Investigating dynamic stability of metal foam nanoplates under periodic in-plane loads via a three-unknown plate theory
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Abstract. Dynamic stability of a porous metal foam nano-dimension plate on elastic substrate exposed to bi-axial time-dependent forces has been studied via a novel 3-variable plate theory. Various pore contents based on uniform and non-uniform models have been introduced. The presented plate model contains smaller number of field variables with shear deformation verification. Hamilton’s principle will be utilized to deduce the governing equations. Next, the equations have been defined in the context of Mathieu-Hill equation. Correctness of presented methodology has been verified by comparison of derived results with previous data. Impacts of static and dynamical force coefficients, non-local coefficient, foundation coefficients, pore distributions and boundary edges on stability regions of metal foam nanoscale plates will be studied.
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1. Introduction

Lightweight materials have been extensively utilized in multitude engineering fields owing to possessing desirable toughness comparing to their weights. A porous material, for instance a steel foam, might be placed in the category of lightweight materials and can be applied in several structures such as sandwich panels. Often, pore variation along the thickness of panels/plates results in a notable alteration in every kind of material property. Thus, such pore-dependent material might be the main topic of research for researchers or engineers. The most important examples are the works done by Jabbari et al. (2014), Chen et al. (2015, 2016), Rezaei and Saidi (2016) on metal foam structures.

When the pore distribution inside the material is selected to be non-uniform, the metal foam might be defined as a functionally graded material since its properties obey some specified functions. However, the term functionally graded is not used only for non-uniform porous metal foams only. This term is a general term for a variety of materials in which the properties are graded and are not uniform. One example is a functionally graded (FG) material based on two components which are ceramic and metal. In fact, the properties are graded from ceramic to metal. In such gradation of material properties, porosities could be inevitable (Wattanasakulpong et al. 2014). Due to contribution of two materials in this FG material, porosities occur as a sequence of material combination defect. Many researches have been focused on such FG material based
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structures with the consideration of pore effect (Yahia et al. 2015, Atmane et al. 2015a, b, Barati and Zenkour 2016, Mechab et al. 2016, Mirjavadi et al. 2018, 2019a, b).

A structure at nano scale could not be modeled based on well-known elasticity theory which is used for macro size structures. This shortcoming comes from the inexistence of a scale parameter in classical elasticity. Thus, non-classical or higher order elasticity theories will be utilized in order to mathematically model a structure at nano scale. Such mathematical modeling is of great importance since experiments are at nano level are still difficult. As a consequence, the well-known non-local elasticity (Eringen 1983) is notably used in such mathematical modeling for structures at nano level. After this mathematical modeling, it is possible to analyze structural behaviors of beams, plates and shell having nano-dimension. Some examples are the works done by Ebrahimi and Heidari (2018), Natarajan et al. (2012), Bounouara et al. (2016), Barati et al. (2016), Belkorissat et al. (2015), Barati (2017a, b), Zenkour (2016), Ebrahimi and Daman (2016), Mirjavadi et al. (2018), Ebrahimi and Haghi (2018), and Ebrahimi et al. (2018).

In this research, a thick plate model is studied based on 3 field variables (Houari et al. 2016, Belabed et al. 2018). Note that classical plate model doesn’t consider shear deformations for thick plates (Zenkour 2009, Mehala et al. 2018, Sadoun et al. 2018, Mahmoudi et al. 2018). Based on introduced plate theory, dynamic instability of nano-scale plates made of metal foam exposed to in-plane periodic loads will be studied. The material is steel be different pore distributions inside it. Nonlocal effects due to nano-dimension of the plate have been considered. The governing equations of the nano-dimension plate will be solved with the help of Galerkin’s approach. The obtained stability regions due to applied periodic loads will be verified with the article of Han et al. 2015. The dynamic stability of metal foam nano-size plate is shown to be dependent on applied load factors, pore distribution, non-local impacts, and some other parameters.

2. Governing equations

2.1 Modeling of porous nanoplates

A porous material, for instance a steel foam, might be placed in the category of lightweight materials and can be applied in several structures such as sandwich panels. Often, pore variation along the thickness of panels/plates results in a notable alteration in every kind of material property. When the pore distribution inside the material is selected to be non-uniform, the metal foam might be defined as a functionally graded material since its properties obey some specified functions. Herein, the following types of pore dispersion will be employed:

• Uniform kind

\[
E = E_z (1 - e_0 \chi) \quad (1a)
\]

\[
G = G_z (1 - e_0 \chi) \quad (1b)
\]

\[
\rho = \rho_z \sqrt{(1 - e_0 \chi)} \quad (1c)
\]

• Non-uniform kind 1

\[
E(z) = E_z (1 - e_0 \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right)) \quad (2a)
\]
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\[ G(z) = G_0 (1 - e_0 \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right)) \]  
\[ \rho(z) = \rho_0 (1 - e_m \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right)) \]

- Non-uniform kind 2

\[ E(z) = E_0 (1 - e_0 \cos \left( \frac{\pi z + \pi}{2h} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right)) \]
\[ G(z) = G_0 (1 - e_0 \cos \left( \frac{\pi z + \pi}{2h} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right)) \]
\[ \rho(z) = \rho_0 (1 - e_m \cos \left( \frac{\pi z + \pi}{2h} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right)) \]

The most important factors in above relations are the greatest values of material properties \( E_2 \), \( G_2 \) and \( \rho_2 \). Also, there are two important factors related to pores and mass which are \( e_0 \) and \( e_m \) as

\[ e_0 = 1 - \frac{E_2}{E_0} = 1 - \frac{G_2}{G_0} \]
\[ e_m = 1 - \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_0} \]

Based on the open cell assumption of porous material, we use the following relations

\[ \frac{E_2}{E_0} = \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_0} \right)^2 \]

\[ e_m = 1 - \sqrt{1 - e_0} \]

Based on uniformly distributed pores, the following parameter is used in Eq.(1) as

\[ \chi = \frac{1}{e_0} - \frac{1}{e_0} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - e_0} - \frac{2}{\pi} + 1 \right)^2 \]

Modeling of the nanoplate is performed employing a 3-unknown plate theory which has fewer field unknowns compared with the refined 4-unknown and also first order plate theory. The displacement fields of 3-unknown plate model can be expressed as

\[ d(x, y, z, t) = u(x, y) - z \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - \Theta(z) \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial x^3} \]
Here, $u$, $v$ and $w$ are field variables; actually $w$ is the deflection. For better modeling of FG structures, it is crucial to consider the exact positions of neutral surface. Generally, there is coupling among membrane and lateral displacements of FGM plates, as it can be seen in Eqs. (8a) and (8b). By considering the concept of neutral surface, it is possible to eliminate this coupling. So, the displacement field of 3-unknown plate model can be reduced to the following form

$$d_1(x, y, z, t) = -(z-z_0^*) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - \Theta(z) \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3},$$

$$d_3(x, y, z, t) = w(x, y, t)$$

This is evident that the displacement field is reduced to a single-unknown model and

$$z' = \int_{h/2}^{h/2} E(z) dz \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} E(z) dz,$$

$$z'' = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} E(z) \Theta(z) dz \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} E(z) dz.$$

In above relations, the function $\Theta$ will be defined as

$$\Theta(z) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{h}{z} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{h}{z} \right).$$

Finally, the strains based on the four-unknown plate model are obtained as

$$\begin{align*}
\left\{ \varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \gamma_{xy} \gamma_{yx} \right\} &= \left\{ \varepsilon_x^0 \varepsilon_y^0 \gamma_{xy}^0 \gamma_{yx}^0 \right\} + \Theta(z) \left\{ \eta_x \eta_y \gamma_{xx} \gamma_{yy} \right\},
\left\{ \gamma_{xy} \gamma_{yx} \right\} &= g(z) \left\{ \gamma_{xy}^0 \gamma_{yx}^0 \right\},
\end{align*}$$

where $g(z) = \Theta'(z)$ and

$$\begin{align*}
\left\{ \varepsilon_x^0 \varepsilon_y^0 \gamma_{xy}^0 \gamma_{yx}^0 \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right\},
\left\{ \varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \gamma_{xy} \gamma_{yx} \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y \partial x} \right\},
\left\{ \eta_x \eta_y \gamma_{xx} \gamma_{yy} \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right\},
\left\{ \gamma_{xy} \gamma_{yx} \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial y^3} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y} \right\}.
\end{align*}$$
Next, one might express the Hamilton’s rule as follows based on strain energy \( U \) and kinetic energy \( T \)

\[
\int_0^1 \delta (U - T + V) \, dt = 0
\]  
(13)

and \( V \) is the work of non-conservative loads. Based on above relation we have

\[
\delta U = \int_\Omega \sigma_{ij} \delta \varepsilon_{ij} \, dV = \int_\Omega (\sigma_{xx} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{yy} \delta \varepsilon_{yy} + \sigma_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy} + \sigma_{yx} \delta \gamma_{yx}) \, dV
\]  
(14a)

Inserting Eqs. (13) into Eq.(14a) lead to

\[
\delta U = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ N_x \delta \varepsilon_{x0} - M_{xx} \delta \kappa_x - S_x \delta \eta_x + N_y \delta \varepsilon_{y0} - M_{yy} \delta \kappa_y - S_y \delta \eta_y + N_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy} - M_{xy} \delta \kappa_{xy} - S_{xy} \delta \eta_{xy} + Q_{x} \delta \gamma_{x} + Q_{y} \delta \gamma_{y} \right] \, dx \, dy
\]  
(14b)

in which

\[
(N_x, M_{xx}, M_{xy}, M_{yy}, S_x, S_y, S_{xy}) = \int_{b/2}^{b/2} (l, z, \Theta) \sigma_z \, dz, \quad k = \{x, y, xy\}
\]  
(14c)

The variation of the work of non-conservative forces is expressed by

\[
\delta V = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ N_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \delta w}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \delta w}{\partial y} + 2 \delta N_{xy} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} - k_w \delta w + k_p \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right] \, dy \, dx
\]  
(15)

where \( N_x, N_y, N_{xy} \) denote membrane forces and \( k_w, k_p \) are elastic substrate constants. Also, the kinetic energy variation is obtained as

\[
\delta K = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ I_0 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \delta v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \delta w}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \delta w}{\partial t} \right) - I_1 \left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial^3 \delta w}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial^3 \delta w}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 \delta w}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial^3 \delta w}{\partial x^3} \right) \right] \, dy \, dx
\]  
(16)

where

\[
(I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5) = \int_{b/2}^{b/2} (l, z, f_z, z_f, z_f^2, z_f^3) \rho(z) \, dz
\]  
(17)

Substituting Eqs.(14)-(17) into Eq.(12) then collecting the coefficients of \( \delta u, \delta v, \delta w \) results in three equations of motion

\[
\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y^3} - I_0 \frac{\partial^5 u}{\partial x^5} + I_1 \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} + I_2 \frac{\partial^5 w}{\partial x^5} = 0
\]  
(18)

\[
\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y^3} - I_0 \frac{\partial^5 u}{\partial y^5} + I_1 \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial y^3} + I_2 \frac{\partial^5 w}{\partial y^5} = 0
\]  
(19)
\[ \frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 M_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 S_x}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^4 S_{xy}}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 S_y}{\partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^4 Q_{xx}}{\partial x^4} - \frac{\partial^3 Q_{xx}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^3 Q_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y^2} \]
\[ -k_u w - k_p \nabla^2 w - N_0 \nabla^2 w = I_0 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + I_1 \left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y \partial t^2} \right) + J_1 \left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3 \partial t} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y^3 \partial t} \right) \]
\[ -I_2 \left( \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^2 \partial t^2} \right) - 2J_2 \left( \frac{\partial^6 w}{\partial x^4 \partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^6 w}{\partial y^4 \partial t^2} \right) - K_2 \left( \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^6 \partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial y^6 \partial t^2} \right) \]

Based on nonlocal elasticity theory, the nonlocality of stress field can be incorporated into the stress-strain relationship as

\[ (1 - (e_0 e)^2) \sigma_{kl} = t_{kl} \]

in which \( \nabla^2 \) denotes the Laplacian parameter and \( e_0 e \) is a scale parameter introducing the small size impact. Finally, the nonlocal constitutive relations based on refined FG plate model can be expressed by

\[
(1 - \mu \nabla^2) \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_{xy} \\
\sigma_{xz} \\
\end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Q_{12} & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{55} \\
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\gamma_{xy} \\
\gamma_{xz} \\
\end{array} \right]
\]

where

\[ Q_{11} = Q_{22} = \frac{E(z)}{1 - \nu(z)^2}, \quad Q_{12} = \nu(z)Q_{11}, \quad Q_{66} = Q_{44} = \frac{E(z)}{2(1 + \nu(z))} \]

After integrating Eq. (23) in thickness direction, we get to the following relationships

\[
(1 - \mu \nabla^2) \left[ \begin{array}{c}
N_x \\
N_y \\
N_{xy} \\
\end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{66} \\
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\
\end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
B_{11} & B_{12} & 0 \\
B_{21} & B_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{66} \\
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \\
\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} \\
\frac{\partial^2 (\nabla^2 w)}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\end{array} \right]
\]

\[
(1 - \mu \nabla^2) \left[ \begin{array}{c}
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_{xy} \\
\end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
D_{11} & D_{12} & 0 \\
D_{21} & D_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D_{66} \\
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \\
\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} \\
\frac{\partial^2 (\nabla^2 w)}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\end{array} \right]
\]
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\[(1 - \mu^2) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_x \\ \mathbf{s}_y \\ \mathbf{s}_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11}^s & B_{12}^s & 0 \\ B_{12}^s & B_{22}^s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_{66}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}^s & D_{12}^s & 0 \\ D_{12}^s & D_{22}^s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_{66}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H_{11}^s & H_{12}^s & 0 \\ H_{12}^s & H_{22}^s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H_{66}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \\ \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial y^3} \\ \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} \end{bmatrix} \]

(26)

\[ (1 - \mu^2) \begin{bmatrix} Q_{xz} \\ Q_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{44}^s & 0 \\ 0 & A_{55}^s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \\ \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial y^3} \end{bmatrix} \]

(27)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{11}, B_{11}, B_{12}', D_{11}, D_{12}', H_{11}' \\
A_{22}, B_{22}, B_{22}', D_{22}, D_{22}', H_{22}' \\
A_{66}, B_{66}, B_{66}', D_{66}, D_{66}', H_{66}'
\end{align*}
\]

\[= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{11}(1, z, \Theta, z^2, z\Theta, \Theta^2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \nu/2 \end{bmatrix} dz \]

(28)

\[A_{44}' = A_{55}' = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{E(z)}{2(1+\nu)} dz \]

(29)

Three equations of motion based on neutral surface location will be derived by placing Eqs. (25)-(28) into Eqs. (19)-(21) as follows

\[A_1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + A_{66} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + (A_{12} + A_{66}) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} - B_{11} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} - (B_{12} + 2B_{66}) \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y} - B_{11} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} = 0 \]

(30)

\[-(B_{12}' + B_{66}') \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} - B_{66}' \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y} + (1 - \mu^2)(-I_0 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + I_1 \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial t^2} + J_1 \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2}) = 0 \]

(31)

\[B_{11} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + (B_{12} + 2B_{66}) \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} + (B_{12} + 2B_{66}) \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x \partial y} + B_{22} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y} - (B_{12} + 2B_{66}) \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} = 0 \]

(32)
As mentioned, bending-extension coupling eliminates with consideration of neutral surface position. Three coupled governing equations might be reduced to a single equation in term of \( w \) by discarding \( u \) and \( v \) as

\[
-H'_{66} \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^8} - H_{22} \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial y^8} + A'_{44} \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^4 \partial y^4} + A'_{55} \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^4 y^4} = \mu N' \left( \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^4 \partial y^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial y^8} \right) + k_w \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \]

(32)

\[
+ (1 - \mu N') \left( I_{01} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} + J_1 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial y^4} \right) - K_4 \left( \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^4 \partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^8 w}{\partial y^8} \right) \]

\[- N^0 \nu^2 w - k_w w + k_p \nabla^2 w = 0 \]

in which

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{A}_{11}, \bar{D}_{11}, \bar{B}_{11}, \bar{H}_{11} \\
\bar{A}_{12}, \bar{D}_{12}, \bar{B}_{12}, \bar{H}_{12} \\
\bar{A}_{66}, \bar{D}_{66}, \bar{B}_{66}, \bar{H}_{66}
\end{bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{ii} \left( \left( z - z^* \right)^2, \left( z - z^* \right) \left( \Theta - \Theta^* \right), \left( \Theta - \Theta^* \right)^2 \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \nu \\ \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \end{array} \right\} dz
\]

(34)

\[
\left( \bar{I}_0, \bar{I}_2, \bar{J}_2, \bar{K}_2 \right) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{ii} \left( \left( z - z^* \right)^2, \left( z - z^* \right) \left( \Theta - \Theta^* \right), \left( \Theta - \Theta^* \right)^2 \right) \rho(z) dz
\]

(35)

3. Solution procedure

The above single governing equation will be solved with the help of Galerkin’s technique for a nano-dimension plate having bottom edge conditions:
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Simply-supported (S):

\[ w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = 0 \text{ at } x=0, \ a \]

\[ w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = 0 \text{ at } y=0, \ b \]

Clamped (C):

\[ w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ at } x=0, \ a \text{ and } y=0, \ b \]

Finally, the displacement field is considered as

\[ w = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{mn} F_m(x)F_n(y)e^{i\omega t} \tag{36} \]

where \( W_{mn} \) largest deflection. Placing Eq. (36) into Eq. (33) leads to

\[ -D_{11} \Lambda_{4000} - 2(D_{12} + 2D_{66}) \Lambda_{2200} - D_{22} \Lambda_{0400} - 2(D_{11} + 2D_{66}) \Lambda_{4200} - 2(D_{12} + 2D_{66}) \Lambda_{2400} \]

\[ 2(D_{12} + 2D_{66}) \Lambda_{4200} - 2D_{22} \Lambda_{0600} - H_{11} \Lambda_{8000} - 2(H_{12} + H_{66}) \Lambda_{4400} - H_{66} \Lambda_{6200} \]

\[ -H_{66} \Lambda_{2600} - H_{22} \Lambda_{0800} + A_{44} \Lambda_{0600} + A_{55} \Lambda_{0600} \]

\[ -\omega^2 [\bar{I}_0 \Lambda_{0000} + \mu \bar{I}_0 (\Lambda_{2000} + \Lambda_{0200}) + \bar{I}_2 (\Lambda_{2000} + \Lambda_{0200}) - \mu \bar{I}_2 (\Lambda_{4000} + 2\Lambda_{2200} + \Lambda_{0400}) + 2\bar{J}_2 (\Lambda_{4000} + \Lambda_{0400}) - 2\mu \bar{J}_2 (\Lambda_{6000} + \Lambda_{2400} + \Lambda_{0600}) + \bar{K}_2 (\Lambda_{6000} + \Lambda_{0600}) - \mu \bar{K}_2 (\Lambda_{8000} + \Lambda_{6200} + \Lambda_{2600} + \Lambda_{0800}) - N^0 (\Lambda_{2000} + \Lambda_{0200}) + \mu N^0 (\Lambda_{4000} + 2\Lambda_{2200} + \Lambda_{0400}) - k_w \Lambda_{0000} + k_w (\Lambda_{2000} + \Lambda_{0200}) + k_p (\Lambda_{4000} + 2\Lambda_{2200} + \Lambda_{0400})] = 0 \tag{37} \]

where

\[ \{\Lambda_{0000}, \Lambda_{2000}, \Lambda_{0200}\} = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ F_m(x)F_n(y), F_m^{(2)}(x)F_n^{(2)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y)\right]F_m(x)F_n(y)\,dx\,dy \tag{38} \]

\[ \{\Lambda_{2200}, \Lambda_{4000}, \Lambda_{0400}\} = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ F_m^{(2)}(x)F_n^{(2)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y)\right]F_m(x)F_n(y)\,dx\,dy \tag{39} \]

\[ \{\Lambda_{4400}, \Lambda_{6000}, \Lambda_{0600}\} = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y)\right]F_m(x)F_n(y)\,dx\,dy \tag{40} \]

\[ \{\Lambda_{2400}, \Lambda_{4200}, \Lambda_{2600}\} = \int_0^a \int_0^b \left[ F_m^{(2)}(x)F_n^{(2)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y), F_m^{(4)}(x)F_n^{(4)}(y)\right]F_m(x)F_n(y)\,dx\,dy \tag{41} \]
\[
\{\lambda_{6200}, \lambda_{8000}, \lambda_{1000}\} = \int_0^a \int_0^b \begin{bmatrix} F_m(x) F_n^{(6)}(y) \\ F_m^{(2)}(x) F_n(y) \\ F_m^{(8)}(x) F_n^{(8)}(y) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_m(x) F_n(y) dxdy \end{bmatrix} \tag{42}
\]

For introduced conditions, \( F_m \) might be expressed as

\[
F_m(x) = \sin \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} x \right) \text{ for SS}
\]

\[
F_m(x) = \sin^2 \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} x \right) \text{ for CC}
\]  

(43)

It is possible to derive \( F_n \) by replacing \( a, x, m \) with \( b, y, n \).

By introducing mass matrix \((M)\), stiffness matrix \((K)\) and geometric matrix \((G)\), the governing equation of nano-dimension plate exposed to time-dependent loads might be defined as

\[
[M][\ddot{W}_{mn}] + [(K) + N_0(t)(G)][W_{mn}] = 0
\]  

(44)

The time-dependent forced will be defined as \( N_0(t) = -[\alpha + \beta \cos(\omega t)] N_{cr} \) based on static and dynamic load parameters \((\alpha, \beta)\) and critical buckling load \((N_{cr})\); so above equation becomes

\[
[M][\ddot{W}_{mn}] + [(K) - \{\alpha + \beta \cos(\omega t)\} N_{cr}][G][W_{mn}] = 0
\]  

(45)

Here \( \omega \) is the frequency of excitation for the time-dependent force and is normalized as

\[
\Omega = \frac{\omega h}{\sqrt{\frac{\rho_c}{E_c}}}
\]  

(46)

The solution for such time-dependent problem based on Mathieu–Hill equation will be presented as follows

\[
[[K] - N_{cr}\{\alpha \pm 0.5 \beta\}[G] - 0.25\omega[M]][W_{mn}] = 0
\]  

(47)

The solution of above equation is

\[
\det \begin{bmatrix} [\bar{K}] - (0.5\beta)N_{cr}[G] - (0.25\omega)[M] \\ 0 \\ [\bar{K}] + (0.5\beta)N_{cr}[G] - (0.25\omega)[M] \end{bmatrix} = 0
\]  

(48)

where \([\bar{K}] = [K] - \alpha N_{cr}[G]\) and normalized coefficients are

\[
K_w = \frac{k_w a^4}{D_p}, \quad K_p = \frac{k_p a^2}{D_p}, \quad D_p = \frac{E_c h^3}{12(1-v_c^2)}
\]  

(49)

4. Results and discussions

Using 3-unknown plate theory, dynamic stability of nano-scale plates made of metal foam
subjected to in-plane periodic loads will be studied in this section. The material is steel be different pore distributions inside it. Nonlocal effects due to nano-dimension of the plate have been considered. The governing equations of the nano-dimension plate were solved with the help of Galerkin’s approach. The obtained stability regions due to applied periodic loads will be verified with the work of Han et al. 2015 and also the natural frequencies of a nanoplate will be verified by the work of Natarajan et al. 2012. These verifications are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The dynamic stability of metal foam nano-size plate is shown to be dependent on applied load factors, pore distribution, non-local impacts, and some other parameters. Herein, the material properties of steel foam plate will be selected as

- \( E_1 = 200 \text{ GPa} \), \( \rho_1 = 7850 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), \( v = 0.33 \),
Table 1 Normalized frequency verification based on various factors at n=5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a/h</th>
<th>Fully simply-supported</th>
<th>Fully clamped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a=b</td>
<td>Natarajan et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0441</td>
<td>0.043823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0403</td>
<td>0.04007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0374</td>
<td>0.037141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0330</td>
<td>0.032806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.011256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.010288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.009534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.008418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a=2b | Natarajan et al. (2012) | Present       |
| 10  | 0.1055                 | 0.104329      |
|     | 0.0863                 | 0.085493      |
|     | 0.0748                 | 0.074174      |
|     | 0.0612                 | 0.060673      |
| 20  | 0.0279                 | 0.027756      |
|     | 0.0229                 | 0.022722      |
|     | 0.0198                 | 0.019704      |
|     | 0.0162                 | 0.016110      |

| a=10b | Natarajan et al. (2012) | Present       |
| 10   | 0.104329               | 0.104329      |
|      | 0.085493               | 0.085493      |
|      | 0.074174               | 0.074174      |
|      | 0.060673               | 0.060673      |
| 20   | 0.027756               | 0.027756      |
|      | 0.022722               | 0.022722      |
|      | 0.019704               | 0.019704      |
|      | 0.016110               | 0.016110      |

Table 2 Frequency verification of a plate under time-dependent forces at β=0.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>Han et al. (2015)</th>
<th>This article</th>
<th>Han et al. (2015)</th>
<th>This article</th>
<th>Han et al. (2015)</th>
<th>This article</th>
<th>Han et al. (2015)</th>
<th>This article</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[K]−(0.5β)N_1[G]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[K]−(0.5β)N_2[G]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[K]−(0.5β)N_3[G]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[K]−(0.5β)N_4[G]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=0</td>
<td>3.0113</td>
<td>3.0114</td>
<td>2.8033</td>
<td>2.80349</td>
<td>2.5787</td>
<td>2.57885</td>
<td>2.3325</td>
<td>2.33267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>2.9785</td>
<td>2.97861</td>
<td>2.7729</td>
<td>2.77295</td>
<td>2.5507</td>
<td>2.55075</td>
<td>2.3072</td>
<td>2.30725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=10</td>
<td>2.9365</td>
<td>2.93662</td>
<td>2.7337</td>
<td>2.73384</td>
<td>2.5147</td>
<td>2.51477</td>
<td>2.2746</td>
<td>2.2747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fig. 3 Normalized frequency of nano-dimension plates with uniform porosities with respect to dynamical force coefficient for different non-local and static force coefficients (K_p=0, K_w=0, e_0=0.5, a/h=10)
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Fig. 3 Continued

(a) Uniform kind
(b) Non-uniform kind 1
(c) Non-uniform kind 2

Fig. 4 Normalized frequency of nano-dimension plates versus dynamical force coefficient for different porosity distributions ($\alpha=0.2, K_\alpha=0, K_\rho=0, \mu=0.2, a/h=10$)
Fig. 5 Normalized frequency of nano-dimension plates versus dynamical force coefficient based on different foundation constants and uniform pores ($a/h=10$, $\alpha=0.3$, $\mu=0.2$, $e_0=0.5$)

Fig. 6 Normalized frequency of nano-dimension plates versus dynamical force coefficient according to the classical and present plate theories ($\alpha=0.3$, $\mu=0.2$, $K_w=50$, $K_p=5$, $e_0=0.5$)
Investigating dynamic stability of metal foam nanoplates under periodic in-plane loads...

Fig. 7 Normalized frequency of nano-dimension plates versus dynamical force coefficient for different edge conditions \((a/h=10, \alpha=0.3, \mu=0.2, K_w=50, K_p=5, \epsilon_0=0.5)\)

Fig. 3 indicates the impact of static force coefficient \((\alpha)\) and non-local coefficient \((\mu)\) on dynamic buckling properties of nano-dimension porous plates when \(a/h=10, \epsilon_0=0.5\) and \(K_w=K_p=0\). One could observe that by the increment of non-local coefficient, the boundary of dynamic stability will be decreased. Actually, non-local effect will enhance the instability of the plate under time-dependent forces. Also, the value \(\beta=0\) denote the static buckling of the non-local plate and the obtained buckling load is called critical load for this situation. So, the critical load will be decreased with the growth of non-local coefficient (reduction in plate stiffness). This important fact makes the static/dynamic buckling of a nano-dimension plate different from a macro-dimension one. Another observation from the figure is that by the static force coefficient increment, the boundary of dynamic stability zone will be reduced at a prescribed non-local coefficient.

Pore content effects on instability boundary of a porous nanoplate with respect to dynamical force coefficient has been depicted in Fig. 4 at \(\mu=0.2, \alpha=0.2, K_w=0\) and \(K_p=0\). An increase in porosity coefficient yields larger frequencies for nanoplates containing pore distribution 1 while smaller frequencies for nanoplates containing uniform porosities and dispersion 2. Obtained results indicate that by increase of the pore parameter, the nanoplate containing pore distribution 1 gives the greatest excitation frequencies while the results for a nanoplate containing uniform pores and graded pore dispersion 2 are relatively closer.

In Fig. 5, normalized excitation frequency variation according to dynamical forced coefficient \((\beta)\) based on different elastic foundation constants has been studied for simple-supported nanoplates when \(a/h=10, \alpha=0.3, \mu=0.2, \epsilon_0=0.5\). It is observable that increasing the foundation constants leads to greater normalized excitation frequencies. Actually, by increasing in foundation constants, the dynamic buckling boundaries will be shifted to higher values and excitation frequencies will grow.

Fig. 6 shows the normalized excitation frequency variation of the porous nano-dimension plates according to dynamical force coefficient and classical and 3-unknown plate theories when \(\alpha=0.3, \mu=0.2, K_w=50, K_p=5, \epsilon_0=0.5\). At a fixed dynamic load factor, it can be seen that frequency results according to the classical plate theory are overestimated. In fact, more accurate examination of
stability boundaries of porous nanoplates can be carried out employing higher order shear deformation plate theories. However, the value of side-to-thickness ratio has a remarkable influence on the instability boundaries. One can see that the width of instability boundaries for $a/h=10$ is smaller than that of $a/h=5$. In other words, excitation frequency reduces with the increase of side-to-thickness ratio at a constant dynamic load factor.

Normalized excitation frequency variation according to dynamical force coefficient ($\beta$) based on different edge condition (SSSS, CCSS and CCCC) at $\alpha=0.3$, $K_w=50$, $K_p=5$ and $\mu=0.2$ has been illustrated in Fig. 7. By assuming a prescribed dynamical force coefficient, the porous nano-dimension plate possessing harder edge condition gives greater normalized excitation frequencies. Thus, fully clamped boundary type exhibits greatest excitation frequencies followed by CCSS and SSSS. Therefore, the broadest stability zone might be derived based on fully clamped edge supports.

5. Conclusions

Using 3-unknown plate theory, dynamic stability of nano-scale plates made of metal foam subjected to in-plane periodic loads was studied in this paper. The material was steel with different pore distributions inside it. Nonlocal effects due to nano-dimension of the plate were considered. The governing equations of the nano-dimension plate were solved with the help of Galerkin’s approach. The obtained stability regions due to applied periodic loads were verified with a previous work. The dynamic stability of metal foam nano-size plate was shown to be dependent on applied load factors, pore distribution, non-local impacts, and some other parameters. Non-local effect will enhance the instability of the plate under time-dependent forces. Also, by the static force coefficient increment, the boundary of dynamic stability zone will be reduced at a prescribed non-local coefficient.
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