : plane stress reduced elastic constants in the material axes of the plate |
| $\bar{Q}_{i j}$ | : transformed material constants |
| $A_{i j}, A_{i j}^{s}, D_{i j}, D_{i j}^{s}, H_{i j}^{s}$ | : plate stiffness |
| $\theta$ | : fibre orientation angle |
| $k$ | : total number of layers |
| $U$ | : strain energy of the plate. |
| $q$ | : transverse distributed loads |
| $\delta$ | : variation with respect to $x$ and $y$ respectively |
| $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{x y}, \sigma_{x z}, \sigma_{x z}$ | : components of stress |
| $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}, \gamma_{x y}, \gamma_{x z}^{s}, \gamma_{y z}^{s}$ | : components of strain |
| $\bar{\sigma}_{x}, \bar{\sigma}_{y}, \bar{\sigma}_{x y}, \bar{\sigma}_{x z}, \bar{\sigma}_{y z}$ | : non-dimensionlized stress components |
| $\bar{W}$ | : non-dimensional transverse displacement |
| $\begin{aligned} & M_{i}^{b}, M_{i}^{s}, Q_{j} \\ & (i=x, y, x y, j=x z, y z) \end{aligned}$ | : resultants moments, shear forces, respectively |
| $m, n$ | : number of half waves in the $x$ - and $y$ directions, respectively |
| w | transverse displacement |
| $w_{b}$ | displacement |
| $W_{s}$ | : shear component of transverse displacement |
| $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are the tra | ansformation matrix |
| CLPT | : classical laminate plate theory |
| FSDT | : first-order shear deformation theory |
| HSDT | : higher-order shear deformation theory |
| CU | : uniform distributed loads |
| CDS | : sinusoidal distributed loads |

CC

## Nomenclature

| $x, y, z$ | : coordinate reference system <br> : in-plane and transverse displacements <br> of a point $(x, y)$ on the mid-plane |
| :--- | :--- |
| $u, v$ and $w_{0}$ | displacements in $x, y$ and $z$ directions, |
| $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ | respectively |
| $\phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ | : rotations of normal to the mid-plane <br> $a$ |
| $b$ | : length of the plate (meter) |
| : width of the plate (meter) |  |
| $h$ | : Total thickness of the plate (meter) |
| $a / h$ | : span-to-thickness ratio (Unit less) |
| $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ | Young's moduli along and transverse <br> direction of the fiber (GPa) |
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